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## PREFACE.

## Addressed to Members of the Englisif Dialect Society.

The History of English Sounds, by Mr. Henry Sweet, was originally written for the London Philological Society, in further illustration of the great work on Early English Pronunciation by Mr. Alexander J. Ellis. Upon application to the Council of the Philological Society, and to the author, permission was at once obtained for making arrangements whereby additional copies of the work should be struck off for the use of members of the English Dialect Society. The importance of it to all who study English sounds, especially such sounds as are frequently well preserved in some of our provincial dialects, will soon become apparent to the careful reader. But as there may be some amongst our members who may not be aware of what has been lately achieved in the study of phonetics, a few words of introduction may not be out of place here.

I have more than once received letters from correspondents who boldly assert that, of some of our dialectal sounds, no representation is possible, and that it is useless to attempt it. Against such a sweeping denunciation of the study of phonetics it would be vain to argue. It may be sufficient merely to remark that precisely the same argument of "impossibility " was used, not so many years ago, against the introduction of the use of steam locomotives upon railways. The opinions of such as are unable to imagine how things which
they cannot do themselves may, nevertheless, be achieved by others, will not be much regarded by such as desire progress and improvement.

It may, however, be conceded that no system of symbols existed which was of sufficient scientific accuracy until the publication of Mr. Melville Bell's singular and wonderful volume entitled-"Visible Speech : the Science of Universal Alphabetics: or Self-Interpreting Physiological Letters for the Printing and Writing of all Languages in one Alphabet; elucidated by Theoretical Explanations, Tables, Diagrams, and Examples." Now in this system none of the usual alphabetical characters appear at all, nor is the alphabet founded upon any one language. It is a wholly new collection of symbols, adapted for all or most of the sounds which the human voice is capable of producing, and is founded upon the most strictly scientific principles, each symbol being so chosen as to define the disposition of the organs used in producing the sound which the symbol is intended to represent. How this wonderful result has been achieved, the reader may easily discover for himself, either by consulting that work, or another by the same author which every one interested in the study of phonetics is earnestly recommended to procure, at the cost of only one shilling. The title of this latter work, consisting of only sixteen pages in quarto, is:-English Visible Speech for the Million, etc.; by Alex. Melville Bell. London: Simpkin, Marshall \& Co.; London and New York: Trübner \& Co. A fair and candid examination of this pamphlet will shew the reader, better than any detailed description can do, how the study of sounds has been rendered possible. Every work on phonetics will, no doubt, always be based upon, or have reference to, Mr. Bell's system, and therefore it is the more important that, at the very least, the existence of it should be widely known.

The work of Mr. Ellis is entitled:-On Early English Pronunciation, with especial reference to Shakspere and Chaucer, by Alexander J. Ellis, F.R.S. The first two parts were published in 1869 by three societies in combination, viz. the Philological Society, the Early English Text Society, and the Chaucer Society; and the third part, by the same societies, in 1870. The work is not yet completed, and the fourth part, not yet published, will contain a full account of our modern English provincial dialects, shewing their distribution and connections. Mr. Ellis employs a system of symbols called palcotype, but, as every one of these has its exact equivalent in Mr. Bell's system, it admits of the same degree of accuracy, and has the advantage of being wholly represented by ordinary printing-types.

The next system is that invented by Mr. Ellis for the special representation of English dialectal sounds, and denominated Glossic. ${ }^{1}$ By the kindness of the author, a copy of the tract upon Glossic is in the hands of every member of our Society. The attention of readers is directed to page 11 of that tract, where the thirty-six vowels of Mr. Bell's Visible Speech have their equivalent values in Glossic properly tabulated.

In Mr. Sweet's volume, now in the reader's hands, the corresponding table of vowel-sounds is given at page 5, and one principal object of this short Preface is to shew how Mr. Sweet's symbols and the 'Glossic' symbols agree together, and how, again, each table agrees with that of Mr. Bell.

I shall refer, then, to the three tables as given at p. 5 of Mr. Sweet's book, at p. 11 of the Glossic tract, and at p. 8 of Visible Speech for the Million. See also p. 14 of Mr. Ellis's Early English Pronunciation.

[^0]Mr. Ellis and Mr. Sweet agree with Mr. Bell in their use of the terms Migh, Mid, and Low; in their use of the terms Back, Mixed, and Front; and in their use of the terms Wide and Wide-round. The only difference is that Mr. Sweet uses the term Narrow instead of Primary (see page 4, note 1), and also uses the more exact term Narrow-round in place of what Mr. Ellis ealls Round simply. As Mr. Sweet has numbered his sounds, it is easy to tabulate the correspondence of the systems in the following manner. I denote here Mr. Sweet's sounds by the mumber only, and include the Glossic symbol within square brackets, in the usual manner.

| 1. [un']. | 4. [ea]. | 7. [EE]. | 10. [U']. | 13. [ $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ ]. | 16. [I]. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. [UU]. | 5. [v]. | 8. [AI]. | 11. [ AA ]. | 14. [ $A^{\prime}$ ]. | 17. [E]. |
| 3. [ua]. | 6. [ua']. | 9. [ AE$]$. | 12. [AH]. | 15. [E']. | 18. [A]. |
| 19. [oo]. | 22. [ui']. | 25. [ui]. | 28. [vo]. | 31. [ $\left.40{ }^{\prime}\right]$. | 34. [UE]. |
| 20. [ OA ]. | 23. [ oa ']. | 26. [E0]. | 29. [AO]. | 32. [a0']. | 35. [OE]. |
| 21. [ AD ]. | 24. [au']. | 27. [eo']. | 30. [0]. | 33. [0']. | 36. [ $0 e^{\prime}$ ]. |

Now it should be clearly understood that these two systems are both perfectly exact, because both refer to the same positions of the organs of voice; but, as soon as these sounds come to be described by illustrative examples, a few slight apparent discrepancies arise, solely from a difference of individual pronunciation, even in the case of common 'keywords.' I believe I am correct in saying that even Mr. Bell's 'key-words' do not represent to everybody the exact sounds intended, but are better understood by a North-country man than by a resident in London. Mr. Ellis describes this difficulty in the following words: "At the latter end of his treatise Mr. Melville Bell has given in to the practice of keywords, and assigned them to his symbols. Let the reader be careful not to take the value of his symbol from his own pronunciation of the key-words, or from any other person's. Let him first determine the value of the symbol from the
exact description and diagram of the speech-organs,-or if possible also from the living voice of some one thoroughly acquainted with the system-and then determine Mr. Bell's own pronunciation of the key-word from the known value of the symbol. This pronunciation in many instances differs from that which I am accustomed to give it, especially in foreign words."

In order to steer clear of such minor difficulties, Mr. Sweet has adopted a very simple system of notation, which only aims at representing the broader distinctions between vowels, using, for example, the same symbol [a] for the mid-back-wide and the low-back-wide sounds (nos. 11 and 12), without further distinction, and defining it only as the sound $a$, as most commonly heard in the word father. Roughly speaking, then, the symbols which Mr. Sweet employs in his vowel-table may be thus represented in Glossic.
a, as the short vowel corresponding to the first vowel in father; compare Glossic [aa], as in [faa•dhur].
$æ$, as $a$ in man ; Glossic [a], as in [man].
è, as $e$ in tell; Glossic [e or ae], as in [tel]; provincial [tael].
é, as $a i$ in bait; Glossic [ai], as in [bait].
$ə$, as $u$ in but; Glossic [u], as in [but].
i , as in bit; Glossic [i], as in [bit].
$\dot{o}$, as in not; òò, as in naught; Glossic [o] in [not]; [au] in [naut].
ó, as oa in boat; Glossic [oa], as in [boat].
oe, as $\ddot{o}$ in Germ. schön; Glossic [oe], as in Germ. [shoen].
u , as oo in foot; uu as oo in cool; Glossic [u0, 00], as in [fuot, kool].
$y$, as $\ddot{u}$ in Germ. $\ddot{u}$ bel; Glossic [ue], as in Germ. [uebu'l].
ai, a diphthong of a and i , as $y$ in $\mathrm{m} y$; Glossic [ei], as in [mei].
au , a diphthong of a and u , as ou in house; Glossic [ou], as in [hous].
éi, a diphthong of é and i, as $a$ in tale; Glossic [aiy], as in [taiyl].
óu, as $o$ in no, i.e. ó with an aftersound of $u ;{ }^{1}$ Glossic [oaw], as in [noaw].
oi, as oy in boy; Glossic [oi], as in [boi].
It may be added, that $b$ is used to represent the sound of th in thin, Glossic [thin]; and $\gamma$ to represent the th in this, Glossic [dhis].

According, then, to Mr. Sweet's notation, the word futher is written faaðər; man, mæn; tell, tèl; bait, bét, or (more commonly) béit, in Southern English, béét in Scotch; but, bot ; bit, bit; not, nòt; boat, bót, or (more commonly) bóut, in Southern English, bóót in Scotch; Germ. schön, shoen; foot, fut; Germ. übel, ybol; my, mai ; house, haus; tale, téil; no, nóu; boy, boi.

The long vowels are expressed by doubling the symbol employed for the shorter vowels. The following are examples, viz. father, faarer (the short sound of which is found in the Anglo-Saxon man, in modern English changed to menn); earn, worse, əən, wəəs; saw, faught, sòò, fò̀̀t; whose, huuz; and the like. Examples of diphthongs are seen in eight, éit; lord, hoarse, lòəd, hòas; smear, smiər; lear, béər; etc.

The easiest way of becoming familiar with this very simple notation is to observe the long list of words beginning at p . 84. By comparing the third column, which gives the modern English spelling, with the fourth, which gives the modern English pronunciation according to the above system, the sounds intended can be very easily ascertained, and the reader

[^1]will be prepared to understand what is meant by the first and second columns, which exhibit the pronunciations of the Old and Middle period respectively. The thanks of students are especially due to Mr. Sweet for these word-lists, with the alphabetical register of them appended. They can only have been compiled at the cost of much labour and diligence, and shew an intimate acquaintance with the spellings and pronunciations of all periods of English.

W. W. S.

## HISTORY OF ENGLISH SOUNDS.

By menry sweet, Esq.

## INTRODUCTION.

In studying the phonetic development of a language two methods are open to us, the historical and the comparative; that is to say, we may either trace the sounds of one and the same language through its successive stages, or else compare the divergent forms in a group of languages which have a common origin.

Each method has its advantages. In the historical method the sequence of the phenomena is self-evident; when we compare two forms of the same sound in several co-existing languages, it is often doubtfu: which is the older. The peculiar advantage of the comparative method is that it can be applied to living languages, where nothing but careful observation of facts is required, while in the case of dead languages the phonetic material is often defective, and is always preserved in an imperfect form by means of graphic symbols, whose correct interpretation is an indispensable preliminary to further investigation. In short, we may say that the comparative method is based, or may be based, on facts, the historical on theoretical deductions.

It need hardly be said that the first requisite for phonetic investigation of any kind is a knowledge of sounds. Yet nothing is more common in philology than to see men, who have not taken the slightest trouble to make themselves acquainted with the rudiments of vocal physiology, making. the boldest and most dogmatic statements about the pronunciation of dead languages-asserting, for instance, that certain sounds are unnatural, or even impossible, merely because they do not happen to occur in their own language. Such prejudices can only be got rid of by a wide and impartial training,

The second requisite is a collection of carefully recorded facts. In this respect the present state of phonology is somerrhat anomalous. As far as living languages are concerned, the amount of reliable material that exists is still very small, although it is rapidly increasing, while if we turn to the dead languages we find an enormous body of careful, full, often exhaustive, observations of the varied phenomena of letter-change in the Teutonic languages-a dead mass, which requires the warm breath of living phonology to thaw it into life. Before the word-lists in such a book as Grimm's Deutsche Grammatik can be intelligently utilized, the spoken sounds they represent must be determined. The first step is to determine generally the relations between sound and symbol. The ideal of a phonetic notation is, of course, a system in which every simple sound would have a simple sign, bearing some definite relation to the sound it represents. It need hardly be said that all the modifications of the Roman alphabet in which the Teutonic languages have been written down fall far short of this standard. The Roman alphabet was originally, like all naturally developed alphabets, a purely hieroglyphic system, representing not sounds but material objects: the connection of each symbol with its sound is therefore entirely arbitrary. When we consider that this inadequate system was forced on languages of the most diverse phonetic structure, we need not be surprised at the defects of the orthography of the old Teutonic languages, but rather admire the ingenuity with which such scanty resources were eked out.

The maximum of difficulty is reached when a language changes through several generations, while its written representation remains unchanged. In such a case as that of English during the last three centuries, wo are compelled to disregard the written language altogether, and have recourse to other methods.

Foremost among these is the study of the contemporary evidence afforded by treatises on pronunciation with their descriptions of the various sounds and comparisons with foreign utterance. It is on this kind of evidence that the
well-known investigations of Mr. Ellis are based. The great valuc of Mr. Ellis's work consists in the impartial anc cautious spirit in which he has carricd it out, advancing step by step, and never allowing theories to overrule facts. Mr. Ellis's method forms a striking contrast to that pursued by some Early English students, who, starting from the assumption that whatever pronunciation is most agreeable to their own ears must be the right one, take for granted that Alfred, Chaucer, and Shakespere spoke exactly like 19th-century gentlemen, and then, instead of shaping their theories by the existing evidence, pick out those facts which they think confirm their views, and ignore all the rest. The result of Mr. Ellis's investigations is to establish with certainty, within certain limits, the pronunciation of English during the last three centuries; absolute accuracy is impossible in deductions drawn from the vague statements of men who had but an imperfect knowledge of the mechanism of the sounds they uttered.

I hope, however, to show that that minute accuracy which is unattainable by the method adopted by Mr. Ellis, can be reached through a combination of the comparative with the historical method, taking the latter in its widest sense to include both the external evidence employed by Mr. Ellis, and the internal evidence of the graphic forms. This gives us three independent kinds of evidence, which, as we shall see, corroborate each other in the strongest manner.

Before going any farther it will be necessary to say a few words on the phonetic notation I have adopted. The only analysis of vowel-sounds that is of any real use for general scientific purposes is that of Mr. Bell. His system differs from all others in two important particulars, 1) in being based not on the acoustic effects of the sounds, but on their organic formation, and 2) in being of universal applicability: while most other systems give us only a limited number of sounds arbitrarily selected from a few languages, Mr. Bell's Visible Speech is entirely independent of any one language-it not only tells us what sounds do
exist in a given language, but also what sounds may exist in any language whatever. It is therefore of priceless value in all theoretical investigations like the present.

The following remarks will help to elucidate Mr. Bell's table of vowels with key-words, which I have given on the opposite page.

Every vowel is, as regards position, either back (guttural), of which aa is the type, front (palatal), typified by $i i$, or mixerl, that is, formed by the back and front of the tongue simultaneously, as in the English err. Each vowel, again, has one of three degrees of elevation-it is either high, mid or lox. Each of these nine positions may be rounded (labialized). Each of the resulting eighteen vowels must, lastly, be either narrow ${ }^{1}$ or wide. In forming nazrow vowels the pharynx or cavity behind the mouth is compressed, while in wide vowels it is relaxed. The distinction will be clearly felt by any one who pronounces not, naught, several times in succession, drawling them out as much as possible: it will be found that in sounding not the pharynx and back of the mouth is relaxed, while in naught there is evident tension. The rowel in both words is the low-backround, but in not it is wide, in naught narrow.

In treating of the formation of the sounds, I have always described them in Mr. Bell's terminology, which is admirably simple and clear. If I could have made use of his types, I could have avoided a great deal of circumlocution, which, as it is, has proved unavoidable.
For the convenience of those who are not able to appreciate minute phonetic distinctions, I have also adopted a rough practical system of notation, in which only the broadest distinctions are indicated. In this system $a, e, i, o ; u, y$, are employed in their original Roman values, the distinction between open and close $e$ and $o$ boing indicated by accents. To indicate that class of sounds of which the English vowels in but and err are types, I have adopted the turned $e(\partial)$. The English vowel in man is written $a$, and $c$ is used

[^2]GENERAL VOWEL SCALE.

| NARROW. |  |  | - WIDE. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 high-back. | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { high-mised. } \\ & S w . u p p \end{aligned}$ | 7 high-front. Scotch and occ. Engl. feel | 10 high-back. occ. Engl. but Engl. eye | 13 high-mixed | 16 high-front. Engl. bit |
| 2 mid-back. occ. Eng. but | 5 mid-mixed. <br> German unacc. e | 8 mid-front. Dan. stctn Scotch take | 11 mid-back. Engl. father | 14 mid-mixed. Engl. father | 17 mid-front. occ. Engl. men Dan. lase |
| 3 low-back. occ. Scotch but | 6 low-mixed. Eng. err | 9 low-front. Scotch and occ. Engl. men | 12 low-back. $S w$. fara Scoteh man | 15 low-mixed Engl. how occ. Scotch err | 18 low-front. Engl. man |


| NARROW-ROUND. |  |  | WIDE-ROUND. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 high-back. Scotch and occ. Engl. fool | 22 high-mixed. $S w . \mathrm{h} u \mathrm{~s}$ | 25 high-front. Germ. $\ddot{\text { ubbl }}$ Dan. lys | $\begin{gathered} 28 \text { high-back. } \\ \text { Eng. full } \end{gathered}$ | 31 high-mixed. | 34 high-front. <br> Dan. synd |
| 20 mid-back. Germ. sohn | 23 mid-mixed. | 26 mid-front. <br> Dan. föle <br> Germ. schön | 29 mid-back. Engl. boy occ. Scotch no | 32 mid-mixed. | 35 mid-front. <br> Dan. en dör |
| $21 \text { low-back. }$ | 24 low-mixed. | 27 low-front. <br> Dan. störst occ. Germ. gätter | 30 low-back. Engl. hot | 33 low-mixed. | 36 low-front. |

to designate the German ö. Long vorwels are doubled, and diphthongs indicated by combining their elements. ${ }^{1}$


I have not made any use of Mr. Ellis's "palæotype," as, in spite of its typographical convenience, its extreme complexity and arbitrariness make it, as I can testify from personal experience, quite unfitted for popular exposition. The apparent easiness of palæotype as compared with the Visible Speech letters of Mr. Bell is purely delusive : it is certain that those who find Visible Speech too difficult will be quite unable really to master palæotype. It must also be borne in mind that no system of notation will enable the student to dispense with a thorough study of the sounds themselves: there is no royal road to phonetics.

## General Lafs of Sound Change.

They may be investigated both deductively, that is, by examining known changes in languages, and à priori, by considering the relations of sounds among themselves. I propose to combine these methods as much as possible. Although in giving examples of the various changes I have been careful to select cases which may be considered as perfectly well established, I must in many cases ask the reader to suspend his judgment till they have been fully discussed, which, of course, cannot be done till we come to the details. The general laws I am about to state may, for the present,

[^3]bo regarded simply as convoniont heads for classing the various changes under.

All the changes may be brought under three grand divisions, 1) organic, 2) imitatire, and 3) inorganic. Organic changes are those which are the direct result of certain tondencies of tho organs of specel : all tho changes commonly regarded as weakenings fall under this head. Imitative changes are the result of an unsuccessful attempt at imitation. Inorganic changes, lastly, are caused by purely external causes, and have nothing to do either with organic weakening or with unsuccessful imitation.

The great defect of most attempts to explain sound-changes is that they sclect some one of these causes, and attempt to explain everything by it, ignoring the two others. It would, for instance, be entirely misleading to explain the change of the O.E. ber (pret. of beran) into the N.E. bore as an organic sound-change, the truth being that the form bore is the result of confusion with the participle borne. Such a case as this is self-evident, but I hope to show hereafter that the very remarkable and apparently inexplicable changes which our language underwent during the transition from the Old to the Niddle period, can be easily explained as inorganic developments.

We may now turn to the two first classes of changes, organic and imitative. From the fact that all sounds are originally acquired by imitation of the mother and nurse we are apt to assume that all sound-change is due to imitation, but a little consideration will show that this is not the case. How, for instance, can such a change as that of a stopped to an open consonant, or of $i, u u$, into $c i$, $a u$, be explained by imitation? The fact that the vast majority of those who speak even the most difficult languages do make the finest distinctions perfectly well, proves clearly that the correct imitation of sounds is no insurmountable difficulty even to people of very ordinary capacity. The real explanation of such changes as those cited above is that the sounds were acquired properly by imitation, and then modified by the speaker himself, cither from carelessness or indolencc.

Further confirmation is afforded by the fact, which any one may observe for himself, that most people have double pronunciations, one being that which they learned by imitation, the other an unconscious modification. If asked to pronounce the sound distinctly, they will give the former sound, and will probably disown the other as a vulgarism, although they employ it themselves invariably in rapid conversation. When the habits are fixed, the difficulty of correct imitation largely increases. To the infant one sound is generally not more difficult than another, but to the adult a strange sound is generally an impossibility, or, at any rate, a very serious difficulty. He therefore naturally identifies it with the nearest equivalent in his own language, or else analyses it, and gives the two elements successively instead of simultaneously. We may, therefore, expect a much wider range of the imitative principle in words derived from other languages. I propose, accordingly, to class all the doubtful changes under the head of organic, treating as imitative changes only those which do not allow of any other explanation, but admitting that some of the changes considered as inorganic may under special circumstances be explained as imitative.

Organic sound-changes fall naturally into two main divisions, simple and complex. Simple changes are those which affect a single sound without any reference to its surroundings, while complex changes imply two sounds in juxtaposition, which modify one another in various ways.

It is generally assumed by philologists that all organic sound-changes may be explained by the principle of economy of exertion, and there can be no doubt that many of the changes must be explained in this way and in no other, as, for instance, the numerous cases of assimilation, where, instead of passing completely from one sound to another, the speaker chooses an intermediate one. Other changes, however, not only do not require this hypothesis of muscular economy, but even run quite counter to it, as when an open consonant is converted into a stop, a by no means uncommon phenomenon in the Teutonic languages. It is of the greatest importance that these exceptions to the general rule should not be suppressed.

I shall, therefore, while giving precedence to those changes which seem to be in harmony with the genoral principle of economy of force, take care to state fully the exceptions. I begin with the simple changes, arranging them in classes, according to the different vocal organs concerned in their formation.

## A. Simple Changes.

## I. Wearening.

1) Glottal: voice to whisper and breath. In the formation of voice the glottis is momentarily closed, in that of whisper its edges are only approximated, and in breath the glottis is quite open. It is evident, therefore, that voice per se demands the most and breath the least muscular exertion, and that the natural tendency would be to substitute whisper and breath for voice whenever possible. The great preservative of consonantal vocality is the principle of assimilation, to which we shall return presently. When a voice consonant is flanked by vowels, as in aba, aga, etc., it is much easier to let the voice run on uninterruptedly than to cut it off at the consonant and then resume it. But at the end of a word this assimilative influence is not felt, and accordingly we find that in nearly all the Teutonic languages except English, many of the final voice consonants become either voiceless or whispered.
2) Pharyngal: narrow to wide. In the formation of narrow vowels the pharynx is compressed, while in that of wide vowels it is relaxed. The natural tendency would therefore be from narrow to wide. It is, however, a curious fact that in the Teutonic languages short and long vowels follow diametrically opposed laws of change as regards these pharyngal modifications, long vowels tending to narrowing, ehort to widening. Full details will be given hereafter; I merely call attention to these Teutonic changes as a clear instance of inapplicability of the principle of economy of force. ${ }^{1}$
3) Changes of position. The most general feature of

[^4]changes of position is the tendency to modify the back articulations, whether vowels or consonants, by shifting forwards to the front, point or lip positions. This is clearly a case of economy of exertion, as the back formations require a movement of the whole body of the tongue, the front and point of only a portion of it. Of the two last the front, on the same principle, evidently require more exertion than the point sounds. The lip consonants (the labial vowels must be reserved), lastly, involve the minimum of exertion.

I will now give a few examples of these various changes.
a) back to front: Sanskrit ch (front-stop) from $k$, as in $r a c h=r a k ;$ English men, fè̀̀r, from the Old E. mamn, faran.
b) back to point: E. méit from O.E. gemaca.
c) back to lip: seems doubtful, as the cases usually cited, such as Greek pénte=kankan, seem to be the result of the assimilative influence of the $w$-sound preserved in the Latin quinque.
d) front to point: the development of $t s h$ from $k$ through an intermediate front position, as in the E. church from cyrice ; the change of Sanskrit $¢$, as in çru, which was originally the voiceless consonant corresponding to the English consonant $y$, to the present sound of $s / l$.
e) front and point to lip? ${ }^{1}$
f) back and front to mixed (applies only to vowels). All unaccented vowels in most of the Teutonic languages have been levelled under one sound-the mid-mixednarrow, as in the German cndl, geebon, from the older andi, giban.
There are many exceptions to these general tendencies. Thus, of the two $r$ s, the back and the point, the former seems to require less exertion than the latter, and hence is often substituted for it in the careless pronunciation of advanced communities, especially in large cities. Other cases, however, really seem to run counter to the principle of coonomy of force. Such are the change of th into

[^5]kih (=German ch) in the Scotch (Lothian dialect) klirii for thrii.

The changes of height in the vowels cannot be brought under any general laws. In the Teutonic languages, at least, short and long vowels follow quite opposite courses, long rowels tending to high, short to low positions.
4) Relaxation:
a) stopped consonants to unstopped: Latin lingua from dingua; German maklıon = E. méli, wasər = uòòtor; Modern Greek dhédhokia from dédooka.
b) unstopped to diphthongal vowel: Middle English dai, lau, from older clagh, laglu; English hiio from hiur.
c) untrilling: a common phenomenon in most of the Teutonic languages, especially English, in which the trilled $r$ is quite lost.
There are some unmistakable exceptions to these tendencies. All the Teutonic languages except English seem to find the $t h$ and $d l d$ difficult, and convert them into the corresponding stopped $t$ and $d$. In Swedish the $g h$ of the oldest documents has, in like manner, become $g$. There seem to be cases of rowels developing into consonants, which will be treated of hereafter. Lastly, we may notice the not unfrequent development of trilled out of untrilled consonants, as in Dutch, where $g$ first became opened into $g h$, which in many Dutch dialects has become a regular guttural $r$.
5) Rounding (vowel-labialization). We must distinguish between the rounded back and the rounded front vowels, for their tendencies are directly opposed to one another: back vowels tend to rounding, front to unrounding. In the case of back vowels, rounding may be regarded as an attempt to diminish the expenditure of muscular energy, by keeping the mouth half-closed, whence the change of an into $\grave{o}$, which, as we shall see, is almost universal in the Teutonic languages. But with the more easily-formed front vowels this economy of exertion is superfluous: we find, accordingly, that front vowels are seldom rounded, but that rounded front vowels are often unrounded, $y$ and $c$ becoming $i$ and $e$-a frequent change in the Tcutonic languages.

## II. Loss.

1) of vowels. The loss of unaccented final vowels is a frequent phenomenon in all languages. The dropping of final $e$ is a characteristic feature of the Modern period of English.
2) of consonants. Here we may distinguish several classes of changes. A single consonant may fall off either before a vowel or a consonant, and it may be initial, medial, or final. The Teutonic languages are, as a general rule, remarkable for the extreme tenacity with which they retain their consonants, especially when final.

## B. Complex Changes

## III. Influence.

1) One-sided Influence. Influence of one sound on another may be either partial (modification) or complete (assimilation). We must further distinguish the influence of vowel on vowel, vowel on consonant, consonant on consonant, and consonant on vowel.

The modification of one vowel by another, commonly called umlaut, is a very important feature of Teutonic sound-change. The following are the most important Teutonic umlauts, which I have formulated as equations.

> a...i=è : O.E. ènde=Gothic andi ; O. Icelandic wèèri $=$ waari.
> a...u=ò: O. Icelandic mònnum=mannum, sòòr=saaru ( $p$ l. of saar).
> i...a=é : O.E. stélan=Gothic stilan.
> u...a=ó: O.E. oft=Gothic ufta.
> u...i=y : O.E. fyllan=fullian, myys=muusi.
> ó...i=œ: OE. grœœne=gróóni.

There are also umlauts of diphthongs, such as $\grave{e} y$ in the Old Icelandic lèysa=lausian.

The change of $a i$ into $\grave{e} i$ in Old Icelandic (vèit=vait), and the further change of $\grave{e} i$ into $e ́ i$ in Modern Icelandic, are examples of what might be called diphthongic umlaut.

It is clear that in all these umlauts the new vowel is exactly intermediate between the original vowel of the root and the modifying one of the termination : if the new vowel became identical with its modifier, the result would be not an umlaut but a complete assimilation. In the Old Icelandic skopuð $u=$ skapa $\delta u$ the first vowel is modified, the second assimilated by the final $u$.

Vowel influence on consonants is not very common, but the different forms of German ch, after back, front, and rounded rowels, as in ach, ich, auch, are instances of it.

Consonant influence on consonants is very strongly developed in some languages: what is called sandhi in Sanskrit and mutation in the Celtic languages falls partly under this head. The Teutonic languages, on the other hand, are remarkable for the independence of their consonants, and the freedom with which they are combined without modifying one another. Consonant influence on vowels, lastly, is perhaps the obscurest of all phonetic problems: the explanation of its varied phenomena seems to require a far greater knowledge of the synthesis of speech-sounds than is at present attained by phonologists. These influences are strongly developed both in Old and Modern English, and will be treated of in their place.

The converse of the processes just considered is dissimilation, by which two identical sounds are made unlike, or two similar sounds are made to diverge. The development of the Teutonic preterite wista out of witta is an example of consonantal, the diphthongization of ii into éi in Early Modern English of vowel dissimilation, while the further change of $e ́ i$ into $\partial i$ and $a i$ is a case of divergence of similar sounds. The whole phenomena of dissimilation is anomalous, and it is doubtful whether many of the instances ought not to be ascribed to purely external causes, as, for instance, the desire of greater clearness.
2) Mutual Influence. Mutual influence, in which both the sounds are modified by one another, may be either partial or complete. I do not know of any sure instance of partial convergence.

The commonest type of complete convergence is such a change as that of au into $\grave{o} 0$, in which two distinct sounds are simplified into one sound different from and yet similar to both of them. This simplification of diphthongs is, as we shall see, a very frequent phenomenon in the history of English sounds. Of consonantal simplification we have an example in the English wh in what, which was first khwat, then $h$-wat, and lastly what, the initial $h$ being incorporated into the $w$, which consequently lost its vocality.

The converse phenomenon of divergence is exemplified in the resolution of simple long vowels into diphthongs. We have seen that $\dot{o} o$ is often the result of the simplification of au, but in Icelandic the process has been reversed-the Old Icelandic $\grave{o} o ̀$ (as in dòò from daað) has become au. In the same way the Middle English yy has in the present English been resolved into $i u$. Whether short vowels are ever resolved is very doubtful.

## IV. Transposition.

Transposition may be of consonants, as in the familiar cex for ask, or else of vowels in different syllables, as in the Greek meinō for meniō. This latter case must be carefully distinguished from umlaut. There seem also to be cases of transposition in different words, or in whole classes of words, such as the confusion between 'air=hair and hair=air, which seems to be often made in the London dialect.

The results obtained may be conveniently summed up thus:

## A. Simple Changes.

I. Weakening.

1) Glottal : voice to whisper and breath.
2) Pharyngal: narrow to wide.
3) Position : a) back to front.
b) back to point.
c) back to lip?
d) front to point.
e) front and point to lip?
f) back and front to mixed (vowels only).
g) vowel-height?
4) Relaxation : a) stop to unstopped; b) unstopped to vowel ; c) untrilling.
5) Vowel-rounding: rounding of back; unrounding of front.

## II. Loss.

1) Of rowels : unaccented final $c$.
2) Consonants: before vorwel, before another consonant; initial, medial, final.

## B. Complex Changes

## III. Influence.

1) One-sided, a) convergent : partial (modification), complete (assimilation) ; vowel on vowel (umlaut), vowel on consonant, cousonant on consonant (sandhi), consonant on vowel.
b) divergent (dissimilation) : of vowels, of consonants.
2) Mutual, a) convergent :
partial (diphthongic umlaut), complete (diphthongic simplification) ; consonantal.
b) divergent: resolution of long vowels, of short (?).

> IV. Thanspostrion.

1) Of consonants.
2) Of vowels (in different syllables).
3) In different words.

## Imitative Sound-Changes.

The general principle on which imitative changes depend is simply this-that the same effect, or nearly the same, may be produced on the ear by very different means. Thus, starting from the mid-front-narrow vowel $c$, we can loweir
its natural pitch either by slightly raising the back of the tongue, and thus producing the corresponding mixed a instead of the front vowel, or else by rounding into the mid-front-round $\propto$, the result being that $\propto$ and $\partial$ are so alike in sound that they are constantly confused in many languages. This similarity of sound between the mixed and round vowels was first pointed out by Mr. Bell (Visible Speech, p. 87).

There is the same similarity between the low-narrow and the mid-wide vowels, and also between the high-wide and the mid-narrow. Thus the English $e$ in men is indifferently pronounced, either as the mid-front-wide or the low-frontnarrow, and the a in bot as the high-back-wide or the mid-back-narrow.

Whenever, then, we find a sound changing directly into another which, although very similar in acoustic effect, is formed in quite a different manner, we may be sure that the change is an imitative, not an organic one. Thus, when we find $\propto$ and $a$ constantly interchanging without any intermediate stages, it would be unreasonable to assume, as we should have to do on the assumption of organic change, three such stages as $\propto$, é, $\partial$, whereas the imitative hypothesis makes the direct change of $\infty$ into a perfectly intelligible.

## Inorganic Changes.

Inorganic sound-changes, which result from purely external causes, are of a very varied character, and are consequently difficult to classify. One of the most prominent of these external influences is the striving after logical clearness, which comes more and more into play as the sounds of the language become less distinct. Clearness may again be attained in many ways-by discarding one of two words which have run together in form, though distinct in meaning, or by taking advantage of any tendency to change which may keep the two words distinct (scheideformen). The phenomenon of lecelling, by which advanced languages get rid of superfluous distinctions, is a very im-
portant inorganic change, and is strongly developed in Transition English. A familiar aspect of inorganic soundchange is the alteration of foreign words so as to give then a homely appearance, as in sparrou-grass for asparagus.

## General Law of Change.

The investigation of tho various laws of sound-changeimportant as it is-must not be allowed to divert our attention from the general principle on which they all depend, namely that of incessant change-alternations of development and decay. To say that language changes looks very like a truism, but if so, it is a truism whose consequences are very generally ignored by theorizers on pronunciation. The most important lesson that it teaches us is to regard all cases of stand-still, whether of phonetic or of general linguistic development, as abnormal and exceptional. These cases of arrested development are really much rarer than is commonly supposed, and many of them are quite delusive-the result of the retention of the written representation of an older language, from which the real living language has diverged widely. English and Icelandic are striking examples. The written English language is for all practical purpose an accurate representation of the spoken language of the sixteenth century, which, as far as the sounds themselves are concerned, is as different from the present English as Latin is from Italian. The apparent stability of our language during the last ferv centuries is purely delusive.

The case of English and Icelandic also shows how it is possible for a language to retain its grammatical structure unimpaired, and at the same time to undergo the most sweeping changes in its phonetic system. How much more then are we bound to expect a change of pronunciation where the whole grammatical structure of a language has been subverted!

It is not only in its unceasing alternations of development and decay that language shows its analogy with the other manifestations of organic life, but also in another very
important feature, namely in that of increasing complexity of phonetic structure. The greater number of sounds in a late as opposed to an early language is at once evident on comparing two languages belonging to the same stock, but in different stages of development, such as English with German, French with Italian or Spanish. It can further be shown that even in German, in its sounds one of the most archaic of the living Teutonic languages, unany of the simple vowels are of comparatively late origin.

The sounds of early languages, besides being few in number, are more sharply marked off, more distinct than those of their descendants. Compare the multitude of indistinct vowel sounds in such a language as English with the clear simplicity of the Gothic and Sanskrit triad $a, i, u$-the three most distinct sounds that could possibly be produced. From these three vowels the complex systems of the modern languages have been developed by the various changes already treated of.

There can be little doubt that the simplicity of earlier phonetic systems was partly due to want of acoustic discrimination, and that primitive Man contented himself with three vowels, simply because he would have been unable to distinguish between a larger number of sounds. The really marvellous fineness of ear displayed by those who speak such languages as English, Danish, or French, must be the result of the accumulated experience of innumerable generations.

From this we can easily deduce another law, namely that the changes in early languages are not gradual, but per saltum. A clear appreciation of this principle is of considerable importance, as many philologists have assumed that in such changes as that of a back into a front consonant (Sanskrit $k$ into $c h$ ) the tongue was shifted forwards by imperceptible gradations. Such assumptions are quite unnecessary, besides being devoid of proof. To people accustomed previously only to the broad distinction between back and point consonant, the further distinction of front must at first have appeared almost indistinguishable from its two extremes.

Under such circumstances it is not easy to sce how they could havo distinguished intermediate modifications of the original sound.

## General Alphabetics.

Although it would be possible to carry on the present investigation on a purely comparative basis-confining our attention exclusively to the living languages-such a process would prove tedious and difficult, if pursued without any help from the historical method, many of whose deductions are perfectly well established : to ignore these would be perverse pedantry. But the historical method must be based on a study of the graphic forms in which the older languages are preserved, and especially of their relation to the sounds they represent. It is quite useless to attempt to draw deductions from the spelling of a language till we know on what principles that spelling was formed. We have only to look at living languages to see how greatly the value of the spelling of each language varies. In English and French the spelling is almost worthless as a guide to the actual language ; in German and Spanish the correspondence between sound and symbol is infinitely closer, and in some languages, such as Finnish and Hungarian, it is almost perfect-as far as the radical defects of the Roman alphabet allow.

With these facts before us, it is clearly unreasonable to assume, as many philologists have done, that the same divergence between orthography and pronunciation which characterizes Modern English prevailed also in the earlier periods, and consequently that no reliable deductions can be drawn from the graphic forms. I feel confident that every one who has patience enough to follow me to the end of the present discussion will be convinced of the very opposite. Putting aside the actual evidence altogether, it is quite clear that the wretched attempts at writing the sounds of our dialects made by educated men of the present day cannot be taken as standards from which to infer a similar result a thousand years ago.

An educated man in the nineteenth century is one who
has been taught to associate groups of type-marks with certain ideas: his conception of language is visual, not oral. The same system is applied to other languages as well as English, so that we have the curious phenomenon of people studying French and German for twenty years, and yet being unable to understand a single sentence of the spoken languages; also of Latin verses made and measured by eye, like a piece of carpentry, by men who would be unable to comprehend the metre of a single line of their own compositions, if read out in the manner of the ancients. The study of Egyptian hieroglyphics affords almost as good a phonetic training as this.

Before the invention of printing the case was very different. The Roman alphabet was a purely phonetic instrument, the value of each symbol being learned by ear, and consequently the sounds of the scribe being also written by ear. The scarcity of books, the want of communication between literary men, and the number of literary dialects-all these causes made the adoption of a rigid, unchanging orthography a simple impossibility. It must not, of course, be imagined that there were no orthographical traditions, but it may be safely said that their influence was next to none at all. The only result of greater literary cultivation in early times was to introduce a certain roughness and carelessness in distinguishing shades of sound : we shall see hereafter that sounds which were kept distinct in the thirteenth-century spelling were confused in the time of Chaucer, although it is quite certain that they were still distinguished in speech. But such defects, although inconvenient to the investigator, do not lead him utterly astray, like the retention of a letter long after the corresponding sound has changed or been lost, which is so often the case in orthographies fixed on a traditional basis.

Early scribes not only had the advantage of a rational phonetic tradition-not a tradition of a fixed spelling for each word, but of a small number of letters associated each with one sound;-but, what is equally important, the mere practical application of this alphabet forced them to observe
and analyse the sounds they wrote down : in short they were trained to habits of phonetic observation. Yet another advantage was possessed by the earliest scribes-that of a comparatively limited number of sounds to deal with. For the proofs of this position I must refer to the remarks I have made in the discussion of the Laws of Sound Change, and to the details of the investigation itself.

The Roman alphabet consisted of six simple vowel signs, a eiouy: on these six letters the vowel notation of all the Teutonic languages was based. If, therefore, we can determine the sounds attached to these letters by the Romans during the first few centuries of Christianity, we can also determine, within certain limits, the sounds of the unlettered tribes who adopted the Roman alphabet to write their own languages. Nor need our determination be absolutely accurate. It is certain that minute shades of difference between a Latin and, for example, an Old English sound would not have deterred the first writers of English from adopting the letter answering to the Latin sound: all that was wanted was a distinctive symbol.

Now there can be no doubt as to the general values of the six Roman vowel-signs. The sounds of the first five are still preserved in nearly all the Modern Latin languages, and that of the $y$, although lost in Italian and the other cognate languages, can be determined with certainty from the descriptions of the Latin grammarians, and from its being the regular transcription of the Greek upsilon. The values of the Roman vowel-letters may, then, be represented approximately thus:
$a=$ Italian $a$; English father.

| $e$ | $"$ | $e$ | $"$ | bed, bear. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i$ | $"$ | $i$ | $"$ | bit, beat. |
| $o$ | $"$ | 0 | $"$ | odd, bore. |
| u | ", | u | $"$ | full, fool. |
| $y=$ | French $u$; | Danish $y$. |  |  |

We see that even in English the traditional values of the Roman letters have been very accurately preserved in many
cases, and it need hardly be said that the majority of the living Teutonic languages have preserved them almost as faithfully as Italian and Spanish. We thus find that the Romance and Teutonic traditions are in complete harmony after a lapse of more than ten centuries. The greatest number of exceptions to the general agreement occur in the two most advanced languages of each group-English and French; but it can be shown that these divergences are of very late origin, and that in the sisteenth century the original tradition was still maintained.

We may now pass from the consideration of the single letters to that of their combinations or digraphs. The first use of digraphs, namely to express diphthongs, is self-evident, but they have a distinct and equally important function in symbolizing simple sounds which have no proper sign in the original Roman alphabet. The plan adopted was to take the symbols of two different sounds which both resembled the one in question, and write them one after the other, implying, however, that they were to be pronounced not successively but simultaneously-that an intermediate sound was to be formed. Thus, supposing there had been no $y$ in the Roman alphabet, the sound might still have been easily represented by writing $u$ and $i$ (or $e$ ) together, implying an intermediate sound, which is no other than that of $y$. As we see, the framers of the Old English alphabet, living at a time when the Roman $y$ still had its original sound, had no need of this expedient; but in Germany, where the sound of $y$ did not develope till a comparatively late period-during the twelfth century-the only course open was to resort to a digraph, so that the sound which in Danish is still expressed by the Old Roman $y$, is in Modern German written $u e$.

This ue affords at the same time an excellent example of the way in which diacritical modifications are developed out of digraphs. The first step is to write one of the two letters above or under the other: accordingly we find the German $u e$ in later times written $i$. Afterwards the $e$ was further abbreviated into two dots, giving the faniliar $\ddot{u}$. In some cases the diacritic becomes incorporated into the letter, and
there results what is practically an entirely now letter. Although most diacritics can be explained in this way, as corruptions of originally independent letters, there are still a few cases of arbitrary modification, of which the Old English $\delta$ from $d$ is an example. Cases of the arbitrary use of consonants as digraphic modifiers also occur. Thus $h$ has come to be a perfectly unmeaning sign, implying any imaginable modification of the consonant it is associated with. Compare $g$ and $g h$ in Italian, $l$ and $l l$ in Portuguese, ete. The doubling of consonants to express new sounds is equally arbitrary, as in the Welsh $f f$ as distinguished from $f$, and the Middle English ss=sh.

In all the cases hitherto considered the digraph is formed consciously and with design, but it often happens that a diphthong becomes simplified, and the original digraph is still retained for the sake of distinctness. Thus, if the diphthong iu passes into the simple sound of $y y$, it is clearly the simplest and most practical course to retain the $i u$, as being a perfeetly legitimate representation of a sound which, although simple, lies between $i$ and $u$.

All diacritical letters, whatever their origin, are distinguished in one very important respect from the older digraphs -they are perfectly unambiguous, while it is often difficult to determine whether a given digraph is meant to represent a diphthong or a simple sound. There is, howerer, one invariable criterion, although, unfortunately, it cannot always be applied, which is the reversibility of the elements of the digraph. Thus, the sound written oe in Old English, as in boec (later bec), might, on the evidence of this spelling alone, be taken equally well for a diphthongic combination of $o$ and $e$, or for a sound intermediate to these two vowels; but when we find boec and beoc alternating, as they do, on the same page, we see that the $e$ was a mere modifier, whose position before or after the vowel to be modified was quite immaterial: the sound must therefore have been simple-a conclusion which is fully confirmed by other evidence.

The Roman alphabet has been further enriched by the differentiation of various forms of the same letter, of which
the present distinctions between $u$ and $v, i$ and $j$, are instances. In these cases varieties of form which were originally purely ornamental and arbitrary have been ingeniously utilized to express distinctions in sounds.

## Quantity and Quality in the Teutonic Languages.

The distinguishing feature of the early Teutonic languages is the important part played in them by quantity. This subject has been very fully investigated by Grimm and his school in Germany, and it may be regarded as proved beyond a doubt that in the Teutonic languages quantity was originally quite independent of stress or quality, and that many words were distinguished solely by their quantity.

Even so late as the thirteenth century we find the German poetry regulated partly by quantitative laws. Not only are short and long vowels never rhymed together, but there is also a fine distinction made between dissyllables with short and long penultimates; words like bite (modern bitte) being treated as metrically equivalent to a monosyllable, while rite (now reite) is regarded as a true dissyllable. Many metres which employ monosyllabic rhyme-words indifferently with words like bite do not show a single instance of a dissyllable like rite at the end of the line.

Similar instances may be adduced from the Icelandic rímur of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries.

All this is fully confirmed by the direct evidence of many German MSS. of the eleventh century, which employ the circumflex regularly to denote a long vowel.

It is further generally admitted that in the living Teutonic languages these distinctions have mostly vanished, short vowels before single consonants having been generally lengthened, and that quantitative distinctions have been replaced by qualitative ones. The gencral laws, however, on which these changes depend, have not hitherto been investigated, and I propose hereafter to treat of them in some iletail: at present we must content ourselves with an examination of the more general features of the change.

In the substitution of qualitative for quantitative distinctions we can easily observe three stages, 1) the purely quantitative, 2) the transitional, in which, while the distinctions of quantity are still preserved, short and long vowels begin to diverge qualitatively also, and 3 ) the qualitative, in which long and short vowels are confounded, so that the original quantitative distinctions are represented, if at all, by quality only.

That the oldest English still retained the original quantitative system is in itself highly probable from the analogy of the other cognate languages, and also admits of decisive proof. If we take two vowels, one originally long, the other originally short, which are both long and yet qualitatively distinct in the living language, and show that they were qualitatively identical at an earlier period, we are forced to assume a purely quantitative distinction, for the later divergence of quality could not have developed out of nothing. Let us take the words stoun and bein, written in Old English stan and bana. It is quite certain that the $a$ of stan was originally long, for it is nothing but a simplification of an older $a i$, still preserved in the German shtain, while there is equally decisive proof of the shortness of the $a$ of bana. Now, if there had been any difference in the quality of the two vowels, they would eertainly not have been written with the same letter. The back vowel $a$ can only be modified in two directions-in that of $e$ or of $o$, that is, by fronting or rounding, and, as we shall see hereafter, such changes were regularly indicated by a change of spelling, even when the departure from the original sound was very minute. We are, therefore, led to the conclusion that the present purely qualitative distinction between storn and bein was in the Old English period purely quantitative-staan and bana. Similar evidence is afforded by the other vowels.

As we have little direct evidence of the quantity of individual Old English words, recnurse must be had to the comparison of the old cognates, for the details of which I must refer to the works of Grimm and his successors in Germany. Mueh may also be learned from the qualitative distinctions of the modern languages.

## OLD ENGLISH PERIOD.

We may now proceed to a detailed examination of the vowel-sounds of our language in its oldest stage. The results of this investigation-which is an indispensable preliminary to the study of the later changes - cannot be properly appreciated till the evidence is fully set forth; at present I only wish to remind the reader that a rigorously mathematical method is quite impracticable in such an investigation, which can only be carried out by a process of cumulative reasoning, based on a number of independent probabilities. Nothing can be more irrational than to ignore an obvious deduction merely because it is a deduction, or to discard one that, although not absolutely certain, is extremely probable, in favour of another that is only barely possible.

The principle I have adopted in cases of uncertainty is to adopt the oldest sound that can be ascertained. It happens in many cases that although we can say with certainty that a sound underwent a certain change, we cannot point out the exact period in which the new sound arose. It must be borne in mind that the written language, even in the most illiterate and therefore untraditional times, is always somewhat behind the living speech, and further that a new pronunciation may exist side by side with the old for a long time. In such cases it is necessary to have some definite criterion of selection, and that of always taking the oldest sound seems the most reasonable.

## Short Vowels. <br> A ( $\mathbb{x}, 0)$.

The short $a$ of the cognate languages is in Old English preserved only in certain cases: 1) before a single consonant followed by $a$, $o$, or $u$, which have, however, in the earliest extant period of the language been in some cases weakened into $e$ : hara, hagol, carrl, care ; 2) before nasals: bana, lamb, lang. In other cases $a$ is replaced by $c$ : dreg, ceppel, creeftig. Alternations of $a$ and $e$ according to these rules often occur
in various inflexions of the same word: deeg, decges, clagas, dagum. a before nasals is liable to interchange with $0:$ bona, lomb, long. This 0 is so frequent in the carlier period as in many words almost to supersede the $a$, but afterwards the $a$ gets the upper hand, the $o$ being preserved in only a ferw very frequent words, such as bonne, on, of, which last is an exceptional case of o developing before $f$, also occurring in the proper name Offa (=original $A b a$ ).

So far goes the evidence of the graphic forms, as it may be found in any comparative grammar, and before bringing in the living languages it will be as well to consider what deductions may be drawn from them. In the first place it is clear that the development of the $c e$ is not due to any assimilation, but is a purely negative phenomenon, that is to say, that wherever $a$ was not supported by a back vowel in the next syllable, it was weakened into $c e$ without any regard to the following consonant. The change cannot therefore, as German philologists have already remarked, be compared to the regular vowel-mutation or umlaut.

As to the pronunciation of this $a$, the spelling clearly points to a sound intermediate between $a$ and $e$, while the joining together of the two letters and the frequent degradation of the $a$ into a mere diacritic, which is sometimes entirely omitted, show that it was a simple sound, not a diphthong: further than this we cannot advance till we have determined more accurately the sounds of $a$ and $e$.

It is also clear that the 0 of long=lang must have been distinct from the regular 0 in gold, etc., for otherwise they would have run together and been confused. This conclusion is further confirmed by direct graphic evidence. In the riddles of that well-known collection of Old English poetry, the Exeter Book, the solution is sometimes given in Runic letters written backwards, and in one of them occurs the word cofoall which, read backwards, gives haofoc $=h a f o c$ (hawl). Here we have an $a$ labialized before $f$, as in of $=a f$, written ao, with the evident intention of indicating a sound intermediate between $a$ and $o$, just as $c e$ points to a sound intermediate between $a$ and $c$.

We may now turn our attention to the pronunciations of the modern languages. Disregarding minute shades of sound, we may distinguish three kinds of as in the living Teutonic languages:

1) the mid-back-wide: English father, ordinary German $a$.
2) the low-back-wide: Scotch short $a$ in man.
3) the low-back-narrow: I hear this sound in the South German dialects for both long and short $a$, and in Dutch for the short $a$, especially before $l$.

As to the relative antiquity of these sounds, there can be little doubt that the first is a later modification of the second, and it is very probable that the second is a weakened form of the third. In fact, it may safely be said that this last requires more exertion in its utterance than any other vowel -a fact which easily accounts for its rarity, and also for its preservation in the South German dialects, which, as we shall see hereafter, have preserved their short vowels more purely than any of the other languages.

Are we then to assume that the Old English a had this narrow sound? Analogy is certainly in favour of this assumption, but a little consideration will show that it is untenable. If $a$ had been narrow, its weakening $c$, which is simply $a$ moved on towards $e$, would also have been narrow, giving no other sound than the low-front-narrow; but this, as we shall see, was the sound of the open short $e$, from which the $r e$ is kept quite distinct: the $a$, therefore, cannot have been narrow, nor, consequently, its parent $a$. But if we suppose the $a$ to have had the sound of the Scotch manthat is the low-wide-the difficulty is cleared away, and we come to the very probable conclusion that the $a$ had the exact sound of the modern English man-the low-frontwide.

The $a$ if labialized (or rounded) would naturally give the low-back-round-wide (English not), and as there is every reason to believe that the normal $o$ was the mid-back-roundnarrow, we see that the labialized $a$ in monn, etc., was exactly half-way between $a$ and o-a conclusion to which we have already been led by an examination of the graphic evidence.

## I.

The only debatable point about the $i$ is whether it had the wide sound of the English and Icelandic or the narrow of the German and Swedish short $i$. All we can say is that, although it is possible that the wide sound may have been the real one, every analogy is in favour of the narrow.

## E.

We must distinguish two kinds of $e s$ in the Teutonic languages, 1) the $a$-mutation of $i$, as in helpan $=$ Gothic hilpan, and 2) the $i$-mutation of $a$, as in $e n d e=$ Gothic and Old High German andi. The two sounds are now confounded in the Teutonic languages, but there is clear evidence that they were formerly distinct, for in the Middle High German poetry the two es are never rhymed together, and the Icelander bóroddr, in his treatise on orthography, carefully distinguishes the two, stating that the $e$ from $a$ had a sound which was a mixture of $a$ and $e$, implying, of course, that the other $e$ was nearer to the $i$ from which it arose.

It has been generally assumed by comparative philologists that there was no distinction between the two es in Old English, but, as I have pointed out elsewhere, ${ }^{1}$ there is unmistakable graphic evidence to prove that there was a distinction, the $e$ from $a$ being often written $a$, although this spelling was soon abandoned because of the confusion it caused with the regular $c e$ of dreg, etc.

Putting all these facts together, remembering that the one $e$ was nearer $i$, the other nearer $a$, and yet distinct from the $c$, we can hardly help assigning to the $e$ from $i$ the sound of the mid-front-narrow, and to the $e$ from $a$ that of the low-front-narrow. That the $e$ from $a$ was narrow need not make any difficulty, when we consider that the change took place at a much earlier period than that of the development of the ce of dreg, etc.-in short, at a period in which the $a$ was probably narrow in all the Teutonic languages.

[^6]The unaccented $e$ in such words as gebiden, ende, requires to be considered separately. In all the living Teutonic languages which possess this sound-that is to say, all except Icelandic and English-it is the mid-mixed-narrow. But in many of the South German dialects the mid-front-narrow occurs, which is clearly a more ancient sound. That this was the sound of the Old Icelandic unaccented $e$ (now written and pronounced $i$ ) is clear from póroddr's expressly adducing the second vowel of framer ( $=$ framir: nom. plur. masc. of framr) as an example of the close $e$ arising from $i$.

It seems most reasonable to suppose that this pronunciation, which is also preserved to the present day in South Germany, was also the Old English one.
U.

What has been said of $i$ applies equally to $u$, namely that analogy is in favour of its having had the narrow German sound rather than the wide English one.

## 0.

It is quite clear that the sound now given to the regular short $o$ in all the Teutonic languages except German-the low-back-wide-round-cannot be the old one; for, as we have seen, this was the sound of the modified $a$ before nasals (monn, etc.) which is kept quite distinct from the regular o in such a word as oft. This latter o is nothing else than an $u$-mutation of $u$ (compare oft with Gothic ufta): it seems, therefore, reasonable to suppose that, as the $a$-mutation of $i$ diftered from the latter vowel simply in being lowered one degree towards the "low" position of the $a$, the $o$ was simply the $u$ lowered from its high to the mid position, resulting in the mid-back-narrow-round. Now this is the sound still preserved all over South Germany, and until further evidence is forthcoming it seems to me that we are justified in assuming that the same was the Old English sound.
Y.

This letter, which was originally nothing else but a Greek $r$, was adopted into the Roman alphabet to denote the sound
of the Greek $u$, which did not exist in Latin. The pronunciation of this Greek $u$ is gencrally agreed to have been that of the French $u$ or the German $u$, and it is clear, from the descriptions of the Roman grammarians, that they attached the same value to their $y$, with which the Greek $u$ is invariably transcribed. It is a remarkable fact that while the original sound of the Roman $y$ has been quite lost in the Romance languages, it is still preserved in Danish and Swedish. As we know that the Seandinavian nations learned the use of the Roman alphabet from England, this Scandinavian tradition not only confirms the generally-received pronunciation of the Roman $y$, but also affords independent proof of the sound of the letter in Old English.

In its origin $y$ is the $i$-mutation of $u$; its sound is therefore, as the Icelander bóroddr says, " blended together of $i$ and $u$," and bóroddr actually considers $y$ to be a combination of these two letters. The sound which fulfils these conditions is clearly that which is still preserved in South Germany, Sweden, and, in many words, in Danish - the high-front-narrow-round. This, then, we may safely assume to have been the Old English sound also.

## Long Vowels.

## AA.

Long $a$ in Old English corresponds to an $a i$ of the older cognates, Gothic and Old High German, of which it is a simplified form. As the aa has been rounded at a later period, and is represented in the present language by the diphthong our, some theorists, who seem incapable of realizing the possibility of sounds changing during the lapse of ten centuries, have assumed that it was labial in the Old English period as well. The answer to this is, that if the sound had been at all labial, it would have been written, at least occasionally, o or oa, as was actually done at a later period, and as the Old English scribes themselves did in the case of short a before nasals: when we find the tenth century scribes writing invariably stan, and those of the twelfth century
writing as invariably stoon or ston, it seems simplest to infer that the former meant to indicate $a$ and the latter some variety of 0 .

> 不压.

There are two long ces in Old English. The commonest is that which corresponds to original $a i$, as in $s \bar{\infty}, d \bar{e} l=$ Gothic saiw, dait. The relation of this $\bar{e}$ to the $\bar{a}$ treated of above is not quite clear. In some words, such as clēne $=$ Old German kleini, the $c c$ may be explained as an umlaut of $\bar{a}$, original claini first becoming cläni and then clāni. But such words as $s \bar{e}$ and d $\bar{e} l$ do not admit this explanation. It seems therefore simplest to assume that $\overline{\boldsymbol{e}}$ and $\bar{a}$ are both independent modifications of $a i$, the former being formed by convergence, the latter by loss of the $i$.

The second $\bar{e}$ is that which corresponds to original $\bar{a}$, Gothic $\bar{e}$, as in $d \bar{e} d=$ Gothic $d \bar{e} d$, Old German tāt. It is, however, quite clear (as will be shown hereafter) from the Modern English forms that this $\bar{e}$ did not exist in the dialect from which literary English has arisen, but was represented by $\bar{e}$, as in Gothic, which is the case even in the West-Saxon in some words, such as wēn=Old German wän, Gothic wēn, and the proper name $\mathcal{A} l f r e ̄ d=O l d$ German $A l p r a ̈ t$.

The only question about the sound of $\bar{e}$ is whether it was narrow or wide. The analogy of short $c e$ would rather point to its being wide, that of the pronunciation of Modern German, in which the $\grave{e}$-umlaut of $\bar{a}$ (kè̀̀ $\approx=k a a s i$ ) is always narrow, rather to narrowness. In fact the long sound of the $a$ in $m a n$ is quite unknown in the Modern Teutonic languages. It must also be borne in mind that $\bar{e}$ is probably a much older formation than the short $a$, and may very well have been developed at a time when all the vowels were still narrow. If so, long $c e$ must have been the low-front-narrow.

## EE.

Long $\bar{e}$ corresponds first to original $\tilde{a}$, although, as already stated, this $\bar{e}$ often becomes $\bar{e}$ in the West-Saxon dialect. In many words it is a simplification of the diphthongs e $e \bar{a}$ and $e \bar{o}$,
as in $n \bar{e} d, \bar{e} c=n c \bar{u} d$, eāc (both of which forms are also common), gēng = geöng. The third and most common $\bar{e}$ is the $i$-umlaut of $\overline{0}$, written oe in the oldest documents, as in greene (groene) $=$ original $g r \bar{o} n i$. The pronunciation of all these $\bar{e} s$ was probably the same, as they are not distinguished from one another in writing, and cannot well have been any other than the mid-front-narrow.

$$
\mathrm{II}, \mathrm{UU}
$$

Correspond to original $i i$ and $u u$, which are still preserved in the Scandinavian languages, the Old English wīn and hüs being now pronounced in Icelandic and Danish riin, huus. There can be no doubt that the Old English sounds were the same as those still preserved in these languages-the high-front-narrow and the high-back-navrow-round.

00
Corresponds to original $\bar{o}$, as in $g \bar{o} d$, mōdor. The sound was no doubt the same as that still preserved in Danish and Swedish, namely the mid-back-narrow-round, but without the abnormal rounding of the óo of these languages. ${ }^{1}$

## YY

Is the umlaut of $\bar{u}$, as in $m \bar{y} s=m \bar{u} s i$, plural of $m \bar{u} s$. In some words, such as fyr (Old German viutar), it is a simplification of $i u$ by diphthongal convergence. Its pronunciation cannot well have been anything else than the high-front-narrow-round.

## Diphthongs.

EA.
Whenever original $a$ comes before consonant-combinations beginning with $l, r$, or $h$, it is not changed into re, but becomes ea, as in eall, wearm, weax. There can be no doubt that this ea was a true diphthong: its elements are never reversed (p. 23), nor is it confounded with $a e$ or $c$. The only question is whether the stress was

[^7]on the first or the second element. There is evidence which seems to point to the conclusion that the stress fell on the $a$. In Middle English ea is generally lost, but in the archaic fourteenth century Kentish of the Ayenbite, the old diphthong is still preserved in such words as eald, healden. But this $e a$ is very often represented by $y a$, sometimes by $y e a$, so that the Old English eald appears as eald, yald and yeald. Here we have the glide-vowel represented by the Middle English consonant $y$, showing clearly that the stress was on the $a$. As to the origin of the $c a$, the theory first propounded by Rapp (Physiologie der Sprache, ii. 145) seems the most probable, namely that $a$ first became $c e$ before all consonants (except nasals), so that ald became celle, and that this ce was then diphthongized into ea or rather cea.
EO.

Similarly, when é comes before $r, l$ and $l$-combinations, it is diphthongized into $e o$, as in eor- $\delta e$, meole, feoh. In the Kentish and Northumbrian documents this eo is generally represented by ea, corðe being written earðe. In the word eart (from ert) co never occurs in any of the dialects-the normal eort being unknown even in West-Saxon. When we consider that $e$ in Icelandic also is changed into ia (ea in the oldest MSS.), as in hiarta=Old E. heorte, there seems to be every probability that ea was the older sound, which in eart was preserved in all the dialects, on account of its excessive frequency. As $e o$ is never (except in eart) confused with ea=a in the standard West-Saxon, we must suppose that the series of changes, é, ea, eo, was already completed when $e a=a$ began to develope itself. The rounding of $c a$ into $c o$ is a very curious phenomenon. The frequent rounding of vowels before $l$, of which the Modern English solt from salt is an instance, would lead us to suppose that the change first began before $l$, and then extended to the other words. The analogy of Modern Icelandic, in which the first element of the $i a$ has developed into a consonant, and of the Middle Kentish $y$ in yald, make it very probable that the stress was on the second element.

EAA.
Besides the ea from $a$, there is another $c a$, which answers to original au, as in dream=Gothic draum. As this $e a$ is distinct in origin and in subsequent development from the other ca, it must have been distinct in sound. The only conceivable distinctions are stress and quantity, that is, the $c a=a u$ may have been distinguished either by having the stress on the first element, or else by its accented vowel being long. The former supposition is made untenable by both the Middle Kentish ya, as in dyab, and the Norse spelling Iatvar $\delta \begin{array}{r} \\ \text { ( }=\text { Jút- }\end{array}$ var'r) for Eadicard: these examples show that ea=au had the stress on the same vowel as $e a=a$. We are driven, therefore, to the hypothesis that ca=au had its second element longdreaam. This view is confirmed by the Modern English form of the preterite ceās (Gothic kaus) which is chóóz-an anomaly which is quite inexplicable, except on the assumption of an original long aa. The development of the word is clearly cc-aas, ce-òòs, chòos, chóóz. This seems to be what Rask meant by his accentuating cá, which Grimm also adopted, although Grimm does not seem to have attached any idea of lengthening to the accent.

The development of ean out of au is one of the most difflcult questions in Teutonic philology. All the explanations hitherto given are utterly unsatisfactory, and I will not waste time in criticising them, but rather state what I consider to be the only tenable theory, which, as far as I know, has never been made public, although I was glad to learn from Professor Kern, of Leiden, that it had suggested itself to him also. The explanation we propose is simply this. au first became aa, as in Frisian. This aa followed the short $a$ and became rece. The rece was then resolved into caa or ceaa. We must suppose that these changes took place before ai became aa: otherwise there would have been a confusion between $a a=a u$ and $a a=a i$. There are, of course, certain difficulties still remaining. The development of a diphthong with one of its elements long is anomalons, and we would expect the diphthongization of the hypothetical
cce to take place, like that of short $c$, only before certain consonants. It is, however, quite possible that the diphthongization of long cere was much earlier than that of short $a$, and that the two phenomena are therefore independent. If so, cece may at first have developed into simple ea and the lengthening of the $a$ may have been a secondary process.

## EOO

Answers to original $i u$, as in deop $=$ Gothic diup. There can be no doubt that this $e o=i u$ was distinct from the $e o=e ́$, and every analogy would lead us to suppose that the difference was one of quantity. Positive confirmation is afforded by the English chuuz, which points as clearly to an Old English ceóósan as chóóz does to a ceans. The Icelandic ióó, as in kiöósa (Modern lijousa), shows the same anomalous lengthening of the second element.

There is some uncertainty about the first elements of these diphthongs. Some clue is however afforded by the interchange of $e$ with $i$ in $e o$ and $e o o$, which never happens with ea and ean: we often find such forms as ior $\delta e$ for eor $\delta e$, but never hiard for leard. The inference clearly is that in co and $c o o$ the initial vowel was closer and higher than in ea, eaa, probably through the assimilative influence of the second element. The diphthongs are then strictly éó, éóó, è $a$, è $a a$ (or possibly rea, ceaa).

For the sake of comparison, I append a table giving Mr. Ellis's results (Early English Pronunciation, p. 534) together

| LETTERS. | ELIIS. | SWEET. | LETTERS. | ELLIS. | SWEET. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25.............. | $n, \mathrm{a} . . . . . . .$. | $a$ | ī .............. | $a \pi . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | $\pi a$ |
| te .......... | : $\mathrm{Q}_{2}$.............. | $\boldsymbol{e}$ | 衣 .............. | ææ .............. | EE |
| ò.............. | 0 .............. | 0 | е̄ .............. | ee .................. | ce |
| i .............. | $i$.............. | i | $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$.............. | ii ................... | ii |
| c̀.............. | e .............. | E | о̄ .............. | 00.................. | 00 |
| E.............. | e .............. | $e$ | ü .............. | Uu.................. | uu |
| u.............. | u, u? ..... | 11 | $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$.............. | yy, ii ......... | II |
| ó.............. | 0 .............. | 0 | e2 .............. | ea, ed ......... | Eá (ra?) |
| y.............. | $y, i$......... | I | e0.............. | e0, eó ......... | có |
|  |  |  | eī.............. | ea, eá ......... | Eaíá |
|  |  |  | ¢о̄.............. | eo, eó .......... | cóó |

with my own, both in palæotype. It will be observed that Mr. Ellis (like all his predecessors) confounds the two short es and os, which I have carefully distinguished. He is also not clear as to the distinction between $c a, c o$, and $e \bar{a}, c \bar{o}$. Otherwise our results approximate very closely.

## MIDDLE ENGLISH PERIOD.

## Orthography.

Some important revolutions in orthography took place during the transition from the Old to the Middle periodmost of them the result of French influence.

There are many instances of French influence on the consonant notation : in the vowels two cases require special notice, these are the use of $u$ for the Old English $y$, and of ou for the Old English un. The explanation of the former change must be sought in the fact that $y$ in the Middle poriod lost its original value, and became confused with $i$, while in the beginning of words it assumed its present consonantal value. The result was that the old sound of $y$ was left without a symbol, and the want was suppiied, imperfectly enough, by adopting the French representation of the sound, which was $u$. But $u$ was further employed, also in imitation of French usage, to represent the voiced sound of uhe Old E. $f$, so that $u$, which still retained its original pronunciation in many cases, stood for three distinct sounds. In course of time the short $y$-sound disappeared more and more, and at the same time a large number of long $y$ s were introduced in words taken from the French, which were all written with $u$ (nature, etc.). To remedy the consequent confusion between $u=y y$ and $u=u u$ (hus, etc.), the French ou was introduced as the representation of the latter sound, so that natyyre and luus were distinguished in writing as nature and hous. For the details of the change of $u$ into ou I must refer to Mr. Ellis's Early English Pronunciation, where the subject is treated at great length.

These changes are important, as showing that the Middle

English scribes were not at all biassed by traditions of the earlier orthography, and therefore that their testimony can be unhesitatingly accepted, as far as it goes.

We may now turn to the actual sound-changes, beginning with the most important and characteristic of them all, which I will call

## Vowel-levelling.

In the Transition period (Semi-Saxon) we are confronted by the curious and apparently inexplicable phenomenon of a language ignoring, as it were, the changes of an earlier period, and returning to the original sounds. Such is at least the case with the Old English modifications of $a$ and $e ́:$ where Old English has $c$, ea or eo, Middle English has the unmodified $a$ and $e$. Compare gleed, heard, seofon, with the Middle English glad, hard, seren.

Such a change as that of gleed into glad is doubly anomalous, both as being a return to a pronunciation older than that of the oldest extant documents before the Conquest, and also as a change from a weak front to a strong back rowel. It is, in short, inexplicable, if considered as an ordinary organic sound-change. The explanation must be sought among the inorganic sound-changes, due to some purely external cause.

One of the most unmistakable of these inorganic soundchanges is one which may be called levelling. The whole history of English inflection is mainly one of levelling. Thus, in Old English we find the plural formed in a great variety of ways, sometimes in as, sometimes in an, sometimes with different vowels, and sometimes without any change at all. In Modern English we have only the first, which, originally restricted to a limited number of masculine substantives, is now extended to all substantives without distinction. It would evidently be absurd to attempt to explain these changes as organic, to adduce, for instance, the change of the Old English plural heortan into the Modern harts as a case of $n$ becoming $s$. They are clearly due to external causes, and are simply the result of that tendency to get rid
of useless complexity which characterizes the more advanced stages of language: instead of indicating plurality by a variety of terminations, some of which were of a very vague and indistinct character, the later language selected that termination which seemed the most distinctive, and discarded the rest.

We can now understand how men who were engaged every day of their lives in this levelling process, whose language was being broken up and reconstructed with unexampled rapidity -we can understand how those who spoke the Trausition English of the trelfth century came unconsciously to regard the alternation of $c$ and $a$ in such words as dreg, dagas, as an unnecessary piece of discrimination, comparable to that involved in the use of a large number of plural terminations. And so the indistinct $a$-so liable to be confounded with $\grave{e}$-was discarded, and the clear sounding $a$ was made the sole representative of the older $a$ and $e$.

When this process of levelling had once begun, it is easy to sce how ca and co also came to be regarded as superfluous modifications of $a$ and $c$, and were therefore in like manner discarded. As we shall see hereafter, caa and coo (=original $a u$ and $i u$ ) were simplified into $\grave{e ̀}$ and éé respectively; it is, therefore, probable that $c a$ and eo themselves were first simplified into $\grave{e}$ and $\dot{e}$. It is further probable that the first sound of the $\grave{e}=c a$ was identical with that of the Old English $a$. hectrld would, therefore, become harrl, whose ce would naturally follow the other as, and become $a$, giving the Middle English hard. The three spellings heard, luerd, and hard are to be found constantly interchanging in Lazamon and other writers of the period.

Whatever may be the explanation of the fact, there can be no doubt that the Old English $c$, $c a, c o$, were lost in the Middle period, and that the mysterious connection between the Old English ce and the Modern sound in such a word as men (written man) imagined by some philologists, must be given up: the two as are quite independent developments, even when they occur in the same words, as in $\hat{\gamma}_{\text {ret }}$ seet, seed, ceppel. Mr. Ellis has shown that up to the seventeenth
century these words were pronounced $\begin{array}{r} \\ a t \text {, sat, sad, apl, even }\end{array}$ in the court dialect, and the sound $a$ is unknown up to the present day in most of our dialects.

Before investigating the sound-changes of the Middle period in detail, it will be necessary to state the general laws which govern the remarkable qualitative divergence of long and short vowels in the later Teutonic languages. If it can once be shown that all the Teutonic languages follow the same general laws, it is but reasonable to suppose that the same laws will be found valid in the case of Middle English also. We shall have still less hesitation in applying these laws to the elucidation of the Middle English sound-changes, when we consider that the English of the thirteenth century was really as much in advance of its contemporaries as Modern English is of its, and that Middle English is practically on a level with Dutch and the other living Teutonic languages. German, indeed, is in many respects much more archaic than Niddle English, and may be said to stand to it in almost the same relation as Old English does.

I propose, therefore, to give an impartial classification of the principal changes that have taken place in the living Teutonic languages, beginning with the long vowels.

## A. Long Vowels.

1) Back to round (p. 11). Long $a$, whatever its origin, has in all the Teutonic languages except German and Dutch been rounded. Even German and Dutch show the same change in many of their dialects, which give long $a$ the sound of the low-back-narrow-round (English fall). This is also the Swedish and Danish sound, the only difference being that the Scandinavian vowel is pronounced with greater lip narrowing, so that its sound approximates to that of the regular close ó (the "mid" vowel).
2) Front-round to unrounded (page 11). Exemplified in the familiar German change of $c$ and $y$ into $\dot{e}$ and $i$, as in shéén and kiin for shoeoen and kyyn. In Modern Icelandic see became first unrounded, and the resulting ee ran

## II.

## TEUTONIC LONG VOWELS.

|  | A. | II | 00 |  | UU |  | AI | AU | IU |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Gothic | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \text { ded } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ \text { wein } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { god } \end{array}$ | 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \text { hus } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { stain } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \text { draum } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} 9 \\ \operatorname{diup} \end{array}$ |
| 2 Old High German | tāt | wion | guot | gruoni | hūs | hūsir | $\begin{aligned} & \text { stain } \\ & \text { stein } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iraum } \\ & \text { troum } \end{aligned}$ | tiuf |
| 3 Moderı IIigh German | taat | wain | guut | gryy | haus | hayzer | shtain | traum | tiif |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { Old } \\ & \text { Saxon } \end{aligned}$ | dad | win | god | groni | hus | - | sten | drom | diop |
| 5 Dutch | daat | wèin | ghut | ghrun | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hœys } \\ & \text { zyyr } \end{aligned}$ | - | stéén | dróóm | dip |
| 6 Old Icelandic | $d \bar{a} \bar{\gamma}$ | win | $g^{\prime} \dot{\sim} \dot{8}$ | grān | $h \bar{u} s$ | $k \bar{y} r$ | stèin | draum | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{diü} p^{\operatorname{sio} n} . \end{array}\right.$ |
| 7 Modern Icelandic | dauð | viin | góừ | grain | huus | kiir | stéin | dreim | djıup sjoun |
| 8 Swedish | dòod | viin | góod | græœை | huus ${ }^{2}$ | lyyto | stéén | drem | $\overline{\operatorname{djuzp}}$ |
| 9 Danish | dòò 0 | viin | g6́or | grœn | huus | lyydo | stéén | drem | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dyyb } \\ & \text { syyn } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{old} \\ & \text { English } \end{aligned}$ | dad | win | god | grene | hus | $e^{\bar{y}}$ | stan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dream } \\ & \text { (=eaa) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { deop } \\ & (=\text { éó }) \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 Middle English | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { deed } \\ (=\text { éé }) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | wiin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { good } \\ & (=\text { óó }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { green } \\ & (=\text { éé }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\text { hous }(c)} \\ & (=u u) \end{aligned}$ | kye | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\operatorname{stan}(e)} \\ & (=\dot{o} \dot{o}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dream } \\ & (=\grave{e} \grave{e}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { deep } \\ & (=\text { ée }) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 12 \text { Modern } \\ \text { English } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ddii | wain | gud | grin | haus | kai | stónn | driim | diip |

${ }^{1}$ In this and the following table the actual spelling (not the theoretical pronunciation) of the dead languages is given in italics; the modern forms are written phonetically.
${ }^{2}$ The italies indicate the peculiar Swedish $u$-intermediate to $u$ and $y$.
together with the regular $\grave{e}$, and, like it, was diphthongized into $a i$, so that the Old Icelandic boocokr is now disguised under the form of baikrr. The same change took place in Old English, only it was not carried so far: the breock (written boec or beoc, p. 23) of the oldest period appears in the later MSS. as bec ( $=$ béék). In Middle English we have the unrounding of $y$ into $i$, cyning becoming cing.
3) Low to mid. Modern English, as will be shown hereafter, affords two unmistakable instances of this change. It is also certain that the German óo from au was originally "low," for in the Oldest High German such words as lóós (=laus) are frequently written laos. Similar evidence can be adduced in the case of the corresponding Dutch óo. The $e e$ from $a i$ has in like manner passed through the low to the mid stage in German and Dutch.
4) Mid to high. Of this change, again, Modern English affords illustrations, whose consideration must be deferred. Original óo has in nearly all the Teutonic languages been raised from the mid position it still preserves in Swedish and Danish (although even here with a slight labial modification in the direction of $u$ ) to the high one of $u$.
5) High to diphthong. With the high position the extreme is reached, as far as position is concerned. We find, accordingly, that the two high vowels $i i$ and $u x$ either remain unchanged, which is the case in the Scandinavian languages, or else undergo various modifications in the direction of ai and au. As there can be no question that Middle English agreed with the Scandinavian languages in retaining long $i$ and $u$ unchanged, the consideration of their diphthongization may be deferred till we come to the Modern period, to which belongs also the development of the diphthong iu out of $y y$.
6) Besides these regular modifications of the tro high vowels, there are isolated diphthongizations of other vowels.
a) óó to our. In Icelandic gour for the older góór, and Modern English stóun for stóon.
b) éé to éi. In the Modern English téil for téék.
c) óó to uo. In the Old German guot for góót, still preserved in South German in the shape of guot.
d) $\grave{o}$ to au. In Icelandic, whero original aa passed through the stage of simple rounding ( $\grave{o}$ ), and was then resolved into au, laata (let) becoming first lò̀ta and then lauta.
e) $\grave{e} e$ to $a i$. The $i$-nmlaut of aa has in the same way been resolved into ai in Modern Icelandic, so that cè̀eri (written vervi) is now rairi.
7) Back to front. Exemplified in the Dutch zyyr for zuur.

## B. Short Vowels.

1) Round to unrounded. In Icelandic, English, and some German dialects $y$ has been unrounded into $i$. The same is the case with short $\infty$ in German. In Modern English we have, lastly, a very anomalous case of unrounding of the back vowel $u$, lut becoming bot.
2) Back to front. Short $u$ has in Icelandic and Dutch been changed into a front vowel-the high-front-wide-round in Icclandic, the low-front-narrow-round (or its imitation, the mid-mixed-narrow) in Dutch. The open $\dot{o}$ in Icelandic (the $u$-umlaut of $a$ ) has changed into $x$ (the mid-front-wideround), mònum becoming monnym. Short a has, lastly, been changed into the low-front-wide ( $a$ ) in a few English dialects-including the literary English.
3) Mid to low. The two mid vowels $\dot{e}$ and $o$ have in all the Teutonic languages been brought down to the low position, so that the old distinction between $e ̀$ and $e ́$ has been lost everywhere, except, perhaps, in some German dialects : compare Old English ènde, hélpan, with the Modern levellings ènd, hèlp.
4) High to mid. As a general rule the high vowels $i$ and $u$ have retained their positions, but in Dutch the short $i$ is now represented by the mid-front-wide, and the short $u$ by $o$ (the mid-narrow), thus taking the place of original short $o$, which, as in the other languages, has been lowered to $o$ (the low-wide) : compare stòk with bók ( $=b u k$ ). The peculiar Modern English $u$ in but ( $b s t$ ) scems also to be a case of lowering from high to mid.
III.
TEUTONIC SHORT VOWELS

| A |  |  |  |  | I |  |  |  | U |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Gothic | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ \text { mamn } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \text { andi- } \end{array}$ | 4$4 a t i$ <br> mati- | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ \text { winnan } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ \text { witan } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ \text { drigkan } \\ \text { hilpan } \end{gathered}$ | stilan | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { sunno } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ \text { sumrt } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ u f t a \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ h u l \end{gathered}$ | 12 fulljan |
| 2 Old Icel. | mann mònnum | vaka | èndi | nèt | vinna | vita | drékka | stéla | suma | sumar | opt | hól | fylla |
| 3 Mod. Icel. | man mœnnym | vaaka | èndi | nèèt | vinna ${ }^{1}$ | viita | drèkka | stè̀la | synna | syymar | òft | hòol | fidla <br> Sw. fylla |
| 4 Old Engl. | mann <br> heard <br> lòng | nama | ènde | mète | winnan | witan | hélpan heofon | stéla | sunne | sumor | ift | hól | fyllan |
| 5 Mid. Engl. | man2 <br> hard <br> long <br> ( $=\mathrm{o}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { name } \\ & (=\text { naam }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { end } \\ & (=\grave{e}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { meat } \\ & (=\text { è̀ }) \end{aligned}$ | win | wit | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { help } \\ \text { heven } \\ (=\hat{e}) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { steal } \\ & (=\text { èe }) \end{aligned}$ | $\operatorname{sun}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { summer } \\ & (=\text { sumer }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oft } \\ & (=\mathrm{o}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hole } \\ & \text { (=hòol) } \end{aligned}$ | fill |
| 6 Mod. Engl. | mænn <br> haəd <br> lòng | néim | ènd | miit | winn | wit | hèlp hèvən | stiil | son | səmər | òft | h6ul | fil |

${ }^{1}$ Italics indicate wide vowels.

The only exception to this general lowering tendency is the frequent shifting of the $a$ from the low to the mid position, which is very common in all the languages. The low sound is still preserved in South Scoteh, Dutch, and many German dialects, and may be heard in some of the London dialects, where, however, it is probably quite a modern development.

We have, lastly, to consider the important distinction of narrow and wide. Here, also, short and long vowels pursue opposite courses, the general rule being that long vowels remain or become narrow, short vowels wide. These tendencies are at once apparent on comparing any pairs of long and short vowels in the more advanced Teutonic languages, in fact in all of them more or less, except German.

The principle has been carried out with such strictness in the case of the long vowels that, with the single exception of $a a$, all originally long vowels are now narrow in the Teutonic languages. The cause of this exceptional widening of au has already been explained (page 28) as the result of the greater energy required in the formation of the narrow sound.

The short vowels are less consistent. In the first place, some of the languages show the tendency to widening either not at all, or else only partially. In South German all the short vowels are still narrow, including even the $a$ (p. 28). In Danish and Swedish short $i$ is sometimes narrow, sometimes wide, according to the nature of the following consonant.

The languages in which the principle is most strictly carried out are Icelandic and English. The only exceptions are the $\dot{e}$, which is narrow in both languages, and the English $\rho$ in bot (mid-back-narrow). The retention of the narrow $\grave{e}$ in all the Teutonic languages is a very curious phenomenon: it is not easy to see why it did not everywhere weaken into the wide $a$, which it actually has done in the Dutch kerrk for kierk and several other words, and also in the South Scoteh dialect of Teviotdale, where the English distinction of man, mèn, is represented by man, man.

The change of the low-narrow $\grave{e}$ into the mid-wide is, on the other hand, very common, and in many of the languages, as, for instance, English, the two sounds seem to be used almost indiscriminately. This change is, no doubt, a purely imitative one: the change from the low-narrow to the mid-wide must have been direct. To assume that the lownarrow was first widened, and then raised to the mid position, would be to ignore the fundamental laws of short vowel change.

We now see how complete the divergence is between long and short vowels. Long vowels contract both the pharyngal and the oral passage as much as possible, the former by " narrowing," the latter by raising the tongue and contracting the lips; short vowels pursue the very opposite course; high long vowels are never lowered, except partially by diphthongization; high short vowels are never diphthongized, but simply lowered.

## Quantity

The general principles on which quantitative changes in the Teutonic languages depend are these:

1) unaccented vowels are shortened, accented vowels are lengthened or shortened under certain conditions, which are:
2) before a single consonant they are lengthened.

3 ) before double or combined consonants they are shortened.
The result of all these changes, if carried out strictly, would be to eliminate all short accented syllables altogether, and this is actually the case in Modern Icelandic, at least in polysyllables-either the vowel itself is long, or else, if it is short, the syllable is made long by a double consonant. In the other languages, however, the double consonants have been simplified, so that a large number of short accented syllables has been formed: compare Icelandic rimna with Danish tina (written rinde) and English winar, wining, German gruinon. This simplification of double consonants has
taken place in Icelandic also in the case of monosyllables such as man (written mamn).

An important result of the simplification is the use of double consonants as a purely graphic expedient to denote the shortness of the preceding vowel. The double $m$, for instance, in summer, is simply a way of showing that the original shortness of the $u$ has been preserved.

In Icelandic the lengthening of short vowels has been carried out with perfect consistency, but in the other languages there are many exceptions. Thus in Dutch all monosyllables preserve their shortness: compare rat, lot, with the plurals raaton, looton. The retention of original short quantity before single consonants is also very frequent in Módern, and consequently also in Middle English.

The chief cases in which Modern English preserves the Old English short quantity are these.

In the first place the high vowels $i(y), u$ are not lengthened: compare wit from witan with iit from etan, son from sumu and com from cuman with neim from nama. Exceptions, such as aivi from ifig, do occur, but they are very few.

English, like Dutch, shows a strong tendency to preserve short quantity in monosyllables, although there are many cases of lengthening. Nevertheless, it may safely be said that the great majority of Old English monosyllables preserve their short quantity in Modern English. Examples are: suon (from swan), beech (bece), baec (bece), sed (seed), lot (hlot), god (god), aroz (ucces). Examples of lengthening are géiv (geaf), céim (cam), éit (ret), géit (geat), yóuc (geos). The lengthened vowels in the adjectives téim and léit may perhaps have arisen from the definite forms tama, lata.

Dissyllables ending in a vowel, or the infinitival an, are almost always lengthened : nama, scamu, flotian, brecan, become ném, sléeim, flóut, bréic. But there are exceptions: dropa becomes drop, and laffen ( $=$ habban) becomes haer, contrasting with the regular beheiv (from behablan).

But besides these isolated irregularities, there is a whole class of dissyllables which resists the lengthening tendency, namely those which end in a liquid or nasal. Examples are
hiemər (from hamor), betar (bèter), scedl (sadol), avan (ofen), botom (botom). There are, however, several exceptions. In the first place, all the past participles in o (except trodn) lengthen their vowel: frouzan, chóuzan, clóuzan, etc. There are also others, such as ïvan (efen), ówar (ofer), eicar (ecer), etc.

In applying these deductions to Middle English we are confronted by a formidable difficulty. The Midland writer Orm, as is well known, indicates short vowel quantity by doubling the following consonant. If, then, we find Orm in the thirteenth century writing always witenn, sune, not wittemn, sumne, how can we escape the conclusion that he said wïten, suune? If we accept the long vowels for the thirteenth century, we are forced to assume that the original short vowels were first lengthened and then shorteued again before the diphthongization of $i i$ and $u *$ into $e i$ and $o u$; for, otherwise, we should have had wait and saun in Modern English. Rather than accept this very improbable hypothesis, it seems safer to reserve any decided conclusion till the difficult question of quantity in the Ormulum has been more fully investigated.

The Modern forms of many words point clearly to their originally long vowels having been shortened in the Middle period. Besides the frequent shortening before two consonants, which will be considered hereafter, there are some cases before single consonants. Long ii is, as might be expected, often shortened, as in stif, dich, and in other words where it stands for various other O.E. long vowels, such as siii $=0$.E. ges $\bar{e} l i g$ and chil=cēle. Examples of other vowels are ten=O.E. ten, wet $=w \bar{e} t$, let $=l \bar{e} t a n, ~ l e ̄ t . ~ I n ~ e v e r=\ddot{e ̈ r e r ~}$ $=a f r e$, the shortening may be ascribed to the liquid in the following syllable.

## Close and Open EE and $O 0$ in Middle English.

We can now enter on the important question of the distinction between close and open ee and oo in Middle English•

Mr. Ellis, relying on the fact that Chaucer rhymes all the $e e s$ and oos together without distinction, comes to the conclu-
sion that there was only one sound, but he does not explain how the modern distinctions arose, or how it is that they correspond to distinctions in Old English. If too and taa are distinct in Old English, and are separated in the form of tuu and too in Modern English also, it is not easy to sce how they could have been confounded in the Middle period. This riew was raguely indicated many years ago by Rapp, and has been recently revived by Dr. Weymouth, who is, however, clearly wrong in assuming that the Middle English sounds were identical with the Modern ones.

As the whole question offers considerable difficulties of detail, I propose to examine it as impartially as possible, utilizing all the evidence that is afforded by the graphic forms, by the general laws of change just stated, by the pronunciation of the sixteenth century, as investigated by Mr. Ellis, and by the pronunciation of the present day. I begin with the oos, as offering less difficulty than the ees.

Beginning, then, with the oos, we find that Middle English 00 corresponds to three distinct sounds in Old English,

1) to óó: too, O.E. tóó (too),
2) to $a a$ : too, O.E. taa (too),
3) to ó short: hool, O.E. hól (hole).

Of these three oos the two first are kept quite distinct in the present Modern English, original óó being now pronounced $u u$, while oo from $a a$ is now óó or óu. The natural inference that the two sounds were also kept distinct in the Middle period is fully confirmed by the graphic evidence, for in the earlier writings the $o o$ from $a a$ is often spelt $o a$, as in $o a \gamma c=$ O.E. aaðe (Lazamon), noan = naan (Procl. of H. III.), moare =maare (Procl. and A. Riwle), boa=baa (A. Riwle). The clear inference is that the 00 from $a a$ was pronounced with a sound intermediate to 00 and $a a$, and consequently that original oo still retained its Old English sound.

The oo of hool, arising from original short $\dot{\delta}$, is in the present pronunciation represented by the same vowel as the oo from $a a$ : it is therefore highly probable that it had in Middle English the same sound as the oo from aa, namely the more open one.

We may now examine the question from the comparative point of view, and see whether the results harmonize.

The first two oos need not detain us long. We have seen that original óo is, as a general rule, either retained without change, or else moved up into the $u$-position. It is quite certain that this change had not taken place in the Middle period: ó must, therefore, have been kept unchanged. Again, whenever aa has changed, it has been by rounding. It has been already proved that the Old English aa cannot well have been any other sound than the low-wide, and this, when rounded, uaturally gives the low-back-wide-round.

The o of hol was almost certainly the mid-narrow sound (p. 30). The tendencies of short vowels are, as we have seen, towards lowering and widening. These modifications, applied to our vowel, give the low-back-wide-round. This vowel was then lengthened, and became identical with the òo of tò̀ from taa, which, as we have seen, was no other than the low-back-wide-round.

But all long vowels are liable to be narrowed (p. 30), and we find, as a matter of fact, that the ò from $a a$ is narrow in all the living Teutonic languages which possess it. It is, therefore, not only possible, but extremely probable that the òò soon became narrow in Middle English also: tò̀ and hòol would therefore have the sound of the Modern English words which are written taw and haul.

We may now turn to the ees. In the present English all the ecs are levelled under $i i$, but Mr. Ellis's researches have proved that in the sixteenth century a distinction parallel to that of the two oos was still kept up, some of the Middle English ces being pronounced $c e$, some $i i$, those words which are now written with ea (such as sea) having the $e e$-sound, while ec (as in see) had the $i i$-sound. The analogy of the oos leads us to suppose that the sixteenth century ees correspond to Middle English èès, and the iis to éés. I will now give an example of the different ees, with the original Old English forms, together with those of the sixteenth century and the Middle English forms indicated by them, adding the present English spelling, which is, of course, nothing but a dead
tradition of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries pronunciation.

| texth cent. | fourteentil cent. | sinteenth cent. | nineteenti cent. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sii ... | sèè ...... | séé .......... |  |
| dicd | dééd.......... | diid ........... | diid (deed) |
| dreīm ..... | drèèm .................. | dréém .............. | driim (dream) |
| srēue ........... | gréén ........ | griin................... | griin (green) <br> diip (deep) |
| mite | (mete |  |  |
|  | (mè̀t \} (stèlan) |  |  |
| stélan............. | $\qquad$ | stéél................. | stiil (steal) |

Reserving for the present the apparently anomalous ée of dééd, the other changes, after what has been said on the oos, call for only a few remarks.

Old English $\bar{e}$ and $\bar{e}$ remain unchanged in the Middle period. Of the two diphthongs $e \bar{a}$, when simplified, naturally takes the low position of its principal clement (the $\tilde{a}$ ), and $c \bar{o}$, as naturally, takes the mid position of its $\bar{o}$. $\dot{e}$, following the usual tendencies of short vowels, is lowered, and the two short es are consequently levelled under the common form $\grave{e}$, which is afterwards lengthened. All the vowels either remain or become narrow.

An important class of apparent exceptions is exemplified in d $\bar{e}(l$, whose $\bar{e}$ is represented in Middle English not by $\grave{e} e$, as would be expected, but by éé. An examination of these anomalous $\bar{e}$ s soon reveals the fact that they correspond not to Gothic and general Teutonic ai, but to Gothic é, general Teutonic $\bar{a}$ (Gothic dēds, Old High German tāt). This is clearly one of the many cases in which the explanation of later English forms must be sought not in the literary WestSaxon, but rather in the Mercian dialect, in which the distinction between $\dot{e ́} \dot{=}=$ original $a a$ and $\grave{e} \grave{e}=a i$ was still kept up. In short, the Middle English déed is descended not from dēed, but from clēl. Traces of this older éé have bcen prescrved in West-Saxon also, not only in such words as wēn and cwēn, but also in the reed of the name $E$ lfreel, which is never written reed-the regular form of the substantive recel, when it stands alone.

## Unaccented E.

Middle English, like the majority of the living Teutonic languages, levels all the Old English unaccented vowels under $e$ : compare Old E. caru, nama, gifan, with the Middle forms care, name, given. The sound of this $e$ in Modern German, Swedish, Danish, and Dutch, is the mid-mixed-narrow, although, as we have seen (p. 30), there are traces of an older front sound, which we have theoretically assigned to the Old English final e. When we consider that the Middle English $e$ in the fourteenth century was on the verge of extinction, we cannot well claim for it so archaic a sound as in Old English, and the analogy of the modern languages points clearly to some mixed vowel. Nor is graphic evidence wanting. The confusion and uncertainty of usage in the Middle English orthography shows clearly that the scribes were not satisfied with the letter $e$ as a representative of the sound of unaccented $e$. In Wiclif's Bible, for instance, we find, besides the regular ende, symes, such spellings as mannis, mannys, fadir, opyn, writun, locustus, constantly occurring. It is not improbable that the $u$ is intended for the French $u(=y)$, and that this spelling is an attempt to represent the obscure sound of the mid-mixed, which, like all the mixed vowels, has a distinctly labial effect on the ear (p. 16).

## Diphthongs. (See also p. 148.)

Middle English, while simplifying, as we have seen, the Old English diphthongs, developed some new ones of its own. All the Middle English diphthongs, with the exception of those in words taken from Norse and French, arose from weakening of the consonants $g$ and $w$, by which $g$ passed through $g h$ (as in German sagen) into $i$ or $u$, and $w$ into $u$. The most important of these diphthongs are $u i$, au, eu, and ou.
$a i$ arises from O.E. ag (eg ), $\dot{e} g, ~ \grave{e} g, \tilde{e} g, \bar{e} g$ : dai (from dreg), wai (wég), sai (sècgan), hai (hēg), clai (clēgg).
$a u$ arises from O.E. $a w, a g$ : clau (clawu), drau (dragan).
cu arises from O.E. $\overline{u r}$, $\bar{u}$, $\bar{e} w$, cāur, cōw: neu (niwe), sper

ou (ò̀uи, бóu) arises from O.E. cuu, ōw: sòòu (sāucan), blóóu (blõ̌an).

The development of $a i$ from $\grave{e} i$ (sai=sèi=sèegan) is paralleled by the Danish pronunciation of $e i$ (as in re $i=v e g$ ) as $a i$, and is probably the result of an attempt to bring out the diphthongic character of the combination more clearly. There are, however, traces of original $e i$ even in the Modern period, in such words as cilht, cißer = eahta, cegðer.

It will be obserred that ag sometimes becomes ai, sometimes $u$. The general rule is that ag final or before a consonant becomes ai, while, if followed by the back vowels a or $u$, the diphthong au is developed. Thus, dag (dag), tagl (tregl), magn (magen), become dai, tail, main, while dragan, sagu, become drau, sau. We have, however, sau from sage.

The change of $i$ into $c u$ in the combination $i u$, and the levelling of the quantities of $i x, \bar{i} w$, etc., must be noticed, although the cause is not apparent.

That the oou-diphthongs preserved the long quantity of their first elements is clear from the accounts of the sixteenth century phoneticians; the separation of òou and óore is theoretieal.

In the combinations $i g$ and $u g$ the consonant is naturally absorbed by the vowel, the result being simply a long vowel: lii (liegan), uul (ugle).

## Consonant Influence.

Quantity. Short vowels are lengthened before liquids and nasals followed by a voice stop-before $l d, n d, m b$ (often also before $r d$ and a few other $r$-combinations). Thus Old English wilde, findan, climban, become uiild, find, cliimb, the length of whose vowels is shown by the modern forms waild, faind, claim. Exceptions can be explained on the same principle as the other cases of the abnormal retention of original short quantity, namely, by the presence of a liquid in the second syllable; hence liinder, umnder, timber, not hiinder, etc.

Quality. $a$ before $l d$ is rounded into $\dot{o}$, and then, in accordance with the rule just stated, lengthened, so that the Old English sealde passes through salde into solde, and finally becomes sòolde, whence the Modern sóóld.

The rounding of short $a$ before nasals, which almost disappeared towards the end of the Old English period, at least in West-Saxon, crops up again in Middle English. An examination of the present forms gives the following rules for the occurrence of $\dot{o}=a$ before nasals. Most of the cases of rounding are before $n g$, the general rule being that while verb preterites keep $a$, all other words have $j$. Thus we have the substantive song, but the preterite sang. Exceptions are hang and fang, which should regularly be hong, fong. Rounding before $n$ and $m$ is exceptional: the only examples are on, bond, from, uóómb, còòmb.

Initial $w$ influences the following rowel in various mays. Sometimes it assimilates $i$ into $u$, which then absorbs the $v$ itself, as in such=swich=0.E. swilc. Occasionally it draws up ò to the óó-position, as in tuoóo for tuòo, uóomb for uòòmb, contrasting with the regular uòo, uòol (O.E. wā, wād). Hence, by the regular changes, the Modern twuu, tuu, wuum (b), wóó, wóód.

We may now sum up briefly the changes of the Middle period.
$a$ is preserved, except before $l d$, where it is rounded, and $c x$ and $e a$ are levelled under it.
$\grave{e}$ and $e ́$, together with $e 0$, are levelled under $\grave{e}$.
$y$ is confounded with $i$, which remains unchanged, except that it was probably widened.
$\dot{\sigma}$ becomes $\grave{o}$, and $\grave{o}$ is kept unchanged.
$u$ remains, although probably widened.
$a, \grave{e}$, and $\grave{o}$ are often lengthened, giving $a a, \grave{e} e ̀$ and $\grave{o} o ̀$. It will be observed that the Old English $\dot{e}$ and $o ́$ are not lengthened into éé and óó, but pass through $̀ ̀$ and $\grave{o}$ into $\grave{e} e ̀$ and $\grave{o} \dot{o}$.

Of the long vowels $\bar{e}, \bar{e}, \bar{i}, \bar{o}, \bar{u}$ remain unchanged.
$\bar{y}$ becomes $i i$.
$\bar{a}$ becomes $\dot{\partial} \dot{o}$.

Of the diphthongs $c \bar{u}$ becomes $\grave{x}$, cō becomes $\dot{e} \dot{e}$.
New diphthongs are developed by the weakening of $g$ and $u$.

Unaccented vowels are levelled under $\partial$.
Short vowels are often lengthened before liquids followed by voice stops.

## MODERN PERIOD.

## Loss of final E.

The loss of final $e$ in English is one of the many instances of how the whole grammatical structure of a language may be subverted by purely phonetic changes, for it may safely be said that the loss of final $e$ in Modern English is almost equivalent to loss of inflexion altogether. Middle English, although much reduced, was still distinctly an inflexional language, as much so at least as Modern Danish or Swedish: its verbs had infinitive and plural endings, and its adjectives still retained some of their old inflexions, including the peculiarly Teutonic distinction of definite and indefinite. In Modern English all this is lost: not only is the distinction of definite and indefinite lost, but our adjectives have become absolutely indeclinable, and the whole spirit of English is now so different from that of the other Teutonic languages, that their most familiar distinctions are quite strange to us, and can only be acquired with considerable difficulty.

The loss of final $e$ marks off English sharply and distinetly from the cognate languages, in all of which it is strictly preserved. Those who have such difficulty in admitting, even after the clearest evidence, that Chaucer may possibly have pronounced the final $e$, should try to realize to themselves the fact that the loss of final $e$ is really quite an exceptional and anomalous phenomenon: instead of being surprised at Chaucer still retaining it, they should rather be surprised at its loss at so early a period as the fifteenth century, while preserved to the present day in all the cognate languages.

An important result of the loss of final $e$ was to prevent change in other directions: we shall find that the Middle English sounds were preserved almost unchanged long after its disappearance. Mr. Ellis's researches have shown that the most characteristic features of Middle English, as, for instance, $i i$ and $u u$, were preserved some way into the sixteenth century; others, such as the old $a i$ and $a u$, still later.

But the tendency to change soon begins to manifest itself, and by the beginning of the seventeenth century we find many important changes either completed, or else in partial operation. During the latter half of the seventeenth century the whole phonetic structure of the language may be said to have been revolutionized. Some slight further changes took place during the first half of the eighteenth century, and by the middle of the century the language finally settled down into nearly its present state. We may, therefore, distinguish roughly five periods of Modern English.

1) the Earliest (1450-1500 or rather later), which preserves the sounds of the Middle period unchanged, except that it throws off the final $e$. I propose, therefore, for the sake of convenience, to cite the Middle English forms in this Earliest Modern English, which is really equivalent to Latest Middle English.
2) the Early (1550-1650), in which the Middle sounds were distinctly modified, $i i$ and $u u$ being diphthongized, and éé and óó moved up to the high positions of $i i$ and uu, èè and ò being moved into the vacant mid positions.
3) the Transition period ( $1650-1700$ ), characterized by very important and sweeping changes, such as the simplification of the Middle diphthongs $a i$ and $a u$, the fronting of $a$ and $a a$ into $a$, ace, and the development of the peculiarly English $\vartheta$ from $u$.
4) the Late period ( 1700 onwards), in which the long vowels of the Transition period undergo a process of lingual narrowing, cece passing through èè into éé, while éé itself becomes ii.
5) the Latest period, remarkable for its excessive tendency
to diphthongization, especially in the case of ée and óv, which are in the present generation almost always $e ́ i$ and óu.

It is probable that many of the distinctive features of this period existed already in the previous period, either as individual peculiarities or as vulgarisms. It is certain that in the prosent generation many new pronunciations, which aro really very widely distributed, are entirely ignored, or else denounced as vulgarisms, even by the people who employ them habitually. These unrecognized pronunciations are of two kinds, 1) those which, though ignored by every one, are in universal use, and 2) those which appear only sporadically in educated speech, although many of them are firmly established in the language of the populace. As these pronunciations are of great philological importance, as showing us the changes of sound in active operation, and as they have been hitherto quite ignored by phoneticians, I propose to treat of them hereafter as fully as my imperfect observations will allow.

## EARLY MODERN PERIOD.

a, aa. Mr. Ellis's authorities seem to describe a very thin sound of the $a$, although the $a$ of the following period does not seem to have been recognized. I think it very probable that the real sound was that of the present Danish $a$ in mand, mane, which is the mid-back-wide-forward, the tongue being advanced considerably, while the tip is kept down. When the tongue is in this position, a very slight raising of the middle of it towards the palate converts this forward $a$ into $t$, which it closely resembles in sound.
$c, i, o$. As these vowels are retained unchanged in the present English, any discussion of their pronunciation in the Early Modern period is superfluous.
$u$. That $u$ still retained its original sound is clear from the statements of the phonetic authorities. Salesbury writes it with his Welsh $w$, as in $b u c k=b u c k$.
$y$. It is interesting to observe that there are distinct traces of the old short $y$ in the Early Modern period. Clear evidence is afforded by a passage of Salesbury, which I think

Mr. Ellis has misunderstood. Salesbury says (E. E. P. pp. 111, 164) that "Welsh $u$ soundeth as the vulgar English people sound it in these words of English, trust, bury, busy, Huberden." Mr. Ellis thinks that Salesbury means nothing but the wide as opposed to the narrow $i$. It seems improbable that so minute a distinction should have been noticed by Salesbury-still more that, even if he had noticed it, he should have gone out of his way to describe it. Nor do I agree with Mr. Ellis in considering the distinction between the Welsh $u$ and the wide $i$ as being very slight. My own observations of the Welsh $u$, as pronounced in North Wales, fully confirm Mr. Bell's identification of it with the high-mixed-wide vowel (although it seems to be narrow when long), which Mr. Ellis also adopts, but the sound seems to me to be as distinct from $i$ as the unaccented German $e$ (the mid-mixed-narrow) is from $e ́$ (the mid-front), and to be much more like $y$ than $i$ (p. 16). I think Mr. Ellis has been led astray by Mr. Bell's identification of the unaccented $e$ in fishes, etc., with this high-mixed vowel, which I believe to be erroneous. Mr. Bell acutely observed that the $e$ in fishes was not identical with the preceding $i$, and being unable to find a place for it among his front vowels, fell back on the mixed. I find, however, that the real distinction is that the unaccented vowel is the high-front-wide lowered half-way to the mid position, a sound which Dr. Murray recognizes in Scotch, and writes (é). ${ }^{1}$

That the Welsh $u$ sounded to Salesbury himself very like $y$ is clear from his express statement that the French $u$, the German $u$, and the Scotch $u$, closely resembled his own $u$ (E. E. P. p. 761). If, now, we examine the four English words given by Salesbury, we shall find that the history of all of them points decisively to the $y$-sound. Bury and busy are in Old English bebyrgan and bysig, trust is the Norse treysta, a diphthong which could not well contract into any vowel but $y$, and the first half of Huberden is probably the French Hubert, which, of course, had the $y$-sound. What

[^8]Salesbury's statement amounts to is, therefore, that these three words (for we may pass over the last) were in the sixteenth century pronounced by the vulgar tryst, byri, byzi.

Although Salesbury characterizes these pronunciations as vulgar, it is quite clear, from the retention of the French spelling $u=y$ in all of them up to the present day, that the old pronunciation must have been kept up some way into the Modern period. Whenever we find a word written with $y$ in Old English, and with $u$ in the present spelling, we may suppose it preserved the $y$-sound in the beginning, at least, of the Modern period. Such words are :
burden (baədn)...O.E. byrðen...................M.E. burben, birpen, berpen
bury (beri) ......bebyrgan ...................burien, birien, berien
busy (bizi).........bysig......... .............busi, bisi, besi
church (chaəch)...cyrice (early O.E. cirice)...churche, chirche, cherche
much (mach).....mycel (early O.E. micel)...muche(l), michel, mechel, moche
shut (shat) .........scyttan ........................schutten, schitten, schetten

There are besides two interesting words in which the $y$ sound is expressed by the digraph ui, which are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { build (bild).........0.E. byldan ....................M.E. build, buld, bild, beld } \\
& \text { guilt (gilt) ..................................... gilt, gelt }
\end{aligned}
$$

The correspondence between the Old, Modern, and Middle forms, the latter (which are taken from Stratmann's Dictionary), with their constant alternation between $u$ and $i$, requires little comment. It is quite clear that the ambiguous $u$ and $i$ were considered unsatisfactory representations of the $y$ sound, and recourse was therefore had to the digraph $\quad u i$, which, as we see, was employed both in the Middle and Modern periods. The forms in $e$ point to a previous lowering of the $y$ to one of the $\alpha$-positions. The 0 of moche seems to show that there was a spoken, and not merely written form muche in the Middle period, with an anomalous change of $y$ into $u$.

These words evidently caused considerable embarrassment to the phonetic writers of the Early Modern period, for they had no proper sign for short $y$, and were compelled to identify it with the long French $y y$ in myyz (written muse), or else, if they wished to preserve its quantity, to confound it with short $i$. I will now give the sixteenth century pro-
nunciations of these words, as deduced by Mr. Ellis. I have not made any alteration in his spelling, except in the case of Salesbury's $u$, which I have written $y$, as there seems to me to be no doubt that this was the sound intended by him. I have not thought it necessary to add the authorities, except in the case of Salesbury.
burden: u.
bury: y (Sa.).
busy : y (Sa.).
church: y (Sa.), yy, i, u.
much : i, u? y?
shut: i.
build : yy, ii, i, ei (=Middle E. ii). guilt: i.
The long $y y$ in chyyrch is probably a mere inaccuracy of Smith's, for Salesbury writes distinctly tsurts, not tsuırts, as he would have done had the vowel been long. The $y y$ of byyld may, on the other hand, be correct, for $y$ may very well have been lengthened before $l d$, as $i$ is (wiild $=\mathrm{O}$.E. wille).

The $u$ s in these words (except perhaps in much) I am inclined to regard as mere pedantry-the attempt to conform the pronunciation to the spelling, of which we have numerous instances in that very pedantic age. Of this artificial $u$ for $y$ the foreign word just is a striking example. This word was certainly never pronounced with $u$ in the Middle period, and even at the present day the legitimate descendant of the old jyst is still to be heard from all uneducated and many educated speakers in the form of jist. Yet we find the artificial $u$-pronunciation already insisted on in the sixteenth century.
$i i$, uu. Although long $i i$ and uu were still preserved at the beginning of the Early Modern period, they soon began to be diphthongized. Salesbury writes $c i$ and ow, as in wein (=wïn), ddow (=「uu), probably meaning éi, óu. There seem also to be indications of a broader pronunciation, $\partial i, \partial u$, which, as we shall see, became general in the following period. It is, then, clear that $i i$ and $u u$ were first modified by partial lowering, $i-i, u-u$, becoming $\dot{e}-i, j-u$, and that the
resulting diphthongs were then exaggerated by divergencea not unfrequent phenomenon.
èe, éé, d̀̀, óo. The history of these vowels in Modern English affords a striking example of the Teutonic tendency to narrow long vowels, each of them being raised a step, so that éé and óó become iï and uu, as in dï̈l=Middle E. déél and suun $=$ sóon, while $\grave{c ̀}$ and $\grave{o ̀}$ become éé, óó, as in dréém= Middle E. drè̀m and bóón=bòòn (O.E. bün).

In one word, the Middle E. ò has been preserved up to the present day, and, we may therefore assume, in the Early Modern period also, namely, in the adj. bròod=O.E. bräcl.
$a i, \alpha u, c u$, òou, óóu. The Middle English diphthongs are generally preserved, although there are traces of the simplification of ai and au, which was fully carried out in the following period. eu was also simplified into $y y$ in some words, such as tryy, nyy, while in others, such as heu, sheu, it was preserved. óóu did not, as might be expected, become $u u$, but its first element was kept unchanged, so that blóóu ( $=0$.E. blowan) has remained unchanged up to the present day. òòu seems to have changed regularly into óóu, cnòoru (=O.E. cnäıcan) becoming cnóóu: the two oous were therefore levelled.

## Quantity.

Middle English è̀̀ seems to have been shortened very early in the Modern period in some words which still preserve in writing the ea=Middle E. è̀̀. Such words are dèf, instèd, hèd, rèd (partic.), lèd (subst.), dèd, brèd, and several others. Nearly all the cases, it will be observed, occur before $d$. We shall find the same tendency to shorten before a stopped consonant in the Late Modern period as well.

## Consonant Influence.

The most important case is the development of $u$ before $l$ in the combinations al and óol (=Middle E. ò ó), al, talk, óóld, becoming aul, taulk, óould. The form aul is the origin of our present $\grave{o} l$ l, tò $\partial k$.

The only traces of $r$-influence, so marked in the present period, are shown in the occasional conversion of $e$ into $a$, as in hart, smart, for the older hert, smert.

## TRANSITION PERIOD.

We now come to the most important and difficult period of Modern English, in which the vowels of the language may be said to have broken away entirely from the Middle English traditions, and entered on a new life of their own. It is therefore fortunate that the phonetic authorities of this period are of a far higher stamp than those of the preceding one: many of their observations are extremely acute, and are evidently the result of careful study of the actions of the vocal organs.

## Short Vowels.

$e, i, o$, remain unchanged, as in the previous period. It is interesting to observe that we now, for the first time, find the qualitative distinction between short and long $i$ and $u$ recognized by one of Mr. Ellis's authorities. The following is Cooper's list of exact pairs of long and short vowel-sounds (E. E. P. p. 83).

which Mr. Ellis interprets thus (denoting the wide vowel by italics):
cen kèn wil fòli ful op mit fut cæost kèèn wéél fò̀l fóól - niid fuul
It is clear that, as Mr. Ellis remarks, Cooper was dissatisfied with the usual pairing of $i, i i$, and $u, u u$ ( $f i$, fiil), and therefore tried to find the true short-narrow $i$ and $u$ in mïit and fuut, where the $i i$ and uu were probably shortened before the voiceless $t$, as is still the case. Again, he lengthened the short wide $i$ and $u$, and finding that the resulting long vowel was nearly identical with the mid-narrow éé and óó, naturally identified them as the true longs and shorts. It
must be observed that the $u$ of fuut has not only been shortened to fut in the present English, but lias also had time to follow the usual tendencies of short vowels, and become wide. The shortening is, therefore, in all probability, of some antiquity. If, then, we suppose that the long uu of fuut had been shortened to $u$ in Cooper's time, and had not yet been widened, we see that the pairing of fut and fuul may very well have been perfectly accurate, both as regards quality and quantity.

In the pairs folly, fall, Mr. Ellis makes the short o of folly to correspond exactly with the long $\dot{0} \dot{0}$, and assumes it to be narrow. This, I think, is unnecessary. It is clear that Cooper's analysis is not absolutely accurate ; it is only a considerable step in advance. He may very well have considered the distinction between òo and óó quite minute enough, and may therefore have disregarded the further refinement of distinguishing narrow and wide $\grave{o}$.
a. The present $c$-sound is clearly recognized by the serenteenth-century phoneticians. Wallis describes $a$ (both long and short) as a palatal, as opposed to a guttural vowel -as being formed by compressing the air between the middle of the tongue and the palate with a wide opening. And the Frenchman Miege identifies the English short ce with the French e ourert, which would certainly be the nearest equivalent.
$u$. The change of the old $u$ into $a$ was fully established in the Transition period, and it is clear from the descriptions given of the sound that it closely resembled the present one: Wallis calls it an obscure sound, and compares it with the French eu in serviteur, while Miege compares it with the French o-a common error of foreigners at the present day, and both Wallis and Wilkins identify it with one of the pronunciations of Welsh $y$, which is generally identified with our $\partial$.

Before going any further, it will be necessary to consider the present pronunciation, or rather pronunciations, of the $a$ more closely. There are two distinct sounds of the 0 -the high-back-wide and the mid-back-narrow, which, although
formed so differently, are so similar in sound that even a practised ear finds it often difficult to distinguish them, Besides these two, a third sound may be heard in many English and Scotch dialects, which is the low-back-narrow.

Different as these three vowels are, they all agree in being unrounded back vowels, and it is clear from the seventeenth century statements that the main distinction between $u$ and $\partial$ was then, as it is now, that $u$ was rounded, a not. Now it is quite certain that $u$ itself was, in the seventeenth century, the high-back-wide-round (which it still is in those words, such as $w u l f$, in which the $u$ has been exceptionally retained); unrounded, this vowel would naturally become the high-back-wide-the very sound still in common use. The probability that this was also the seventeenth-century sound is raised almost to a certainty by the statement of Wallis, that the sound is formed with the greatest of the three degrees of closeness of the lingual passage (between tongue and palate) recognized by him. Wilkins's statement that the sound is "framed by a free emission of the breath from the throat," and, again, that it is formed "without any particular motion of the tongue or lips," may be considered as evidence that some such sound as the present mid-back-narrow was also given to the 2 , but it is quite as probable that the whole description is inaccurate.

The general conclusion I arrive at is, that $u$ was first unrounded, and that the resulting high-back-wide was in some pronunciations imitated by the mid-back-narrow, which in some dialects was, in accordance with the tendencies of short vowels, brought down to the low position.

## Long Vowels.

éé, óó. The close éé and óó=Middle English $\grave{e}$ and $\grave{o}$ ò, are distinctly recognized. Wallis states that "e profertur sono acuto claroque ut Gallorum é masculinum," and Cooper, as we have seen (p. 522), pairs full and foal as long and short, which he could not have done if the oa of foal still had the broad $\grave{o}$-sound.
di, $\delta u$. The diphthongization of Middle English $i i$ and $u u$ is carried a step further than in the previous period; all the authoritios agree in either identifying, or, at least, comparing the first element of the two diphthongs with the $a$ of bot. wiin and $\delta u u$ appear, therefore, in the Transition period as voin and $\begin{array}{r} \\ \text { u-very nearly their present form. }\end{array}$
ai, au. An important change of this period, although partially developed, as Mr. Ellis has shown, much carlier, is the simplification of the old diphthongs $a i$ and $a u$ into $c e-$ and $o o$-vowels. Those writers of the Early period who acknowledge the simple sounds do not give any clue to their precise nature, but the serenteenth century accounts point clearly to èe and $\grave{o}$, which latter is the sound still preserved in such words as lòò, hòolk=lau, hauk, althongh è̀e, as in dèè=dai, has been moved up to éé, probably because the Early Modern éé has become $i i$ in the present English.

The above changes were either already in operation in the Early Modern period, or were at least prepared by previous changes: the next two are peculiar to the Middle period.
$a a$. Long, like short, aa was changed to the front vowel $a$, naam becoming nerem. The rex, being a long vowel, was soon narrowed into $\grave{e}$, as is shown by Cooper's pairing ken ( $=k i ̀ n$ ) and cane ( $=$ lièèn) as long and short.
$y y$. Long $y y$, both in English words such as $n y y$, and French such as tyyn, was diphthongized into iu, nyy and tyyn becoming niu and tiun. The older $y y$ was, however, still preserved by some speakers, and we have the curious spectacle of the two contemporaries Wallis and Wilkins ignoring each other's pronunciations, Wilkins asserting that the sound of $y y$ is "of laborious and difficult pronunciation," especially "to the English," while Wallis considered this very $y y$-sound to be the only English pronunciation of long $u$.

It was probably the influence of this new $i u$ that changed the older eu into iu, heu, etc., becoming hiu, whence by consonantization of the first element of the diphthong the prosent hyulu.
IV.

IIISTORICAL VIEW OF ENGLISH SOUND-CHANGES.

| Old English. | Middle Exglish. | Modern Exglish. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 mann | man .... | men |
| set ( $=$ sat) .................... | sat | sret |
| heard (=hard) ............. | hard.................................. | haad |
|  | naam ................................. | néim |
| hélpan ( $=$ hilpan) .......... | hèlp............... | hèlp |
| seoton (........................ | seven ............ | sevon |
| -mète ( $=$ mati)............. | mèèt ............... | miit |
| Stélan ( $=$ stilan) .............. | stè̀̀l.......... | stiil |
|  | sèè ......................... | sii |
| dīed ( $=$ dād) dreām ( draum) dra....... | dééd......... | diid |
| dreām (=draum) ......... | drèèm ........ | driim |
| grēne ......................... | gréén .... | griin |
|  | see | sil |
| 15 witan <br> hyll $\qquad$ | wit .... <br> hil | Wit |
| win ....... | wiin ... | wain |
| fyr | fiir ... | fair |
| oft ( $=u f t a$ )..................... | òft ............. | òft |
| 20 òn ( $=\mathrm{an}$ ) ..................... | on | on |
| hól .................................... | hòol.................................... | hóul |
| tā ...................................... | tòò .... | tóó |
| tō ..................................... | tóó .... | tuu |
|  | sun ........................... | son |
| dæg ............................... | dai ........................... | déi |
|  | sei, sai ............................. | séi |
| lagu.................................. | lau ................................... | lòò |

## LATE MODERN PERIOD.

The further changes of the eighteenth century are comparatively slight. The short vowels remain unchanged.

The only long vowels which undergo any modification are the ces. In the first place the éés of the preceding period are raised to $i i$, dreém becoming driim, the result being that the Middle English è̀ and éé are both confused under ii. The word gréét = M.E. grè̀̀t (O.E. greāt) is an example of exceptional retention of the older éé.
$\grave{e ̀}$ from $a a$ and $a i$ is raised to the mid-position of éé, left
vacant by the change of $\ell \in \dot{e}$ into $\ddot{i}, n \grave{e} m$ from naam and sè from sai becoming néém and séé.
$j o$ and $\dot{o} \dot{0}$ are, on the other hand, retained maltered. We see, therefore, that the fully-established pronunciation of the eighteenth century differed but slightly from that now in usc.

## Quantity.

The Early Modern uu from oó is often shortencd before stops, almost always before $k$, frequently before other stops, and occasionally before other consonants. Examples are: luk (=Middle E. lóóli), tuk (tóól), luk (bóók), stud (stóód), gud (góód), fut (fóót), huf (hóóf), buะəm (bóózom).

Other eases of shortening are doubtful, as they probably took place in the Early period: even the changes just considered may have been, at least partially, developed in the Transition period.

The lengthening of vowels before certain consonants will be considered in the next section.

## Consonant Influencre

Some important modifications are produced in this period by consonant influence, which has, in some cases, also had a conservative effect in preserving older sounds, which would otherwise have undergone various modifications.

The most marked influence is that exercised by the $r$. So strong is it, indeed, that in the present English hardly any rowel has the same sound before $r$ as before other consonants. One important result of this is that the $r$ itself becomes a superfluous addition, which is not required for distinguishing one word from another, and is therefore weakened into a mere vocal murmur, or else dropped altogether, although always retained before a vowel.

The following table will give a general view of these modifications. The first column gives the Middle English vowels, the second gives what would be their regular representatives in Late Modern English, the third gives the forms
they actually assume, and the last column gives examples with the Middle E. forms in parentheses:


The sympathy between $r$ and the broad (low or back) vowels, which is also shown in the older change of ster, etc, into star, is evident enough here also. In such words as fèèr the seventeenth-century sound of long $a a$ has been preserved almost unchanged, while in floòr the $r$ has not only prevented the regular change into $u u$, but has even lowered the vowel from the óó- to the $\dot{o} \dot{o}$-position.

In many cases it is doubtful whether the influence of the $r$ has been simply conservative, or whether the change-say of hard into herd-actually took place, and that the influence of the $r$ afterwards changed the $c$ into $a$. The change of $a$ into $c e$ certainly seems to have been fully carried out in the Transition period before $r$ as well as the other consonants, if we may trust the phonetic authorities; but it is quite possible that the older as may have remained throughout as vulgarisms, and soon have regained their lost ground.

The levelling of $i r, e r$, and $u r$, which are kept quite distinct by the phoneticians of the Transition period, is a very curious phenomenon, as it has resulted in an entirely new vowel, which only occurs in these combinations. This vowel is the low-mixed-narrow. It is evidently closely allied to the regular short a in bat, and it seems most probable that the first change was to level $i r, c r$, and $a r$ under $a r$ (mid-back-narrow), which would then, by the further influence of the $r$, pass into the low-back-narrow, whence to the low-
mixed-narrow is but a short step. Then the vowel was lengthened, and the $r$ absorbed.

The influence of $l$ is, like that of $r$, in the direction of broadening. In the combinations alf and alm original short $a$ is preserved, the $l$ is dropped and the vowel lengthened, so that half and salm (writton psalm) become haaf and saam. In the Early period some of these words developed the usual $a u$, but the present forms cannot have arisen from $a u$, except, perhaps, haam from halm, which is often pronounced hòom, pointing clearly to an older haulm.

Besides $r$ and $l$, there are other consonants which tend to preserve the quality of short $a$, namely, $\gamma,\}, s$ and $f$, although the $a$ is generally lengthened: faaðər, paab, graas, aask, laaf, craaft. The refined Transition pronunciation pee, esk, is, however, still to be heard.

Before leaving this subject of consonant influence, it is necessary to observe that the rules just stated do not always apply to dissyllables, but only to monosyllables. Thus we find scelou, feelou, not sòlou, fòlou, nerou not narou, and gerðar contrasting with faa $\begin{gathered}\text { 万r } \\ \text { and raa rar. }\end{gathered}$

The influence of initial $w$ is also very characteristic of Late Modern English. It not only preserves the old $u$, as in wul, wulf, but also regularly rounds short $a$ into $\dot{\delta}$, what, swan, becoming whòt, swòn; also in dissyllables, such as swòlóu, wòlóu. The Transition forms wal, walf, whet, were probably artificial refinements, which were never accepted by the mass of the people. ${ }^{1}$ (See also p. 151.)

## LATEST MODERN PERIOD.

We are now, at last, able to study the sounds of our language, not through the hazy medium of vague descriptions and comparisons, but by direct observation; we can throw away theory, and trust to facts. If our analysis of speech-

[^9]sounds were perfectly accurate and exhaustive, and if our ears were trained to recognize with certainty every appreciable shade of pronunciation, the task would be easy enough. As it is, its difficulties are very great, and the observations I am about to make cannot therefore make any pretensions either to complete fullness or perfect accuracy. They are mere first attempts, and will require much revision.

## Diphthongization.

The most prominent feature of our present English is its tendency to diphthongization.

The diphthongic character of our éé and óó has been distinctly recognized by our leading phoneticians, especially Smart and Bell.

Mr. Bell analyses the two diphthongs as $\epsilon i$, óu, but I find, as regards my own pronunciation, that the second elements are not fully developed $i$ and $u$. In pronouncing $\sigma u$ the tongue remains throughout in the mid-position, and the second element only differs from the first in being formed with greater closure of the lips, so that it is an intermediate sound between $o o$ and $u u$. In $e i i$ the tongue seems to be raised to a position half way between $e ́$ and $i$ in forming the second element, not to the full high position of $i$.

This indistinctness of the second elements of our éi and óu explains the difficulty many have in recognizing their diphthongic character. Mr. Ellis, in particular, insists strongly on the monophthongic character of his own ees and oos. I hear his ee and oo as distinct diphthongs, not only in his English pronunciation, but also in his pronunciation of French, German, and Latin.

The observation of existing pronunciations has further revealed a very curious and hitherto unsuspected fact, namely that our $i i$ and uu are no longer pure monophthongs in the mouths of the vast majority of speakers, whether educated or uneducated. They are consonantal diphthongs, ii terminating in the consonant $y$, uu in $w=i y$, $u v$. The distinction
between bit and biit (written leat) depends not on the short vowel being wide and the long narrow, but on the former being a mouophthong, the latter a diphthong. The narrowness of $i i$ (or rather $i y$ ) is therefore unessential, and we find, accordingly, that the first element of both iy and $u *$ is generally made wide. These curious developments are probably the result of sympathetic imitation of $\dot{e} i$ and $\dot{o} u$; and the tongue being already in the highest vowel position the only means of further contraction of the lingual passage left was the formation of consomants.

The only long rowels left are $a a$ and $\grave{o} \dot{o}$. Are these genuine monophthongs? I believe not, although their diphthongic character is certainly not nearly so strongly marked as in the case of the vowels already considered. Nevertheless, these two vowels always seem to end in a slight rocal murmur, which might be expressed thus-aad, òò. I find that $a a$ and $\dot{o} 0$, if prolonged ever so much, still have an abrupt unfinished character if this vocal murmur is omitted. The difference between lòo (written lave) and lòoz (lore) is that in the former word the final $\partial$ is strictly diphthongic and half evanescent, while the $\partial$ of the second word is so clearly pronounced as almost to amount to a separate syllable. The distinction between the words written father and farther is purely imaginary.

In popular speech these diphthongs undergo many modifications. The first elements of $\dot{e} i$ and $o ́ u$ often follow the general tendencies of short vowels, and are lowered to the low-front-narrow and low-back-wide-round positions respectively, giving $\grave{e} i$ and $\dot{o} u$. This peculiar exaggeration of the two diphthongs, which is not uncommon even among the educated, is popularly supposed to be a substitution of ai for $e i$, and those who employ it are reproached with saying "high" instead of "hay." I find, however, that those who say hèi for héi never confuse it with hai, which many of them pronounce very broadly, giving the $a$ the low-back sound of the Scotch man.

The $\dot{o}$ of $o ́ u$ is often, especially in affected pronunciation, moved forrard to the mid-mixed-round position, and from
there, by lowering and further shifting forwards, to the low-front-narrow-round position, so that nóu becomes nœu.

In like manner, the $u$ of $u v=u u$ is often weakened into the high-mixed-round (wide), which is nearly the German $\ddot{u}$. So that tuu becomes almost tyw or tiuu.

The two diphthongs corresponding to Middle E. $i i$ and uu show strongly divergent tendencies in the present pronunciation. The first element of our $a i$ is, I believe, the high-back-wide (which is also the commonest sound of the a in $b \not t$ ), that of au the low-mixed-wide. In vulgar speech the distinction is still more marked, the $a$ of ai being gradually lowered to the full low position, whilst the $a$ of $a u$ is moved forward to the low-front-wide position, giving the familiar cous for haus. These exaggerations may be partly attributable to the desire to prevent confusion with the $\grave{e} i$ and $\grave{o} u$ arising from éé and óó.

The investigation of these peculiarities is not only of high scientific interest, but is also of great practical importance. We see that the imagined uniformity of "correct" pronunciation is entirely delusive-an error which only requires a little cultivation of the observing faculties to be completely dissipated.

It is also certain that the wretched way in which English people speak foreign languages-often in such a style as to be quite unintelligible to the natives-is mainly due to their persistently ignoring the phonetic peculiarities of their own language. When we once know that our supposed long vowels are all diphthongs, we are forced to acknowledge that the genuine $i$ is and uus of foreign languages are really strange sounds, which require to be learnt with an effort, in the same way as we acquire French $u$ or German ch. A case once came under my notice, in which the French word written été was confidently given forth as ètè̀i, on the strength of the grammar's assertion that the French e aigu had the sound of the English ay in hay. The result was, of course, to produce a word utterly unintelligible to a Frenchman.

## Short Vowels.

The short vorwels do not seem to have changed much in the last few generations. The most noticeable fact is the loss of $c$ among the vulgar. It is modified by raising the tongue into the mid-front-wide, resulting in the familiar $c e b$ for cceb. This anomalous raising of a short vowel is gradually spreading among the upper classes, and is already quite fixed in many colloquial phrases, such as nóu thene yuvo, in which thene is hardly ever pronounced with $a$, as it should be theoretically. To keep the old original $e$ distinct from this new sound, the original $e$ generally has the broad sound of the low-front-narrow - a pronunciation which is very marked among the lower orders in London. In the pronunciation of those who retain $c e$, original $e$ often has the thinner mid-frontwide sound.

## Quantity.

The laws of quantity in the Latest Modern English, which are of a very peculiar and interesting character, were, as far as I know, never stated till I gave a brief account of them in the paper on Danish Pronunciation, already mentioned.

The distinction between long and short vowel is preserved strictly only in dissyllables. In monosyllables short vowels before single consonants are very generally lengthened, especially among the uneducated. If the vowel is kept short, the consonant must be lengthened. The result is, that short accented monosyllables do not exist in English. Either the vowel or the consonant must be long. If the vowel is naturally long, the consonant is shortened; if the vowel is originally short, the consonant is lengthened; or else the vowel is lengthened, and the consonant shortened. We thus obtain the forms téil, tèll, or tè̀l, of which the last two are entirely optional. Although these quantitative distinctions are most clearly observable in the liquids, they apply quite as fully to the stops, as may be seen by any one who com-
pares the English heedd and hrett with the Danish hat, in which the $t$ is really short, giving a peculiarly abrupt effect to English ears.

Among the educated the form tell is more frequent, but among the vulgar the lengthened tè̀l is very common. These popular pronunciations are very interesting, as affording the only true undiphthongic long vowels which English now possesses: fil and fill in popular speech are really fiyl and fill with the same wide vowel, the only difference being that in the latter word it is perfectly homogeneous, while in the former it is consonantally diphthongized.

It also deserves notice that there are really three degrees of vowel quantity in English-short, medial, and long, the rule being that long vowels occur only before voice consonants or finally, while before breath consonants they become medial. Compare luuz with luus, paci̊s with paab. This fact has been noticed by Dr. Murray, in his work on the Scotch Dialects (p. 98, note).

A similar distinction is observable in the quantity of some of the consonants themselves. Liquids and nasals are long before voice, short before breath consonants. Compare billd with bilt, $\operatorname{sinn}$ w with sins. This distinction of quantity has led Mr. Bell to assume that the $l$ in bilt is voiceless, although he admits (Visible Speech, p. 67) that "there is a trace of vocality." That the $l$ in the English bilt is not roiceless becomes at once evident on comparing it with the Icelandic $l t$, which is really $l h t$, with a distinct hiss.

## Consonant Influence.

Apart from the laws of quantity already discussed, there is little to say on this subject. There are, however, words whose present forms afford instructive examples of the influence of $l$. These words are childron and milk, in both of which the $i$ has been gutturalized and labialized into $u$ by the $l$, which in the second word has further developed into the diphthong $y u$, giving chuldran and myulc. The diphthong in myulc is somewhat puzzling. It is not im-
possible that the older forms were chyyldion and myylc, which were then diphthongized into $y u$, which in the former word lost its $y$-consonant; or chyldion may have developed direct into cluldron. (Sec note ${ }_{*}{ }^{*}$ on p. 163.)

Notes on the Consonants. ${ }^{1}$

## H.

That initial $l$ in Old English had the same sound as it has now, and not that of the German $c h(k h)$, which it is generally agreed to have had when medial and final, is clear from its frequent omission, even in the older documents of the language; for if initial $h$ had been really $k h$, there would be no more reason for its omission than for that of $s$ or any other initial consonant.

During the Middle period the use of $h$ to designate the sound of $k \cdot h$ was abandoned in favour of $g h$, whence the present spellings night, laugh, for the O.E. niht, heahhan. The spelling ch, as in German, also occurs, and it is, at first sight, difficult to see why it was not universally adopted instead of gh, which ought to express, not the breath sound $k i l$, but rather the corresponding voice (as in German sagen). The simplest explanation seems to be that the $c h$ was discarded in order to prevent confusion with the $c h$ from $c$ in child, much, etc.

## HR, HL, HW, HN.

There can be no doubt that in the oldest pronunciation of these combinations the $h$ was pronounced separately, and that at a still earlier period the $h$ was a real ch. In Modern Icelandic, however, which is the only Teutonic language that still preserves all these sounds, the combinations have been simplified into $r h, l h, u h, n h$, which are nothing else but the breath sounds corresponding to $r, l, x, n$, respectively. Modern English also preserves one of them in the simplified form of $w h$.

[^10]The fact that $h r, h l$, and $h n$ drop their $h$ very early in the Transition period, seems to show that the change from the compound $h-r$, etc., to the simplified $r h$, must have already begun in the Old English period. That they did pass through the stage of simplification is clear from the spellings $r$, etc., as in rhof (Ormulum), lhord (Ayenbite), and the $u \cdot h$ still preserved.

The change from $h l$ to $l$ is not, therefore, to be explained as the result of apocope of the initial $h$, but rather as a levelling of the voiceless $l h$ under the voiced $l$-a change which is at the present moment being carried out with the only remaining sound of this group, the wh.

$$
\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~F}
$$

The main difficulty here is to determine the laws which govern the distribution of the breath b and $f$, and the voice $\gamma$ and $v$. The following table gives a general view of the relations of the living languages.

| English ... ping | ૪æt...... brədər ...... óup |
| :---: | :---: |
| Icelandic ... bing | paað ... brouðir ..... éið |
| Swedish ... ting | det ...... bróódər ..... ééd |
| Danish ..... ting | dé ...... bróó®or ..... éé夭 |
| Dutch ..... ding | dat...... brudər ...... ééd |
| German ... ding | das ...... bruudor ...... aid (for ait) |

The German ait, which is still written eid, really stands for aid, as final stops are always voiceless or whispered in German. The same is the case in Dutch, but original voiced stops preserve their vocality, if followed by a word beginning with a vowel.

The inferences suggested by this table are clear enough.
The English final $b$ for $\gamma$ is evidently an exceptional change, which does not appear in any of the other languages. So also is the Icelandic b in paar. The majority, then, of the living Teutonic languages agree in showing $\gamma$ medially and finally and $b$ initially, except in a small group
of words in very common use, such as the, then, thus, than, thou.

The question now arises, what is the relation of the Dutch and German $d$ in ding to the Scandinavian and English ting, fing? If the initial breath forms are the original ones, the voiced $૪ a t$, etc., must be later modifications; if the $૪$ of $\gamma a t$ is the older, the $t$ and $b$ of ting and bing must be the later developments-in short, there must have been a period in which b did not exist at all.

If we go back to the Oldest English, we find no trace of any distinction between $b$ and $\gamma$. Many of the oldest MSS. write the $\gamma$ in all cases- $\gamma i n g$, $\gamma$ at, bro $\begin{gathered}\text { or, } a \gamma \text {, while others }\end{gathered}$ write $\rho$ with equal exclusiveness. When we consider that $\gamma$ is simply the usual $d$ modified by a diacritic, and that the $b$ itself is, in all probability (as, I believe, was first suggested by Mr. Vigfússon), a D with the stem lengthened both ways, we are led to the unavoidable conclusion that the voice sound was the only one that existed in the Early Old English period. The fact that some of the very oldest remains of our language use the digraph th cannot outweigh the overwhelming evidence the other way. It was very natural to adopt the digraph th, which already existed in Latin as the representative of the sound $t h$, as an approximate symbol of the voiced $d h$, but it is clear that it was considered an inaccurate representation of a voiced consonant, and was therefore abandoned in favour of $b$ or $\gamma$, which were at first employed indiscriminately.

Afterwards, when the breath sound developed itself, the two letters were utilized to express the difference, and $b$, whose origin was of course forgotten, came to be regarded as the exclusive representative of the breath sound. Accordingly the later MSS. of the tenth and eleventh centuries always use both $b$ and $\gamma$ together, often rather loosely, but always with the evident intention of writing $\beta$ initially, $\gamma$ medially and finally. None of them seem to make any distinction between bing and ðret, etc. It is, however, clear that these words must have had the same voice pronunciation as they have now.

We may therefore assume three stages in the history of the Tnglish th-sounds :
Early Old English ... ૪ing...... ઈæt...... brōðor...... ā૪
Late Old English ... jing...... ðæt...... brōðor...... ā
Modern English ...... Jing...... ૪æt...... brəðər...... óuß
The mystery of the pronunciation of the, thou, is now solved : these words are archaisms, preserved unchanged by the frequency of their occurrence.

These results apply equally to the $f$. There can be no doubt that the $f$ in Early Old English was vocal like the Welsh $f$, as is shown by the Old German spelling uolc, etc. (still preserved, though the sound has been devocalized, in Modern German), and the Dutch pronunciation.

In the Transition period the voiced $f$ was represented by the French $u$, as in Old German, and it is clear from such spellings as vox for fox, uader for fader, that the initial vocality of the Old English $f$ (and consequently of the $\gamma$ also) was still preserved, as it still is, in many of the Southern dialects.

Even in the present literary English we find initial vocality still preserved in the words réin (from fana), ccet and vixen. As, however, these words are not of very frequent occurrence, it is not improbable that they were taken directly from one of the dialects.

There are a few cases of the retention of final vocality also, both of $f$ and $\gamma$, in the present English. The words are ov, twelv, and wið, all three evidently preserved, like $\begin{array}{rcc} \\ \text { t, etc., }\end{array}$ by their excessive frequency. The pronunciations of and wij, given by some of the Early Modern authorities, are made doubtful by their recognition of ov and wir as popular or vulgar pronunciations: they may therefore be purely artificial.

The vocal pronunciation of initial $s$, which is common in our dialects, and is shown for the fourteenth century by the Kentish $z a y$, $\approx a l$, etc., cannot be original. The sound of $\approx$ is unknown in Scandinavia, and even in Germany the "soft" s is clearly the result of Low German influence, and it is unknown in the South German dialects.

It scems, therefore, that the rocalization of initial (and also medial) $s$ in English is merely a case of levelling, caused by the analogy of the vocal $\gamma$ and $c$.

## G.

The use of $g$ for the $y$-consonant $(j)$ of the other languages is one of the knotty points of Old English phonetics. It is commonly assumed that the $g$ of $g \bar{e} r$ ( $=$ Gothic $j \bar{e} r$ ), ge (=jus), and the ge of geoc $(=j u k)$, geā (=jā), are merely orthographical expedients for indicating this $y$-consonant. But there seems no reason why the $i$ of the other national orthographies should not have been adopted in England also. As a matter of fact, it is used in foreign names, as in Lubytte (in the Chronicle), Iutiana, etc. And not only do such words as geoc alliterate with undoubted hard $g$ s in the poetry, but we even find such pairs as Juliana, god, showing clearly that even in foreign words $y$-consonant was liable to be changed into a sound which, if not identical with the $g$ of $g o d$, was at least very like it.

The ge of geoc makes it very probable that the $g=y$-consonant was a palatal sound-in short, a palatal stop formed in the place of $y$ (=Sanskrit ज). The conversion of an open into a stopped consonant is, of course, anomalous, but precisely the same change has taken place in the Romance languages.

The spelling $c g$ for $g g$, as in licgan, ecg, is curious. We can hardly suppose that the combination is to be understood literally as $c$ followed by $g$. Such a change would, at least, be entirely without precedent, and it seems most probable that the combination was meant to indicate a whispered instead of a voiced $g g$. The peculiarity, whatever it was, does not seem to have been carried into the Middle period, whose scribes always write $g g$.

Final $g$ after long vowels or consonants often becomes $h$ in Old English, which, to judge from the spelling $b o g h=b \bar{o} h=b \bar{o} g$, was originally vocal ( $=g h$ ), although it was soon devocalized. In the Transition period all medial and final $g s$ became open ( $g h$ ), as in German, Danish, and Icelandic. This $g h$ after-
wards became palatalized after front, and labialized after back vowels ( $g h w$ ), and in many cases the palatal and labial $g h$ became still further weakened into $i$ and $u$, forming the second elements of diphthongs. After a consonant the labial $g h$ was confused with $w$ (from which it differs only in being slightly more guttural), folgian becoming folven. When the $w$ came at the end of a word, it was weakened into $u$, folw becoming folu, and malw (O.E. mealwe) becoming malu. The present óu in folóu, for which there is sixteenth century authority, as well as for folu, is anomalous. It is possible that the óu pronunciation may be artificial-the result of the spelling follow.

Even initial $g$ is often weakened before front vowels, so often, indeed, that the Old English form of the $g(z)$ came to be used exclusively to represent this weak sound, while the French form (nearly our present $g$ ) was reserved for the original stopped $g$. The first change was, no doubt into $g h$, gifan becoming ghiven, as in the Dutch ghéévn, which soon became palatalized, till at last it became simple $y$-consonant, as is clearly proved by such spellings as ioef $=$ O.E. geaf (Peterborough Chronicle), yelt = gylt (Ayenbite), etc.

The $g$ or $g e$, which represents original $y$-consonant in Old English, always undergoes this weakening, geoc, gē, becoming yòòc, yéé. Even when initial ge is merely the result of the diphthongization of $a$ into $e a$, it is often weakened into $y a$, as in $y$ ard $=$ geard $=$ gard.

The result of all these changes was, that by the beginning of the sixteenth century $g h$ was entirely lost, being either weakened into a vowel ( $i$ or $u$ ), or converted into the corresponding. breath sound $k i h$, but only finally, as in dóouth (O.E. dāg), enuuh (genōg). In most cases final $g h$ (when not vowelized) was dropped entirely, as in fóóu (fäg), lóóu (lāg), fii (feoh). ${ }^{1}$

In the present English $k / h$-whether answering to O.E. $g$ or $h$-has been entirely lost. It appears from Mr. Ellis's investigations that the full $k i h$ first became weakened to a

[^11]mere aspiration, which was soon dropped. In such words as niht the $i$ was lengthened, niht becoming nuit, whence our present nait. Final $k$ ith preceded by a rounded vowel as in lauk, cmunk, was itself naturally rounded into k/ho, like the $k / h$ in the German auch; hence the present laaf, enaf-laukih, lakikw, lauk, laf. For fuller details the reader must be referred to Mr. Ellis's great work.

## CH, J

The change of $c$ into $c h$ before and after front vowels, as in chiild, tèèch, from cild, tāecan, offers considerable difficulties, on account of the many intermediate stages there must have been between the back stop $c$ and the present $t s h$-sound. There can be no doubt that the first change was to move $c$ to the front-stop position, but, although the further change to the point formation is simple enough, it is not easy to explain the intrusion of the sh: we would expect ciild to change simply into tiild, just as gemaca becomes maat. I believe that the change from the intermediate front-stop to $t s h$ is a purely imitative one. If the front-stop is pronounced forcibly-even with a degree of force stopping far short of actual aspiration-the escape of breath after the contact is remored naturally generates a slight hiss of $y / k$ (as in lue), which is very like sh in sound-hence the substitution of the easier $t s h$.

The same remarks apply also to the $d z h$-sound in $w e j, e j$, rij, etc., from wecg, ecg, hrycg.

It is instructive to observe the analogous changes in the Scandinavian languages. In Icelandic $k$ and $g$ before front vowels are shifted forward a little, without, however, losing their back character, almost as in the old-fashioned London pronunciation of kaind, skai, etc. In Swedish $k$ before front vowels has a sound which is generally identified with the English ch. If, however, my limited observations are correct, the real sound is the front stop followed by the corresponding open breath ( $y / 2$ ). The sound is certainly not the English $c h$, which the Swedes consider an unfamiliar sound. In

Norwegian the stopped element is dropped entirely, and nothing remains but a forward $y h$, so that kenna is pronounced yhenna. Both in Norwegian and Swedish $g$ before front vowels has the simple sound of the consonant $y$.

## SH.

The change of Old English sc into sh is not exactly parallel with that of $c$ into $c h$, as it takes place after back as well as front vowels-not only in such words as ship ( $=$ scip), but also in shun (äscunian), etc. It is therefore possible that sc may hare passed through the stage of skh, as in Dutch, a change which seems to be the result of the influence of the $s$, the $k / h$ instead of $k$ being, like $s$, a sibilant unstopped consonant. The Old English spellings sceacan, sceoc, etc., for scacan, scōc, however, seem to point rather to a palatalization of the $c$ at an early period. Whatever the development may have been, it is certain that the sound soon became simple, for we find it often written ss in the Early Middle period.

In Swedish the sound of sh is fully developed, but only before front vowels. In Norwegian ski before front vowels changes its $k$ into $y k$ (voiceless $y$-consonant), which, as we have already seen, is the regular change, giving the combination $s-y h$, which is generally confounded with simple sh by foreigners. These facts tend strongly to confirm the view that the change of $s k$ into $s h$ in English also is due to palatalization of the $k$, although we cannot determine with certainty what the intermediate stages were.

## WORD LISTS.

The following lists are intended to include the majority of the words of Teutonic-that is to say English or Scandinavian -origin still in common use, with the corresponding Old and Middle forms. The first column gives the Old English forms; the second the Middle English (but without the final e, p. 56) as deduced from the Old English forms and the present traditional spelling, which is given in the third column; the
fourth, lastly, gives the present sounds. I have, of course, carefully compared the valuablo pronouncing vocabulary of Ear'y Modern English given by Mr. Ellis in his Third Part, especially in all cases of irregular change or anomalous spelling. These exceptions will be considered hereafter.

The words are arranged primarily according to their vomels in the following order:-a (o, ea, ei), $\overline{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{i}, \bar{i}, y, \overline{\mathrm{y}}$, é (eo), è, $\bar{c}, \bar{\aleph}=$ éé, $\bar{c}=\grave{e} e ̀$ e eī, e $\overline{0}, u, \bar{u}, o, \bar{o}$. Then according to the consonant that follows the vowel in this order: $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{\gamma}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}$; and lastly according to the initial consonant in the same order. The principle I have followed is to begin with the vowels, as being the most independent elements of speech, and to put the stops at the extreme end as being most opposed to the vowels. The semivowels or open consonants naturally come after the vowels, and the nasals next to the stops. As regards position, back consonants come first, then front, then point, and then lip. Voice consonants, of course, come before breath. It will easily be seen that the same general principles have been followed in the arrangement of the vowels. The order of position is back, mixed, front; high comes before mid, and mid before low, and round last of all.

To facilitate reference, I have often given the same word under as many different heads as possible, especially in cases of irregular derelopment.

Old English forms which do not actually occur, but are postulated by later ones, are marked with an asterisk.

The Middle English forms in parentheses are those which, although not deducible from the spelling, are supported by other evidence.

Norse words are denoted by N., and the conventional Icelandic spellings are occasionally added in parentheses.

Many of the inorganic preterites (such as bore $=b$ cer ) have been included in the present lists: they are all marked with a dagger.

## a, xe, ea, $\dot{\mathbf{c}}_{\mathbf{0}}$

old.
MIDDLE.
MODERN.

| hleahhan | lauh |  | laugh |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| géseah | sau | saw | laaf |
| eahta | sò |  |  |

$$
\mathrm{a}\left(æ \text { ea ei), i, é(co), ̀̀, } \overline{\mathrm{c}}, \overline{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{c}_{\overline{\mathrm{a}}, ~ c \overline{0}, ~ u, ~ o . ~}^{\text {. }}\right.
$$

a, se, eit, is (continucd).
Otd. Middle. MODERN.

| liawerce | lare |  | lark | laวe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stearc | stare |  | stark | staac |
| spearca | spare |  | spark | spaəc |
| mearc | marc | 40 | mark | maəc |
| barc, N. (börkr) | barc |  | bark | basc |
| pearruc | pare |  | park | ралс |
| heard | bard |  | hard | haəd |
| weard | ward | 44 | ward | wòzd |
| geard | yard |  | yard | yaəd |
| beard | bèerd |  | beard | biod |
| ( $\gamma_{\bar{i}}$ ) eart | art |  | art | aət |
| sweart | swart | 48 | swarthy | swòopi |
| crect | cart |  | cart | caət |
| teart | tart |  | tart | tart |
| hearpe | harp |  | harp | haop |
| scearp | sharp | 52 | sharp | shaəp |

alor (under ld)
ealu
heall
salu (sealw-)
smæl
sceal
scealu
steall
weall
hwæl
falu (fealw-)
feallan
nihtegale
gealle calu (cealw-)
ceallian (N. ?)
dæl
talu
bealu

| swealwe | swalu |
| :--- | :--- |
| wealwian | walu |
| mealwe | malu |


| aal |  | ale | éil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| al |  | all | òol |
| hal |  | hall | hòol |
| salu | 56 | sallow | sælou |
| smal |  | small | smòol |
| shal |  | shall | shæl |
| scaal, shaal |  | scale, shate | scéil, shéil |
| stal | 60 | stall | stòòl |
| wal |  | wall | wòòl |
| whaal |  | whate | whéil |
| falu |  | fallow | fælóu |
| fal | 64 | fall | fòol |
| nihtingaal |  | nightingale | naitinggéil |
| gal |  | gall | gòol |
| calu |  | callowo | calóu |
| cal | 68 | call | còol |
| daal |  | dale | déil |
| taal |  | tale | téil |
| baal |  | bale | béil |

swolóu wolóu mælóu
$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p$.

$$
\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{x}, \text { ex, is (continued). }
$$

| OLD | MIDDLE |  | MODERN. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ælf | elf |  | elf | elf |
| healf | half | 76 | half | haaf |
| scalfian | salv |  | salce | sæly |
| cealf | calf |  | calf | caaf |
| ælmesse | alms |  | alms | aamz |
| healm | halm | 80 | halm | hoom |
| sealm | salm |  | psalm | saam |
| hālgian | halu |  | hallow | hælóu |
| gealga | galuz |  | gallows | gælóuz |
| tælg | talu | 84 | tallow | tælóu |
| stealcian | stalc |  | stalk | stòòc |
| wealcan | walc |  | walk | wòòc |
| bealca | balc |  | balk | boòc |
| bealcettan | belch | 88 | belch | belch |
| alor | alder |  | alder | òold ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |
| eald | oòld |  | old | óuld |
| ealdormann | alderman |  | alderman | oòldəmon |
| healdan | hoold | 92 | hold | hould |
| sealde | sòold |  | sold | sóuld |
| fealdan | fòld |  | fold | fould |
| ceald | coold |  | cold | cóuld |
| tealde | tòold | 96 | told | tóuld |
| beald | boòld |  | bold | bóuld |
| healt | halt |  | halt | holt |
| sealt | salt |  | salt | solt |
| mealt | malt | 100 | malt | molt |
| $h æ(f) \gamma$ | hap |  | Tath |  |
| hrator | raXer |  | rather | raa̧or |
| hwx'Ser | wherer |  | whether | whe'or |
| bæ欠 | bap | 104 | bath | baap |
| bałian | baar |  | bathe | béi' |
| pæో | pab |  | path | paab |
| fæ>m | fatom |  | fathom | fæヤวm |
| ea(l)swã | az | 108 | as | æZ |
| assa | as |  | ass | aas |
| *hæ(f)s | haz |  | has | hæz |


it, 2e, ea, (continued).
OLI).
MIDDLE.
modern.

| lessa | les |  | less | les |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\chi_{\text {y }} \mathrm{lms} \chi_{e}$ | lest | 112 | lest | lest |
| wæs | waz |  | was | woz |
| пæ๐ | nes |  | ness | nes |
| gres | gras |  | grass | graas |
| glæs | glas | 116 | glass | glaas |
| bræs | bras |  | brass | braas |
| æsc | ash |  | ash | æsh |
| āscian | asc |  | ask | aasc |
| ascan | ashez | 120 | ashes | æshez |
| rase N. | rash |  | rash | ræsh |
| wascan | wash |  | wash | wosh |
| flasce | flase |  | flask | flaasc |
| ba才a sic N . | basc | 124 | bask | baase |
| $\mathrm{la}(\mathrm{to}) \mathrm{st}$ | last |  | last | laast |
| læst (superl.) | lèèst |  | least | liist |
| lw̄stan | last |  | last | laast |
| fæst | fast | 128 | fast | faast |
| mæst | mast |  | mast | maast |
| gæst | gest |  | guest | gest |
| casta N . | cast |  | cast | caast |
| castel | castl | 132 | castle | caasl |
| blāst | blast |  | blast | blaast |
| r.sp | aspen |  | aspen | æspen |
| awel | aul |  | $a w l$ | òòl |
| clawu | clau | 136 | claw | cloo |
| hafa (imper.) | hav |  | have | hæv |
| behafa | behaav |  | behave | behéiv |
| hæfen | haaven |  | haven | héivon |
| hafoc | hauc | 140 | hawk | hòoc |
| stref | staf |  | staff | staaf |
| stafas | staavz |  | staves | stéivz |
| scafan | shaav |  | shave | shéiv |
| nafu | naav | 144 | nave | nér |
| geaf | gaav |  | gave | géiv |
| græf grafan | graav |  | grave | gréiv |
| ceaf ceafor | chaf chaafer | 148 | chaff (cock)chafer | chaaf chéifər |

$$
h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p
$$

a, xe, ea, (continued).

| oL. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | die. |  | rode |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| crafian <br> clæfer | craav <br> clòòver |  | crave clover | créiv clóuvar |
| hæf\% (under ) |  |  |  |  |
| hrofn | raven |  | raven | réivon |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \text { hæfde } \\ \text { hlæfdige } \end{array}\right\}(\text { under d) }$ |  |  |  |  |
| æfter <br> sceaft <br> creft | after <br> shaft <br> craft | 152 | after <br> shaft <br> craft | afftor shaaft craaft |
| angel (hook) | angl |  | to angle | ængl |
| hangan | hang | 156 | hang | hæng |
| hrang | rang |  | rang | ræng |
| lang | long |  | long | long |
| prang | prong |  | throng | prong |
| pwang | pong | 160 | thong | pong |
| sang (pret.) | sang |  | sang | sxeng |
| sang (subst.) | song |  | song | song |
| ${ }_{\text {strang }}^{\text {sprang }}$ | strong |  | strong | strong spreng |
| $\underset{\text { wrang (pret.) }}{\text { spran }}$ | $\underset{\text { wrang }}{\text { sprang }}$ | 164 | sprang wrang | spreng ræng |
| wrang (adj.) | wrong |  | wrong | rong |
| fang | fang | 167 | fang | fæng |
| mangere | ? monge |  | monger | məngor |
| òn gemang | ? among |  | among | əməng |
| $\underset{\text { tange }}{\text { gang }}$ | ${ }_{\text {congs }}^{\text {gang }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {gang }}^{\text {gang }}$ | $\underset{\text { jrig }}{\text { tongz }}$ |
| ${ }_{\text {banga }}^{\text {tange }}$ | tongs | 172 | tongs bang | tongz |
| ancleow | ancl |  | ankle | æncl |
| ranc | ranc |  | rank | rænc |
| hlane | lanc |  | lank | lænc |
| pancian | panc | 176 | thank | pænc |
| sanc | sanc |  | sank | sænc |
| scranc | shranc |  | shrank | shrenc |
| stanc | stanc |  | stank | stænc |
| dranc | dranc | 180 | drank | drenc |
| $\overline{\text { ennig }}$ | aani (a) |  | any | eni |
| hanep | hemp |  | hemp | hemp |


a，2e，ea，ò（continued）．
OLD．MIDDLE．MODERN．

| rann | ran |  | ran | ræn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| raunsaca N ． | ransac | 184 | ransack | rænsæc |
| lane | laan |  | lane | léin |
| ૪anne | 万an |  | than | ૪æn |
| Oanne | 万en |  | then | 万en |
| swan | swan | 188 | swan | swon |
| gespann | span |  | span | spæn |
| wann（pret．） | twun |  | wons | won |
| wann（adj．） | wan |  | wan | won |
| wanian | waan | 192 | wane | wéin |
| hwanne | when |  | when | when |
| fana | vaan |  | vane | véin |
| mann | man |  | man | mæn |
| mane | maan | 196 | mane | méin |
| manig | maani（a） |  | many | meni |
| begann | began |  | began | begæn |
| ganot | ganet |  | ganet | gænet |
| cann | can | 200 | can | cæn |
| crana | craan |  | crane | créin |
| bana | baan |  | bane | béin |
| gebann | ban |  | ban | bæn |
| panne | pan | 204 | pan | pæn |
| an（d）swarian | answer |  | answer | aansər |
| anfilt | anvil |  | anvil | ænvil |
| and | and |  | and | ænd |
| hand | hand | 208 | hand | hænd |
| land | land |  | land | lænd |
| sand | sand |  | sand | sxnd |
| standan | stand |  | stand | stænd |
| strand | strand | 212 | strand | strænd |
| wand N．（röndr） | wand |  | wand | wond |
| wand（pret．） | $\dagger$ wuund |  | wound | waund |
| wandrian | wander |  | wander | wondor |
| candel | candl | 216 | candle | cændl |
| band（pret．） | $\dagger$ buund |  | bound | baund |
| band（subst．） | band |  | band | bænd |
| brand | boud |  | bond | bond |
| brand | brand | 220 | brand | brænd |
| wanta，N． | want |  | quant | wont |
| plantian | plant |  | plant | plaaut |

h；r，hr，l，hl ；$\gamma, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}$ ．
$\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{æ}, \mathbf{e}, \dot{\mathbf{c}}$ (continued).


$\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{æ}, \mathbf{e a}, \dot{\text { o }}$ (continued).
ol..
middLe.
MODERN.

| reg ${ }^{\text {rer }}$ | eiłer |  | either | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { iiðor } \\ \text { aiðo } \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| slæg(e)n | slain |  | slain | sléin |
| fæg(e)n | fain |  | fain | fein |
| mæg(e)n | main | 264 | main | méin |
| ongæg(e)n | again |  | again | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { agein } \\ \text { agèn }\end{array}\right.$ |
| bræg(e)n | brain |  | brain | bréin |
| ssegde | said |  | said | sed |
| mægd | maid | 268 | maid | méid |
| æcer | aacr |  | aere | éicar |
| æcern | aacorn |  | acorn | éicòən |
| race | raac |  | rake | réic |
| рæ宀 | pach | 272 | thatch | bæch |
| ranasaca N . | ransac |  | ransack | rænsæc |
| sacu | saac |  | sake | séic |
| snaca | snaac |  | snake | snéic |
| scacan | shaac | 276 | shake | shéic |
| stacu | staac |  | stake | stéic |
| spræc | spaac |  | spake | spéic |
|  | $\dagger$ spòoc |  | spoke | spóuc |
| wacan | waac | 230 | wake | wéic |
| wræc | wrec |  | wreck | rec |
| nacod | naaced |  | naked | néiced |
| macian | maac |  | make | méic |
| caca N . | caac | 284 | cake | céic |
| cwacian | cwaac |  | cwake | cwéic |
| taca N . | taac |  | take | téic |
| bæc | bac |  | back | bæc |
| bacan | baac | 288 | bake | béic |
| bræc | braac |  | brake | bréic |
|  | $\dagger$ broòc |  | brokie | bróuc |
| blæc | blac |  | black | blæc |
| eax | ax | 292 | axe | æx |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { axan } \\ \text { axian }\end{array}\right\}$ (under $s c$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| weax weaxan | wax |  | $26 a x$ | wæx |
| fleax | flax |  | flax | flæx |

$h_{1} ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p$.
a, æ, ea, ̀̀ (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.

| ædese | adis |  | addice, adze | $æ d z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hæ(f)de | had | 296 | had | hæd |
| hladan \{ | laad |  | lade | léid |
| hladan | lòod |  | load | lóud |
| hlæder | lader |  | ladder | lædər |
| hlǣ(f)dige | laadi | 300 | lady | léidi |
| sæd | sad |  | sad | sæd |
| sadol | sadl |  | saddle | sædl |
| sceadu | shadu |  | shadow, shade | shædóu, shéid |
| wadan | waad | 304 | wade | wéid |
| fæder | fa'cer |  | father | faa>or |
| gema(c)od | maad |  | made | méid |
| gegadorian | gałer |  | gather | gæðวr |
| tōgædere | toge ${ }^{\text {er }}$ | 308 | together | tuge ¢ $^{\text {r }}$ |
| glæd | glad |  | glad | glæd |
| cradol | craadl |  | cradle | créidl |
| *geclǣ¢ed | clad |  | clad | clæd |
| tred | ftrod | 312 | trod | ftrod |
| nædre | ader |  | adder | ædor |
| blæd | blaad |  | blade | bléid |
| blædre | blader |  | bladder | blædər |
| æt (prep.) | at | 316 | at | æt |
| æt (pret.) | aat |  | ate | éit, et |
| hatian | haat |  | hate | héit |
| hætt | hat |  | hat | hæt |
| læt (lata) | laat | 320 | late | léit |
| pæt | ¢at |  | that | ૪xt |
| sæt | sat |  | sat | sæ̈t |
| sæterdæg | saturdai |  | saturday | sretadi |
| wæter | water | 324 | water | wòòtor |
| hwæt | what |  | what | whot |
| spētte (pret.) | spat |  | spat | spæt |
| frot | vat |  | vat | væt |
| fātt (adj.) | fat | 328 | fat | fæt |
| flat N . | flat |  | flat | flæt |
| geat (subst.) | gaat |  | gate | geit |
| begeat (pret.) | got |  | got | got |
| gnætt | gnat | 332 | gnat | næt |
| catt | cat |  | cat | cæt |
| crabba | crab |  | crab | cræb |

$a(æ$ ea ci), i, é(eo), è, $\bar{e}, \bar{x}, ~ \subset \bar{e}, ~ c \overline{0}, u, ~ o . ~$

## $\mathbf{a}$ æ, еа, $\mathbf{\vdots}$ (continued).

old.
middLe.
modern.

| apa | aap | ape | éip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| happ N. | hapi | 336 happy | hæpi |
| seapan | shaap | shape | shéip |
| æppel | apl | apple | æpl |
| sæp | sap | sap | sæp |
| hnæppian | nap | 340 | nap |
| geapian | gaap |  | gape |
| cnapa | cnaar |  | hnave |
| papol(stān) | pebl | pebble | géip |
|  |  | néiv |  |
|  |  |  |  |

ei (ey). (All Norse.)

| ei | ai | 344 | aye | ai, êi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bei(r) N. | ૪ai (ei) |  | they | ૪éi |
| nei | nai |  | nay | néi |
| jeirra N . | 欠eir |  | their | ૪èrs |
| heil | hail | 348 | hail! | héil |
| reisa | raiz |  | raise | réiz |
| hrein N . swein | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rain(déér) } \\ & \text { swain } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\text { rein }(\text { deer })$ <br> swain | $\begin{aligned} & \text { réin(dior) } \\ & \text { swéin } \end{aligned}$ |
| steic | stèec | 352 | steak | stéic |
| weic | wèèc |  | weak | wiic |
| beita | bait |  | buit | béit |
| dejja | dii |  | die | dai |

$\dot{a}$.

| rā | ròò | 356 | roe | róu |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lā | lòò |  | lo! | lóu, loò |
| slā | slòò |  | sloe | slóu |
| swā | sòo |  | so | sóu |
| wā | wòò | 360 | woe | wóu |
| hwā | hwóo |  | who | huu |

$$
h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, e, d, t, b, p .
$$

it (continued).

$\mathrm{a}(\mathfrak{x}$ ca ci$), \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{e}(c o), \grave{e}, \bar{e}, \bar{x}, c \bar{a}, c \bar{c}, u,{ }_{\mathrm{u}}$.
a (continued).
OLD.
MIDDLE.
MODELN.
āscian (under a)

| *māst gāst | mòòst <br> gòost |  | most ghost | móust góust |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| lāwerce (under a) |  |  |  |  |
| pāman | pau | 400 | thaw | bòò |
| prāwan | bròou |  | throw | pró |
| sāwan | sòòu |  | sow | sóu |
| suāw | snòòu |  | snow | snóu |
| māwan | mòòu | 404 | mow | móu |
| crāwan | cròòu |  | crow | cróu |
| cnāwan | cnòòu |  | know | nóu |
| blãwan | blòòu |  | blow | blóu |
| sāwl | sòòul | 408 | soul | sóul |
|  |  |  | or | òər |
| gesãw(e)n | sòòun |  | sown | sóun |
| gebrāw(e)n | pròoun |  | thrown | próun |
| gecnāт(e)n | cnòoun | 412 | known | nóun |
| hläf <br> hlăford (under r) <br> drāf | lòof |  | loaf | louf |
|  | dròòv |  | drove | dróur |
| ān | òòn, an, a |  | one, an, a | won, on, ə |
| ānlice | òònli | 416 | only | óunli |
| lān N. | lòon |  | loan | lóun |
| nān | nòòn |  | none | non |
| scān | shòon |  | shone | shon |
| stān | stòon | 420 | stone | stóun |
| ? mānian | mòon |  | moan | móun |
| gegān (part.) | gòòn |  | gone | gon |
| gränian | groòn |  | groan | gróun |
| bān | boòn | 424 | bone | bóun |
| hām | hòom |  | home | hóum |
| lām | lòom |  | loam | lóum |
| hwām | whóóm |  | whom | huum |
| fām | fòòm | 428 | faam | fóum |
| clām | clami |  | clammy | clæmi |

$$
\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{ll} ; \gamma, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{p} .
$$


i (continued).
OLU. MIDDLE.

## MODERN.

| gesib $\gamma$ | siht | 460 | sight | sait |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( | wiht |  | wight | wait |
|  | whit |  | vohit | whit |
| niht | niht |  | night | nait |
| miht | miht | 464 | might | mait |
| cniht | cniht |  | knight | nait |
| briht | briht |  | bright | brait |
| pliht | pliht |  | plight | plait |
| hire | hir (e) | 468 | her | həər |
| scire | shiir |  | shire | shiirr, shaior |
| stīgrāp <br> cirice (under y) | stirup |  | stirrup | stirop |
| mirh ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | mirb |  | mirth | тәәр |
| wirsa (under y ) |  |  |  |  |
| hirde | herd | 472 | (shep)herd | (shep) d |
| * jirda ( $=$ pridda) | pird |  | third |  |
| * $\operatorname{ird}$ ( $=$ bridd) | bird |  | bird | bэәd |
| ill N . | il |  | ill | il |
| scilling | shiling | 476 | shilling | shiling |
| scil N . |  |  |  |  |
| stille | stil |  | still | stil |
| spillan | spil |  | spill | spil |
| willa | wil | 480 | will | wil |
| wilig | wilu |  | willow | wilóu |
| gillan | yel |  | yell | sel |
| til N. (prep.) | til |  | till | til |
| bill | bil | 484 | bill | bil |
| film(en) | film |  | film | fim |
| seoloc | silc |  | silk | silc |
| swile (under e) |  |  |  |  |
| hwilc (under c) meolc | mile |  | milk | mile |
| scild | shiild | 488 | shield | shiild |
| wilde | wiild |  | wild | waild |
| milde | miild |  | mild | maild |

$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p$.
i (continued).
jir Mitmle. Modern.

| gild | gild |  | guild | gild |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gildan | yiild | 492 | yield | yiild |
| cild | chiild |  | child | chaild |
| cildru | children |  | children | children |
| hilt | bilt |  | hilt | hilt |
| smi「 | smip | 496 | smith | smip |
| wił | wir |  | with | wið |
| fiole | fidl |  | fiddle | fidl |
| niłer | neðer |  | nether | ne ºr $^{\text {r }}$ |
| pi ${ }^{\text {ra }}$ | pip | 500 | pith | pib |
| is | iz |  | is | iz |
| his | hiz |  | his | hiz |
| pis | ¢is |  | this | \% is |
| *pise | ૪èèz | 504 | these | ¢iiz |
| mis- | mis- |  | mis(take) | mis- |
| missan | mis |  | miss | mis |
| gise | yis (e) |  | yes | Yes |
| bliss | blis | 508 | bliss | blis |
| fisc | fish |  | fish | fish |
| dise | dish |  | dish | dish |
| biscop | bishop |  | bishop | bishəp |
| wǐsdōm | wizdom | 512 | wisdom | wizdəm |
| list | list |  | list | list |
| pistel | pistl |  | thistle | pisl |
| mist | mist |  | mist | mist |
| gist | yèest | 516 | yeast | jiist |
| misteltā | mistliòo |  | mistletoe | mis!tóu |
| Crist | Criist |  | Christ | Craist |
| cristenian | cristen |  | christen | crisn |
| gist | Yèèst | 520 | yeast | yiist |
| gistrandxg | jisterdai (e) |  | yesterday | yestodi |
| hwistlian | whistl |  | whistle | whisl |
| wlisp (adj.) <br> hwisprian | lisp whisper | 524 | to lisp whesper | lisp whispər |
| siwian | seu |  | seto | sóu |
| niwe | neu |  | new | nyuu |

$a(æ$ ca ci), i, é(co), ̀̀, è, $\bar{w}$, eã, eō, u, o.
i (continucel).
OL1).
MIDDLE.
modern.

| clime tiwes dxg | cleu <br> teuzdai | clero |  | cluu <br> tyuuzdi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 528 | Tuesilay |  |
| ifig | iivi |  | ivy | aivi |
| lifian | liv |  | live | liv |
| lifer | liver |  | liver | livor |
| sife | siv | 532 | sieve | siv |
| stīf | stif |  | stiff | stif |
| wifel | wiiril |  | weevil | wiiral |
| gif | if |  | if | if |
| gifan | giv | 536 | give | giv |
| clif | clif |  | cliff | clif |
| drifen | driven |  | driven | drivon |
| siftan | sift |  | sift | sift |
| swift | swift | 540 | swift | swift |
| scrift | shrift |  | shrift | shrift |
| fîftig | fifti |  | fifty | fifti |
| gift | gift |  | gift | gift |
| hring | ring | 544 | ring | ring |
| -ling | -ling |  | (dar)ling | -ling |
| bing | bing |  | thing | bing |
| singan | sing |  | sing | sing |
| swingan | swing | 548 | swing | swing |
| stingan | sting |  | sting | sting |
| springan | spring |  | spring | spring |
| wæ̈ng N. (rāngr) | wing |  | wing | wing |
| finger | finger | 552 | finger | fingar |
| cringan | crinj |  | cringe | crinj |
| clingan | cling |  | cling | cling |
| bringan | bring |  | bring | bring |
| sincan | sinc | 556 |  | sinc |
| slincan | slinc |  | $\operatorname{slin} k$ | slinc |
| scrincan | shrinc |  | shrink | shrine |
| stincan | stinc |  | stink | stinc |
| wincian | winc | 560 | wink | winc |
| drincan | drinc |  | drink | drinc |
| trinclian | twincl |  | twinkle | twincl |
| $\mathrm{in}(\mathrm{n})$ | in |  | $i n(n)$ | in |
| rinnan | run | 564 | run | ron |
| lin | linen |  | linen | linen |

$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p$.
i (continued).
olb.
Middle.
MODERN.


i (continued).

| old. | mindes. |  |  | moders |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| icgland | iiland | 604 | island | ailond |
| higian | hii |  | lie | hai |
| licgan | lii |  | lie | lai |
| frigedæg | friidai |  | Friday | fraidi |
| nigon | niin | 608 | nine | nain |
| tigel | tiil |  | tile | tail |
| twig | twig |  | twig | twig |
| ic | ich, ii |  | I | ai |
| -līe | -li | 612 | (like)ly | -li |
| liccian | lic |  | lick | lic |
| ficce | pic |  | thick | pic |
| stician | stic |  | stick | stic |
| gestricen | stricen | 516 | stricken | stricon |
| swi(1) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | such |  | such | səch |
| wicu | wiic |  | week | wiic |
| wicce | wich |  | witch | wich |
| hwi(1)c | which | 620 | which | which |
| ficol | ficl |  | fickle | ficl |
| flicee | flich |  | Alitch | flich |
| micel | much |  | much | məch |
| gicel | (iis)icl | 624 | (ic)icle | (ais)icl |
| cwic | cwic |  | quick | cwic |
| bicce | bich |  | bitch | bich |
| pic | pich |  | pitch | pich |
| prician | pric | 628 | prick | pric |
| six | six |  | six | six |
| betwix | betwist |  | betwixt | betwist |
| hider | hixer |  | hither | hi¢ər |
| riden | riden | 632 | ridden | ridn |
| hlid | lid |  | lid | lid |
| pider | $\gamma_{i} \chi_{\text {cr }}$ |  | thither | ðiðər |
| pridda (under r) |  |  |  |  |
| widuwe | widu |  | vidow | widóu |
| hwider | whiðer | 636 | whither | whiðər |
| biden | biden |  | bidden | bidn |
| bridd (under r) |  |  |  |  |
| *widr | widb |  | width | width |
| tōmiddes | midst |  | midst | midst |
| hit | it | 640 | it | it |
| hitta N . | hit |  | hit | hit |

$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p$.
i (continuea).
old. Middle.
MODERN.

| sittan | sit |  | sit | sit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sliten | slit |  | slit | slit |
| slītan | smiten |  | smitten | smitn |
| smiten | smiten | 644 | smitten | smitn |
| gewitt witan | wit |  | woit | wit |
| writen | writen |  | written | ritn |
| git | yit (e) |  | yet | ret |
| begitan | get | 648 | get | get |
| edwìtan | twit |  | twit | twit |
| bite | bit |  | bit | bit |
| biter | biter |  | bitter | bitor |
| ribb | rib | 652 | rib | rib |
| sibb | (go)sip |  | (gos) sip | (go)sip |
| cribb | crib |  | crib | crib |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| slịpan | slip | 656 | slip | slip |
| scip | ship |  | ship | ship |
| -scipe | -ship |  | (20or)ship | -ship |
| gripe | grip |  | grip | grip |
| clippa N. | clip | 660 | clip | clip |

I.

| bī | bii | by | bai |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| gelīhtan (under i$)$ |  |  |  |
| irland | iirland |  | Ireland |
| iren | iiron | iron | aiələnd |
| scī̀ | (shiir) | 664 sheer | aion |
| wīr | wiir |  | wire |

$$
\mathrm{a}(æ \text { ea ci), i, é(eo), è, } \overline{\mathrm{e}}, \overline{\mathfrak{x}}, \text { cā, eō, u, o. }
$$

I (continued).
OLD.
Middle.
modern.

| wrỉan <br> blỉe | wriix <br> bliið |  | writhe blithe | rai’ <br> blai $\gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| is arīsa wīs wīsdōm | iis <br> ariiz <br> wiiz <br> wizdom | 676 | ice <br> arise <br> wise <br> wisdom | ais <br> oraiz <br> waiz <br> wizdom |
| stīweard spīwan | steuard speu | 680 | steward spew | styuuad spyuu |
| lif <br> prifan scrïfan stīf wif fïf cnīf drîfan | liif <br> priiv shriiv <br> stif <br> wiif <br> fiiv <br> cniif <br> driiv | 684 | life thrive shrive stiff wife five knife drive | laif <br> praiv <br> shraiv <br> stif <br> waif <br> faiv <br> naif <br> draix |

wifman (under im)


$$
\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{hl} ; \forall, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{p}
$$

i (continued).

| OLD. | middle. |  | MODERN. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mīgan | mii |  | mie | mii |
| rice | rich |  | rich | rich |
| gelīc | liic | 708 | like | laic |
| -ilic (under i) |  |  |  |  |
| sican | siih |  | sigh | sai |
| snican | snèèk |  | sneak | sniic |
| strican | striic |  | strike | straic |
|  | diic | 712 | dyke | daic |
|  | dich |  | ditch | dich |
| idel | iidl |  | idle | aidl |
| ridan | riid |  | ride | raid |
| side | siid | 716 | side | said |
| slīdan | sliid |  | stide | slaid |
| wīd | wiid |  | wide | waid |
| glīdan | gliid |  | glide | glaid |
| cīdan | chiid | 720 | chide | chaid |
| tid | tiid |  | tide | taid |
| bìdan | biid |  | bide | baid |
| brìdels | briidl |  | bridle | braidl |
| slitan (under i) |  |  |  |  |
| smitan | smiit | 724 | smite | smait |
| edwītan (under i) |  |  |  |  |
| writan | wriit |  | write | rait |
| hwit | whiit |  | white | whait |
| bītan | biit |  | bite | bait |
| ripe | riip | 728 | ripe | raip |
| ripan | rè̀p |  | reap | riip |
| slīpan | slip |  | slip | slip. |
| gripan | griip |  | gripe | graip |

v.

| flyht <br> byht | fliht <br> biht | 732 | fight <br> light | flait <br> bait |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| styrian <br> cyrice | stir <br> church (i, y) | stir <br> church | stəər <br> chəəch |  |

$\mathrm{a}\left(æ\right.$ ea ci), i , é(eo), è, è, $\bar{x}$, eā, ē̄, $u, \begin{array}{c}\text {. }\end{array}$
y (continued).
old. midde. modern.

| brrig | -byri | 736 | (Canter)bury | -bori |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wyrhta | wriht |  | wright | rait |
| byrlian (under 1) |  |  |  |  |
| byrðen | burden |  | burden | bəədn |
| wyrsa fyrs | wurs furz | 740 | vorse furze | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 世วəs } \\ & \text { fəəz } \end{aligned}$ |
| pyrstan <br> tyrsta | $\underset{\text { first }}{\text { pirst }}$ |  | thirst first | $\underset{\text { foast }}{\text { forst }}$ |
| wyrm | wurm |  | worm | พәวm |
| bebyrgan | byri | 744 | bury | beri |
| wyrcan myrc | wure mirci |  | work mirky | พวәс mәәсі |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { wyrd (subs.) } \\ & \text { gebyrd } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wiird } \\ & \text { birp } \end{aligned}$ | 748 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wierd (adj.) } \\ & \text { birth } \end{aligned}$ | wiod bəәp |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { scyrta } N .\{2 \\ & \text { wyrt } \end{aligned}$ | skirt shirt <br> wurt |  | skirt <br> shirt <br> wort | skoat <br> shəət <br> wәวt |
| ? yfel (see ill) | il | 752 | ill | il |
| hyll | hil |  | hill | hil |
| byrlian | pril |  | thrill | pril |
| syll | sil |  | sill | sil |
| mylen | mil | 756 | mill | mil |
| fyllan | 61 |  | fill | fil |
| bylgja N . | bilu |  | billow | bilóu |
| fy̆l | filb |  | filth | filb |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { gyldan } \\ & \text { byldan } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gild } \\ & \text { byld (i) } \end{aligned}$ | 760 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gild } \\ & \text { build } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gild } \\ & \text { bild } \end{aligned}$ |
| gylt | gilt |  | guilt | gilt |
| cı $\bar{\gamma} \gamma \gamma$ | cip |  | kith (and kin) | cip |

$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p$.
v (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MCDZRN.

| cyssan bysig | cis byzi | 764 | kiss busy | cis bizi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wȳscan | wish |  | wish | wish |
| lystan | list |  | list(less) | list |
| fȳst | fist | 768 | fist | fist |
| clyster | cluster |  | cluster | clastor |
| treysta N. | tryst (u) |  | trust | trast |
| yfel | ? èèvel |  | evil | iivl |
| lyftan | lift | 772 | lift | lift |
| cyng | cing |  | king | cing |
| ynce | inch |  | inch | inch |
| byncan | pinc |  | think | fine |
| bynne | bin | 776 | thin | pin |
| synn | $\sin$ |  | $\sin$ | sin |
| cynn | cin |  | kin | cin |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { cyning (under ng) } \\ & \text { dyne } \end{aligned}$ | din |  | din | din |
| mynster | minster | 780 | minster | minstor |
| gemynd | miind |  | mind | maind |
| gecynde | ciind |  | lind | caind |
| tynder | tinder |  | tinder | tindər |
| byndel | bundl | 784 | bundle | bandl |
| mynet | mint |  | $\min t$ | mint |
| dynt | dint |  | dint | dint |
| trymman | trim |  | trim | trim |
| cymlic | cumli | 788 | comely | camli |
| hrycg | rij |  | ridge | rij |
| lyge | lii |  | lie | lai |
| flycge (adj.) | flejd |  | fledged | flejd |
| mycg | mij | 792 | $m y$ | mij |

$$
\mathrm{a}(æ \text { ca ci), i, é (eo), è, } \overline{\mathrm{e}}, \overline{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{o} .
$$

y (continued).

| oll. | midle. |  |  | molirn. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dryge | drii |  | dry | drai |
| bycgan | byy |  | buy | bai |
| bryeg | brij |  | bridye | brij |
| ? lyeci N. | lue | 796 | luck | lac |
| myeel | much (i) |  | much | məch |
| cyeen | chicen |  | chicken | chicen |
| cycene | cichen | 800 | kitchen | cichen |
| cryce | cruch |  | crutch | croch |
| fyxen | rixen |  | vixen | vixən |
| gehȳded | hid |  | hid | hid |
| dyde | did | 804 | did | did |
| 1 1tel | litl |  | little | litl |
| scytel | shutl |  | shuttle | shotl |
| scyttan | shut (i) |  | shut | shat |
| spyttan | spit | 808 | spit | spit |
| flytja N. | flit |  | flit | flit |
| costtan | cnit |  | kinit | nit |
| pytt | pit |  | pit | pit |
| clyppan | clip | 812 | clip | clip |
| dyppan | dip |  | dip | dip |

## $\overline{5}$.

| scȳ N . <br> hwy <br> cy | $\begin{aligned} & \text { skii } \\ & \text { whii } \\ & \text { cii } \end{aligned}$ | 816 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sky } \\ & \text { why } \\ & \text { kye } \end{aligned}$ | skai <br> whai <br> cai |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ahȳrian fy̆r | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hiir } \\ & \text { fiir } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hire } \\ & \text { fire } \end{aligned}$ | haiər faiər |
| gefȳlan | fiil |  | (de) file | fail |
| fyıl (under y ) |  |  |  |  |
| hȳ $\gamma$ | hii\% |  | hithe | hair |

$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p$.
y (continueà).
OLD.
MIDDLE.
MODERN.
c $\overline{\mathrm{y}} \gamma \gamma$ (under 5 )

\& e.
$\mathrm{pe}(=\mathrm{se})$
? $\mathrm{bleoh}(=$ blue $)$$\quad$ ૪e $\quad$ the $\quad$ Үe, ૪o

| leōht feohtan | liht <br> fiht | 828 | light <br> fight | lait <br> fait |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| smerian | smèèr |  | smear | smiar |
| sceran | shèèr |  | shear | shior |
| steorra | star | 832 | star | star |
| spere | spè̀r |  | spear | spior |
| feorr | far |  | far | far |
| merg (adj.) | meri |  | merry | meri |
| teran | tèèr | 836 | tear | tèar |
| teru | tar |  | tar | tar |
| beran $\}$ | bèèr |  | bear | bèər |

beorht (see briht)

| merh ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | mirb |  | mirth | məәp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eor'¢e | èèr ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 840 | earth | әәр |
| heor $\gamma$ | hèèrb |  | hearth | haəp |
| weor ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | wurb |  | worth | wวə\} |
| feor 「ling | far ing |  | farthing | farðing |
| *dēr' | dèèr ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 844 | dearth | dәə |

$$
\mathrm{a}(æ \text { ea ei }), \mathrm{i}, \dot{\text { é }} \text { eo), ̀̀, } \overline{\mathrm{e}}, \overline{\mathrm{x}}, \text { e } \overline{1}, \text { e } \overline{,}, \mathrm{u}, 0 .
$$

é, е@ (continued).
oid.
MDDLE.
MODERN.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { corl } \\ & \text { ceorl } \end{aligned}$ | èrl churl |  | earl <br> churb | əəl chəəI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ccrse (under s) perscan ferse (under sc) | prash |  | thrash | præsh |
| berstan | burst | 848 | burst | bozst |
| ceorfan | carv |  | carve | caər |
| sweorfan | swerv |  | swerve | swวəv |
| steorfan | starv |  | starve | staəv |
| eornan | run | 852 | run | rən |
| eornost | èèrnest |  | earnest | әənest |
| leornian | lèèrn |  | learn | lวən |
| speornan | spurn |  | spurn | sрәәп |
| gernan | yèern | 856 | yearn | уəən |
| beornan | burn |  | burn | boən |
| beorma | barm |  | barm | baəm |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| beorg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ? (iis)berg } \\ & \text { baru } \end{aligned}$ | 860 | (ice)berg <br> barrow | (ais)bəəg bæróu |
| weore | wure |  | work | шәว¢ |
| deore | darc |  | dark | даәс |
| beorce | birch | 864 | birch | bəoch |
| beorcan | barc |  | bark | baәc |
| herrenian | harc |  | hark | hayc |
| hercmian | heèrecn |  | hearken | haəcen |
| sweord | swurd | 868 | sword | sòòod |
| heort | hart |  | hart | hart |
| heorte | hèèrt |  | heart | hart |
| swellan | strel |  | swell | swel |
| smella N . | smel | 872 | smell | smel |
| stelan | stè̀ |  | steal | stiil |
| spellian | spel |  | spell | spel |
| wel | wel |  | well | wel |
| wela | wè̀l | 876 | weal | wiil |
| fell | fel |  | fell | fel |

$\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{hl} ; \gamma, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}$.
e, $\mathbf{C}$ (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE MODERN.

| fēlagi N . | felu |  | fellow | felóu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| melu | mèè |  | meal | miil |
| geolo | yelu | 880 | yellowo | jelón |
| cwelan | cwail |  | quail | cwéil |
| belle | bel |  | bell | bel |
| seolh | sèèl |  | seal | siil |
| self | self | 884 | self | self |
| seolfor | silver |  | silver | silvar |
| delfan | delv |  | delve | delv |
| twelf | twelv |  | tuelve | twelv |
| elm | elm | 888 | elm | elm |
| helm | helm |  | helm | helm |
| swelgan | swalu |  | sxallow | swolóu |
| belgan | belu |  | bellow | belóu |
| seoloc | silc | 892 | silk | silc |
| weoloc | wheic |  | whelk | whele |
| meolc | milc |  | milk | mile |
| geolca | yole |  | yolk | Jóuc |
| heōld ( $\mathrm{pret}^{\text {. }}$ ) | held | 896 | held | held |
| seldon | seldom |  | seldom | seldəm |
| feld | fild |  | ficld | fild |
| smeltan | smelt |  | smelt | smelt |
| gefēled | felt | 900 | felt | felt |
| meltan | melt |  | melt | melt |
| helpan | help |  | help | help |
| gelpan | yelp |  | yelp | felp |
| lexer | lè̀犭er | 904 | leather | leðər |
| we ${ }^{\text {rer }}$ | we ${ }^{\text {er }}$ |  | wether | werer |
| beneoðan | benèè |  | beneath | beniip |
| brēðer | breðren |  | brethren | brełren |
| cerse | cres | 908 | cress | cres |
| blētsian | bles |  | bless | bles |
| wesle | wèèzal |  | weasel | wiizl |
| besma | bezom |  | besom | bezom |

a(æ ca ei), i, é(eo), c̀, è , ié, cā, cō, u, o.
\&, e@ (continued).
ULD.
middle.
MODERN.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { presean } \\ & \text { fersc } \end{aligned}$ | presh | 912 | thresh | presh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fresh |  | fresh | fresh |
| streostor | sister |  | sister | sistor |
| nest | nest |  | nest | nest |
| cest | chest | 916 | chest | chest |
| efen | èèren |  | even | iivn |
| heofon | hèèven |  | heaven | hevn |
| seofan | seven |  | seven | sevn |
| wefan | wèèv | 920 | weave | wiiv |
| fefer | fèerer |  | fever | fiivor |
| jeff $\gamma$ | beft |  | theft | peft |
| hēng | hung |  | hung | hung |
| tēn | ten | 924 | ten | ten |
| begeondan | beyond |  | beyond | beyond |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { eom (see eam) } \\ & \text { brēmel } \end{aligned}$ | brambl |  | bramble | bræmbl |
| weg | wai |  | way | wéi |
| be(de)gian | beg | 928 | beg | beg |
| plega | plai |  | play | pléi |
| $\operatorname{leg}(\mathrm{e}) \mathrm{r}$ | lair |  | lair | lèèr |
| seg(e)l | sail |  | sail | séil |
| $\operatorname{reg}(\mathrm{e}) \mathrm{n}$ | rain | 932 | rain | réin |
| geleg(e)n | lain |  | lain | léin |
| beg(e) $\mathbf{u}$ | paan |  | thane | béin |
| tweg(e)n | twain |  | twain | twéin |
| breg(e) n | brain | 936 | brain | bréin |
| ? blegen | blain |  | (chill)blain | bléin |
| bregdan | braid |  | braid | bréid |
| sprecan | spèèc |  | speak | spiic |
| wrecan | wrè̀c | 940 | wreak | rec |
| brecan | brèec |  | break | bréic |

$$
\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{hl} ; \ngtr \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{p} .
$$

é, е© (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.

| next | next |  | next | next |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bēcnian | becon |  | beckon | becan |
| weder | wèè欠er | 944 | weather | weðər |
| fēded | fed |  | fed | fed |
| medu | mèèd |  | mead | miid |
| cnedan | cnèèd |  | lnead | niid |
| tredan | trèèd | 948 | tread | tred |
| gebed | bèed |  | bead | biid |
| brēded | bred |  | bred | bred |
| blēded | bled |  | bled | bled |
| etan | èèt | 952 | eat | iit |
| lēt (pret.) | let |  | let | let |
| fetor | feter |  | fetter | fetor |
| setlian | setl |  | settle | setl |
| nebb | nib | 956 | nib | nit |
| scāphirde | shepherd |  | shepherd | shepəd |
| *dēp ¢ | depb |  | depth | depb |
| pepor | peper |  | pepper | pepər |
| slæpte | slept | 960 | slept | slept |


| èrian | èèr |  | ear | ior |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| swèrian | swè̀er |  | swear | swèor |
| wèrian | wèèr |  | wear | wèor |
| mère (sm.) | mèèr | 964 | mere | mior |
| mère ( $s f$. ) | maar |  | mare | mèər |
| mèrran | mar |  | mar | mar |
| bère | bar- |  | bar-7ey | baəli |
| bèrige | beri | 968 | berry | beri |
| $\overline{\text { er }}$ (e)st | erst |  | erst | 20st |
| mèrsc | marsh |  | marsh | maəsh |

$\mathbf{a}(æ$ ea ei $), \mathrm{i}$, é(eo), è, ē, $\bar{æ}$, eā, eō, u, o.
è (continued).
cln.
MIDDLE.
MODERN.

| hèrwe | haru |  | harrow | hærou |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bèrn( = bère-ern) | barn | 972 | barn | baən |
| smèrcian | smirc |  | smirk | sтәəс |
| gèrd gèrdels | jard <br> girdl |  | yard girdle | yаәd gəədl |
| begèrded | girt | 976 | girt | gəət |
| è(nd)lufon | eleren |  | eleven | elevən |
| hèll | hel |  | hell | hel |
| sèllan | sel |  | sell | sel |
| gesālig | sili | 980 | silly | sili |
| scèll | shel |  | shell | shel |
| wèll | wel |  | well | wel̀ |
| fèllan | fel |  | fell | fel |
| cwèllan | crel | 934 | quell | cwel |
| dwèlja N. | diwel |  | dwell | dwel |
| tèllan | tel |  | tell | tel |
| èlles | els | 988 | else |  |
| wèlsc | welsh |  | Welsh | welsh |
| scèlfe | shelf |  | shelf | shelf |
| eln | el |  | ell | el |
| tèlg | talu | 992 | tallow | tælou |
| bèlg \{ | beluz |  | bellows | belóuz |
|  | beli |  | belly | beli |
| èldest | eldest |  | eldest | eldest |
| gewèldan | wiild | 996 | wield | wiild |
| gelda N. | geld |  | geid | geld |
| bèlt | belt |  | belt | belt |
| $\mathrm{hwè} \mathrm{l}$ p | whelp |  | whelp | whelp |
| flass | flesh | 1000 | flesh | flesh |

$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p$.
è (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.

| behēs | behest |  | behest | behest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wrēstan | wrest |  | wrest | rest |
| gèst | gest |  | guest | gest |
| bè (t)st | best | 1004 | best | best |
| wèsp | wasp |  | wasp | wosp |
| $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ fre | ever |  | ever | evor |
| èfese | è̀̀vz |  | eaves | iivz |
| (ic) hèfe | hèèv | 1008 | heave | hiiv |
| hètig | hè̀̀vi |  | heavy | hevi |
| èft | eft |  | eft(soons) |  |
| bereāfod | bereft |  | bereft | bereft |
| gelāfed | left | 1012 | left | left |
| ૪imm | \%em |  | them | 万em |
| stèmn | stem |  | stem | stem |
| èngland | england |  | E'ngland | ingland |
| ènglisc | english | 1016 | English | inglish |
| sè̀gan | sinj |  | singe | sinj |
| *lè̀ng $\gamma$ | lengb |  | length |  |
| strìng ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | streng ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | strength | streng |
| hlènce | linc | 1020 | link | linc |
| jèncan (ser fyncan) |  |  |  |  |
| stènc | stench |  | stench | stench |
| wèncle | wench |  | wench | wench |
| frèncisc | french |  | French | french |
| crwèncan | cwench | 1024 | quench | cwench |
| drèncan | drench |  | drench | drench |
| bènc | bench |  | bench | bench |
| hènne | hen |  | hen | hen |
| lānan <br> wènian <br> wènn | lend | 1028 | lend | lend |
|  | wèèn |  | rean | wion |
|  | wen |  | wen | wen |
| fènn | fen |  | fen | fen |
| mènn | men | 1032 | men | men |
|  | cen |  | ken | cen |
| cènnan dènn | den |  | acn | aen |

$\mathrm{a}(æ$ ea ei), i, é(eo), è, è, $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$, eī, eō, u, o.
è (continued).
OLD.
middLe.
MODERN.

| pèning | peni |  | penny | peni |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| clānsian | ?clènz | 1036 | cleanse | clenz |
| ènde | end |  | end | end |
| gehènde | handi |  | †handy | hændi |
| hrèndan | rend |  | rend | rend |
| sèndan | send | 1040 | send | send |
| spèndan | spend |  | spend | spend |
| wèndan | wend |  | wend | wend |
| bèndan | bend |  | bend | bend |
| blèndan | blend | 1044 | blend | blend |
| hrènded | rent |  | rent | rent |
| lèn(c)ten | lent |  | lent | lent |
| sended | sent |  | sent | sent |
| spènded | spent | 1048 | spent | spent |
| wènded | went |  | went ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | went |
| bènded | bent |  | bent | bent |
| $\overline{\text { enmyrie }}$ | emberz |  | embers | embəəz |
| tèmese | (temz) | 1052 | Thames | temz |
| èmtig | empti |  | empty | $\mathrm{em}(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{ti}$ |
| ège | au |  | awe | òò |
| ècg | ej |  | edge | ej |
| ègg N . | eg | 1056 | egg | eg |
| hège | hej |  | hedge | hej |
| lècgan | lai |  | lay | léi |
| lègg N . | leg |  | leg | leg |
| sècgan | sai | 1060 | say | séi |
| sècg | sej |  | sedye | sej |
| wècg | wej |  | wedge | wej |
| èglan | ail |  | ail | éil |
| èee | aach | 1064 | ache | éic |
| rècenian | recon |  | reckion | recon |
| hlèce (adj.) | lè̀e |  | leak | liic |
| strèccan | strech |  | stretch | strech |
| wrècca | wrech | 1068 | wretch | rech |
| fèccan | fech |  | fetch | fech |
| hnècca | nec |  | neck | nee |

$$
\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{hl} ; \delta, \mathrm{s}, \pi, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{p} .
$$

e (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN

| ahrèddan | rid |  | rid | rid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gelāded | led | 1072 | led | led |
| stède | stèèd |  | stead | sted |
| wèdd | wed |  | to wed | wed |
| bèdd | bed |  | bed | bed |
| lèttan | let | 1076 | let |  |
| liētan | let | 1076 | let | let |
| sèttan | set |  | set | set |
| gesèted |  |  |  |  |
| wǣt (adj.) | wet |  | wet | wet |
| hwèttan | whet |  | whet | whet |
| nètt | net | 1080 | net | net |
| nètele | netl |  | nettle | netl |
| mète | mèèt |  | meat | miit |
| cètel | cetl |  | kettle | cetl |
| bètera | beter | 1084 | better | betor |
| èbbian | eb |  | $e b b$ | eb |
| wèbb | web |  | web | web |
| nèbb | nib |  | $n i b$ | nib |
| stèppan | step | 1088 | step | step |

E:

| hē | hée | $h_{B}$ | hii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| be | 「éé | th | $\gamma_{\text {ii }}$ |
| wē | wé | we | wii |
| mē | mée 1092 | me | mii |
| gē | jéé | ye | jii |
| hēh | hiih | $h i g h$ | hai |
| nēh | niih | nigh | nai |
| hēr | heér 1096 | here | hiər |
| gehēran | ? hè̀̀r ( (ée) | hear | hior |
| wērig | ? wèèri (éé) | weary | wiori |
| hërenian | hèèrcen | hearken | һฉәсәи |

a(æ ea ei), i, é(eo), è, ē, $\bar{æ}$, eā, e $\overline{,}, \mathrm{u}$, o.
$\overline{\mathbf{e}}$ (continued).
old. middle.

| gehērde | hèèrd | 1100 | heard | hoad |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| hēl | héél |  | heel | hiil |
| stēl | stéél |  | steel | stiil |
| fēlan | féél | feel | fill |  |
| cēle | chil | 1104 | chill | chil |
| ?cnēla N. | cnéel |  | kneel | niil |

smēðe (under ō) téé
tē夭
brē̉der (under é)

| gelēfan | beléév |  | believe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| slēfe | sléev | 1108 | sleeve |
| dēfan | diiv |  | dive |

bēf (under é)
hēng (pret.) (under é)

| scēne | shéén |  | sheen | shiin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wēnan | wéén | 1112 | ween | wiin |
| grēne | gréén |  | green | griin |
| cēne | céén |  | leen | ciin |
| crēn | cwéén |  | queen | cwiin |
| tēn | ten | 1116 | ten | ten |
| preōtēne | firtéen |  | thirteen | partiin |
| bēn (under $\overline{\text { on }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |


| gesēman | séém | seem | siim |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dēman | déém | deem | diim |
| tēman | téém | 1120 | teem |
| brēmel (under é) |  |  | tiim |


| ēge $(=$ eā $)$ | ei, ii | eye | ai |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hēg | hai | hay | héi |
| slœeg N. | slii | sly | slai |
| tēgan | tii | 1124 | tie |

$$
h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p .
$$

$\overline{\mathbf{e}}$ (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.

| sēcan | sééc | seek | siic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cēc $(=$ ā $)$ | chééc | cheek | chiic |
| bēce | béech | 1132 beech | biich |
| brēc | brééch |  | breech |

nēxt (under é)
bēcnian (under é)

| hēdan | héed |  | heed | hiid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| redan | rèè (ée) |  | read | riid |
| stēda | steéd | 1136 | steed | stiid |
| spēd | speéd |  | speed | spiid |
| fédan | féed |  | feed | fiid |
| fēded (under é) |  |  |  |  |
| nēd | néed |  | need | niid |
| mēd | méed | 1140 | meed | miid |
| glèd | gléed |  | gleed | gliid |
| crēda | creéd |  | creed | criid |
| brēdan | brééd |  | breed | briid |
| blēdan | bléed | 1144 | bleed | bliid |

lêt (under é)

| swēte | swéét | sweet | swiit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| scēt $(=$ eā $)$ | shéét | sheet | shiit |
| fēt | féét | feet | fiit |
| gemētan | méét | 1148 | meet |
| grētan | gréét |  | greet |
| bētel | béétl | beetle | griit |
|  | briit | biitl |  |

blētsian (under é)

| stēp $(=$ eā $)$ | stéép | steep | stiip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| stēpel | stéépl | 1152 steeple | stiipl |
| wêpan | wéép | weep | wiip |
| cēpan | ceép | keep | ciip |
| crēpel | cripl | cripple | cripl |
| dēpan(seedyppan) | dip | 1156 | dip |

*dēpr (under é)

$$
\mathrm{a}(æ \text { ea ei), i, é(eo), ̀̀, è, } \bar{x}, c \bar{a}, \text { ē̄, u, o. }
$$

| $\overline{\mathrm{E}}=$ ( cée $)$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| onp. | middie. |  | modern. |  |
| hēr | ? hair |  | hair | hèər |
| pār | 'bèer |  | there | ૪è̀r |
| wexron | wèèr |  | were | wè̀r |
| hweer | whèr | 1160 | where | whèər |
| fār | fèer |  | fear | fior |
| bēr | ? beér |  | bier | bior |
| $\overline{\text { ma }}$ | éél |  | eel | iil |
| ? gesūlig | sili | 1164 | silly | sili |
| māl | mèè |  | meal | miil |
| brē̄ | brèè |  | breath | brep |
| * brēアan | brèe' |  | breathe | brii $\gamma$ |
| case | chééz | 1168 | cheese | chiiz |
| ǣfen | èèven |  | even | iisn |
| æmette (under a) |  |  |  |  |
| w ${ }^{\text {eng }}$ | waav |  | wave | wéiv |
| wexgan | weih |  | weigh | wéi |
| hwexg | whei | 1172 | whey | whéi |
| hñ̄gan | neih |  | neigh | néi |
| grāg | grai, grei |  | gray, grey | gréi |
| cäge |  |  |  | cii |
|  | weiht | 1176 | weight | wéit |
| 1æ.ce | lééch |  | leech | liich |
| spriec | spéech |  | speech | spiich |
| prēd | prèèd |  | thread | pred |
| W $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ d | wéedz | 1180 | weeds | wiidz |
| s $\overline{\text { exd }}$ | séed |  | seed | siid |
| grēdig | gréedi |  | greedy | griidi |
| d $\overline{\text { ed }}$ | déed |  | deed | diid |
| ondrēdan | drèèd | 1184 | dread | dred |
| n®̄dl | néedl |  | needle | niid |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { lātan (under è) } \\ & \text { strēt } \\ & \text { w̄̄̈t (under è) } \end{aligned}$ | stréét |  | street | striit |

$$
\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{hl} ; \gamma, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{p} .
$$

$\overline{\mathrm{x}}(=$ ée $)$（continued）．
OLD．

| blātan | bleèt | 1188 | bleat | bliit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| slāp | sléép |  | sleep | sliip |
| swæ̈pan | sweée |  | sweep | swiip |
| scēp | sheép |  | sheep | shiip |
| wäpen | wèèpon | 1192 | weapon | wepən |
| sľ̄pte（under é） |  |  |  |  |


| s $\bar{x}$ |
| :--- |
| tēhte（under $a)$ |


| $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$ | èèr | ere | è̀̀ər |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rāran | rèèr | rear | rìr |

æ̈rest（under è）

| hǣlan | hè̀l | 1196 | heal | hiil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| brem N． | pral |  | thrall | pròol |
| dǣl | dè̀l |  | deal | diil |
| hīl ${ }^{\text {Pr }}$ | ？hèèlp |  | health | help |

ǣlc（under c）

| hæ̈૪en | hèȩen | 1200 | heathen | hiiðən |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| scǣ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | shè̀ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | sheath | shiip |
| Wrǣ万 | wrèep |  | voreath | riip |
| ？brē〕 | brèèb |  | breath | breb |
| ？brāðan | brèè | 1204 | breathe | brii｀ |
| behæ్s（under è） |  |  |  |  |
| tēsan | tè̀ez |  | tease | tiiz |
| flāsc（under è） |  |  |  |  |

$$
a(æ \text { ca ci), i, ć(co), è, 厄̄, } \bar{x}, \text { e } \bar{a}, \text { e } \overline{,}, u, o .
$$

$$
\overline{\mathrm{x}}(=\grave{e ̀} \mathrm{e}) \text { (continued). }
$$

OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.
lǣstan (under a)
wrēstan(under $\grave{\text { è }})$

|  | leud | lewd | lyuud |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lēfan | lèèv | leave | liiv |
| hlāfdige (under a) |  |  |  |
| ळ̄fre (under è) |  |  |  |
| gelǣfed (under è) |  |  |  |

$\overline{\text { ennig (under a) }}$
l̄̄nan (under è)

| hlǣne | lèèn | 1208 | lean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| clāne | clèèn | clean | liin |
| m̄̄nan | mè̀̀n | mean | cliin |
| gemāne | mèèn | mean | miin |

ǣmyrie (under è)
pw̄m (under è)

| clǣg | clai | 1212 | clay | cléi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ (1) C | èèch |  | each | iich |
| rǣean | rèèch |  | reach | riich |
| tǣcan | tèèch |  | teach | tiich |
| blēc $=\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | blèe | 1216 | bleak | bliic |
| blǣ¢an | blè̀ch |  | bleach | bliich |
| rǣ]an | rèèd |  | read | riid |
| $1 \bar{x} d a n$ | lèed |  | lead | liid |
| gelǣded (under è) |  |  |  |  |


| *brād ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | brèedp | 1220 | breadth | bredp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hiēto | hèèt |  | heat | hiit |
| semti N. | sè̀t |  | seat | siit |
| swēt | swèèt |  | sweat | swet |
| spātte (under a) hwāte | whèèt | 1224 | wheat | whiit |
| w̄̈̈t (under è) <br> fātt (under a) |  |  |  |  |

$$
h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, P
$$

ea.
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.

heāfod (under d)

| bereāfian <br> leāf <br> sceāf <br> deāf | berèèv <br> lèèf <br> shèèf <br> dèèf |  | 1248 | bereare <br> leaf <br> sheaf <br> deaf |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | beriiv |
| :--- |
| beān |


eà (contimued).
olp.
middle.
modern.

| teām beām | tèèm bèèm | $\begin{aligned} & \text { team } \\ & \text { beam } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tiim } \\ & \text { biim } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eāge (under ē) <br> fleāg | fleu 1260 | flew | fluu |
| hreāc (under ē) <br> leāc (under ē) <br> ceāc (under $\bar{e}$ ) <br> beācen | bèècon | beacon | biicən |
| ```heā(fo)d reād leād sceādan screādian neād (under è) deād breād``` | hè̀̀d <br> rè̀d <br> lè̀d <br> shed <br> shred  <br> dèèd  <br> brèèd 1264 | head <br> red <br> lead <br> shed <br> shred <br> dead <br> bread | hed <br> red <br> led <br> shed <br> shred <br> ded <br> bred |
| ```sceāt (under è) sceāt (pret.) neāt greāt beātan``` | tshot  <br> nèèt  <br> grèèt  <br> bèèt 1272 | shot <br> neat <br> great <br> beat | shot <br> niit <br> gréit <br> biit |
| heāp <br> hleāpan <br> steāp (under è) <br> ceāp (subs.) <br> ceāpman | hèèp <br> hlèèp <br> chèèp (adj.) <br> chapman 1276 | heap <br> leap <br> cheap chapman | hiip <br> liip <br> chiip <br> chæpmon |
| creāp (pret.) | fcrept | crept | crept |

## ē.

| breō | brée | three | prii |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| seōn $(v b)$. | séé | see | sii |
| seō | shée | 1280 | she |
| feō $(h)$ | féé |  | fee |

$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}$.
eÖ (continued).

| OLD. | midnle. |  | modern. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| freō | freé |  | free | frii |
| fleō | fléé |  | flee | flii |
| gleō | gleé | 1284 | glee | glii |
| beō (vb.) | beé |  | be | bii |
| beō (subs.) | béé |  | bee | bii |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { beōh } \\ & \text { hreōh } \end{aligned}$ | piih <br> ruuh | 1288 | thigh rough | $\underset{\text { pai }}{\text { rai }}$ |

leōht (under é)

| hleōr | léer |  | leer | liar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| deōr | déer |  | deer | diar |
| deöre | dè̀̀r ( (ée) |  | dear | dior |
| deōrling | darling | 1292 | darling | daoling |
| dreörig | drè̀ri |  | dreary | driəri |
| beōr | béer |  | beer | biar |
| feör ${ }^{\text {ra }}$ | fours |  | fourth | fò ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { hweōl } \\ & \text { ? geōl } \\ & \text { ceōl } \end{aligned}$ | whéél <br> ? <br> céél | 1296 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wheel } \\ & \text { yule } \\ & \text { keel } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { whiil } \\ & \text { yuul } \\ & \text { ciil } \end{aligned}$ |

heōld (under é)

| seōðan | séér |  | seethe | siið |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | yuup | 1300 | youth | yuus |
| forleōsan | (lóóz) |  | lose | luuz |
| freōsan | fréez |  | freeze | friiz |
| fleöse | flées |  | flece | fliis |
| ceōsan | chóóz | 1304 | choose | chuuz |
| breōst | brèst |  | breast | brest |
| eō̄v (pron.) | yuu |  | you | yuu |
| eōw | yeu |  | yew | yuu |
| eōwe | eu | 1308 | ewe | yuu |
| hreōwan | reu |  | rue (rew) | ruu |
| seōwian | seu |  | sero | sóu |
| hleōw | lée |  | lee | lii |
| feōwer | four | 1312 | four | fòor |

$\mathrm{a}(æ$ ca ci), i, é(eo), è è è $\overline{\text { en }}$, eā, ē̄, u, o.
ē̄ (continued).

| OLD. | middle. |  | MODERA. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fcōwertig | forti |  | forty | fòsti |
| greōw (pret.) | greu |  | grew | gruu |
| ceōwan | cheu |  | chew | chuu |
| creōw (pret.) | creu | 1316 | crew | cruu |
| cneōw (pret.) | cneu |  | linew | nyuu |
| cneōw (subs.) | cnée |  | knee | nii |
| treōw | tréé |  | tree | trii |
| treōwe | treu | 1320 | true (trew) | truu |
| breōwan | breu |  | brew | bruu |
| bleōw (pret.) | bleu |  | blew | blua |
| hreōw ${ }^{\text {cos }}$ | ryyb |  | ruth | ruup |
| treōw | tryy | 1324 | truth | truus |
| leōf | (léef) |  | lief | liif |
| beōf | ( ${ }^{\text {ééf) }}$ |  | thief | biif |
| cleōfan | clèèv |  | cleave | cliiv |
| deōfol | devil | 1328 | devil | devl |
| geōng | jung |  | young | yong |
| betweōnan | betwéén |  | betueen | betwion |
| *gebcon (partic.) | beén |  | been | biin |
| feōnd | (feénd) | 1332 | fiend | find |
| freond | (freénd) |  | friend | frend |
| miūc N . | mééc |  | meek | miic |
| leōgan | Iii |  | lie | lai |
| flcōga | flii | 1336 | $f l y$ | flai |
| geōguð | yuup |  | youth | yuu |
| hreōd | réed |  | reed | riid |
| weōd | weéd |  | weed | wiid |
| neōd | néed | 1340 | need | niid |
| beōdan | bid |  | bid | bid |
| sceōtan. | shóót |  | shoot | shuut |
| fleōt | fleét |  | fleet | fliit |
| beōt (part.) | beet | 1344 | beat | biit |
| heōp (rose) | hip |  | hip | hip |

$$
h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p .
$$

## e $\overline{0}$ (continued).

| old. | middie. |  | Modern. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| hleōp (pret.) | †lept | lept | lept |
| sweōp (pret.) | tswept | swept | swept |
| weōp (pret.) | fwept | 1348 | wept |


| duru | (duur) |  | door | dòòr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| purh furh | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pruuh } \\ & \text { ̧oruh } \\ & \text { furu } \end{aligned}$ | 1352 | through thorough furrow | pruu pora fəróu |
| crulla N . | curl |  | curl | caəl |
| wur` \\ fur`or | wurb <br> furoer | 1356 | worth further | พәə\} fəəðัว |
| punresdæg <br> curs | jursdai curs |  | Thursday curse | pəəzdi сәәs |
| turf | turf | 1360 | turf | toəf |
| murnian | muurn |  | mours | mòən |
| wurm | wurm |  | worm | พวəm |
| burg | ¢ boru |  | borough | bərə |
| wurcan | wuro | 1364 | work | wววc |
| swurd | swurd |  | sword | sòəd |
| wull <br> full <br> crulla (under r) <br> bulluca | ? wuul (u) full buloc | 1368 | wool full bullock | wul <br> ful <br> buləo |

$$
a(æ \text { ea ei }), i, \text { é(eo), } \grave{e}, \bar{e}, \bar{x}, \text { eā, e } \overline{0}, u, o .
$$

OLD.

| wulf sculdor | wulf shuulder |  | wolf shoulder | wulf shóuldər |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ūs | us |  | $u s$ | วS |
| hūsbōnda | huzband | 1372 | husband | həzbond |
| tusc | tuse |  | tusk | tesc |
| būa sic N . | busc |  | busk | basc |
| rust | rust |  | rust | rost |
| lust | lust | 1376 | lust | lost |
| gust N . | gust |  | gust | gast |
| dust | dust |  | dust | dost |
| lufu | luv |  | love | l 2 V |
| èndlufon | eleven | 1380 | eleven | elevon |
| scūfan | shuv |  | shove | shov |
| dūfe | duv |  | dove | dov |
| ònbūfan | abuv |  | above | əbəv |
| hungor | hunger | 1384 | hunger | həngər |
| sungen | sung |  | sung | song |
| wrungen | wrung |  | wrung | rang |
| clungen | clung |  | clung | clong |
| tunge | tung | 1388 | tongue | tong |
| munuc | munc |  | monk | monc |
| druncen | drunc |  | drunk | dronc |
| hunig | huni |  | honey | həni |
| punor | punder | 1392 | thunder | pondər |
| sunu | sun |  | son | sən |
| sunne | sun |  | sun | sən |
| scūnian | shun |  | shun | shon |
| spunnen | spun | 1396 | spun | spən |
| gewunnen | wun |  | won | won |
| munne ${ }_{\text {munuc (under } n \mathrm{c} \text { ) }}$ | nun |  | nun | nən |
| cunnan | cuning |  | cunning | coning |
| dunn | dun | 1400 | dun | dən |
| tunne | tun |  | tun | ton |
| under | under |  | under | əndər |

u (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.

| hund | huund |  | hound | haund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hundred | hundred | 1404 | hundred | hondred |
| sund (subs.) | suund |  | sound | saund |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { gesund } \\ & \text { sundor } \end{aligned}$ | sunder |  | sunder | səndər |
| wund | wuund |  | wound | wuund |
| gewunden | wuund | 1408 | wound | waund |
| wundor | wunder |  | wonder | wəndər |
| funden | fuund |  | found | faund |
| grund | gruund |  | ground | graund |
| grunden | gruund | 1412 | ground | graund |
| bunden | buund |  | bound | baund |
| pund | puund |  | pound | paund |
| huntian | hunt |  | hunt | hont |
| stunt (adj.) | stunt | 1416 | to stunt | stant |
| ? munt | muunt |  | mount | maunt |
| ¢ūma | jumb |  | thumb | pom |
| sum | sum |  | some | som |
| sumor | sumer | 1420 | summer | səmər |
| swummen | swum |  | swum | swəm |
| slumerian | slumber |  | slumber | slambər |
| guma | gruum |  | groom | gru(u)m |
| cuman | cum | 1424 | come | cəm |
| crume | crumb |  | crumb | crom |
| dumb | dumb |  | dumb | dəm |
| ugglig N . | ugli |  | ugly | agli |
| sugu | suu | 1428 | sow | sau |
| fugol | fuul |  | fowl | faul |
| cnucian | cnoc |  | knock | noc |
| cnucel | cnucl |  | knuckle | nəcl |
| bucca | bue | 1432 | buck | bəc |
| pluccian | plue |  | pluck | plac |
| wudu | ? muud |  | wood | wud |
| hnutu | nut |  | nut | nət |
| gutt | gut | 1436 | gut | gət |

$\mathrm{a}(æ$ ca ei), $\mathbf{i}$, é(eo), è, ē, $\bar{x}$, e $\overline{,}, ~ e \bar{o}, u, ~ o . ~$

11 (continued).

| old. |  |  | middle. |  | modern. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| būton | but | but | bot |  |  |
| butere | buter | butter | botor |  |  |
| ? putta N. | put | put | put |  |  |
| upp | up | 1440 | up |  |  |
| hup | hip |  | hip |  |  |

T.

| hū | huu | 1444 | how | hau |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ $\bar{u}$ | §uu |  | thou | 欠au |
| nu | nuu |  | now | nau |
| cū | cuu |  | cow | cau |
| brū | bruu | 1448 | brow | brau |
| ūre | unr |  | our | auor |
| sūr | suur |  | sour | sauər |
| scūr | shuuer |  | shower | shauor |
| būr | buner | 1452 | bower | bauar |
| gebūr | (buur) |  | boor | buar |
| (neāh)gebür | (neih)buur |  | (neigh)bour | (néi)bor |
| ūle | uul |  | owl | aul |
| fūl | fuul | 1456 | foul | faul |
| sū $\gamma$ | suup |  | south | saub |
| mū ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | muup |  | mouth | mauk |
| uncūð | uncuub |  | uncouth | әncuup |
| cū ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | cuu(l)d | 1460 | could | cud |
| būð N. | (buup) |  | booth | buup |

us (under u)

| hūs | huus | house | haus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| lūs | luus | louse | laus |
| pūsend | buuzend | 1464 | thousand |
| mūs | muus |  | mouse |

scūfan (under u)
dūfe (under u)
$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; g, c, d, t, b, p$.
$\overline{\mathbf{u}}$ (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN,
onbūfan (under u)

| scūnian(under u$)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dūn | duun |  | down |
| tūn | tuun |  | down |
| brūn | bruun | 1468 | brown |


| būma (under u) <br> rūm | (ruum) | room | ruum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rūg <br> būgan | ruuh <br> buu | rough <br> bow | rof <br> bau |
| sūcan (under u$)$ <br> brūcan | (bruuc) | 1472 | brook |


| $\operatorname{cohb}(\mathrm{ett}) \mathrm{an}$ | còul |  | cough | cof |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| söhte | sòuht |  | sought | sòòt |
| wrohte | wrouht |  | wrought | ròòt |
| dohtor | dauhter | 1484 | daughter | doòtor |
| bohte | bounht |  | bought | bòòt |
| brohte | brouht |  | brought | broòt |


é (continued).
old.
middle.
modern.

| for | for |  | for | foor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| beforan | befòor | 1488 | before | befoor |
| borian | bòor |  | bore | bòor |
| woruld | wurld |  | world | woald |
| fur $\gamma$ | for $\}$ |  | forth | fors |
| norr | norp | 1492 | north | nòp |
| mor'or | mur`er |  | murder (th) | məədər |
| hors | hors |  | horse | hòjs |
| forst (under st) |  |  |  |  |
| dorste | durst |  | durst | dzast |
| borsten | burst | 1496 | burst | boast |
| horn | horn |  | horn | hòan |
| forlor (e)n | forlorn |  | furlorn | foalòn |
| porn | pornsworn |  | thorn | jòm |
| swor(e)n |  | 1500 | sworn | swòan |
| $\operatorname{scor}(\mathrm{e}) \mathrm{n}$ | shorn |  | shorn | shòan |
| mor(ge)ning | morning |  | morning | mòzuing |
| corn |  |  | corn | còan |
| tor (e)n | torn | 1504 | torn | tòn |
| bor(e)n | born |  | born(e) | bòn |
| storm | storm former |  | storm | stòəm |
| forma |  |  | former | fòmor |
| sorg | soru | 1508 | sorrow | sorór |
| morgen | moru |  | morrow | moróu |
| borgian | boru |  | borrow | boróu |
| store | storc |  | stork | stòzc |
| hord | hòòrd | 1512 | hoard | hòəd |
| word | word |  | word | wәəd |
| ford | ford |  | ford | fò ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| bord | boòrd |  | board | bòsd |
| scort | short | 1516 | short | shòat |
| port | port |  | port | pòzt |
| hol | hòol |  | hole | hóul |
| holh | holu |  | hollow | holou |

$\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{hl} ; \gamma, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{h} w, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}$.
© (continued).
OLD.
MIDDLE.
modern.

| holegn | holi | 1520 | holly | holi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pol | pòol |  | thole (pin) | jóul |
| swollen | swolen |  | swollen | swóuln |
| scolu | shòol |  | shoal | shóul |
| stolen | stòolen | 1524 | stolen | stóuln |
| fola | fòol |  | foal | fóul |
| col | còol |  | coal | cóul |
| cnoll | cnol |  | knoll | nóul |
| dol | dul | 1528 | dull | dəl |
| toll | tol |  | toll | tóul |
| bolla | bóul |  | bowl | bóul |
| bolster | bolster |  | bolster | bóulstor |
| folgian | folu | 1532 | followo | folou |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{llll}\begin{array}{lll}\text { Wolcen } \\
\text { folc }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Trelcin } \\
\text { folc }\end{array}
$$ \& wellin \& welcin <br>

folk\end{array}\right]\)| fouc |
| :--- |


| froða N. <br> mołðe <br> broł | frop <br> mob <br> brop | 1540 | froth moth broth | frò(ò) $\}$ mò(ò) $\}$ bròò |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hose | hòozz |  | hose | hóuz |
| *gefrosen | froòzen | 1544 | frozen | fróuzn |
| nosu | nò̀z |  | nose | nóuz |
| *gecosen | chòòzen |  | chosen | chóuzn |
| cross N . | cross |  | cross | cros |
| blōsma | blosom | 1548 | blossom | blosəm |
| gōsling | gosling |  | gosling | gozling |
| frost | frost |  | frost | frost |


| of |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ofen | $\begin{cases}\text { ov } & \text { of } \\ \text { of } & 1552 \\ \text { off } & \text { ov } \\ \text { ?òven } & \\ \text { oven } & \text { of } \\ \text { ovn }\end{cases}$ |

$$
\mathrm{a}(æ \text { ca ei), i, ć(co), è, ē, } \bar{æ}, \text { eī, c̄}, u, o .
$$

- (continued).

OLD.

$\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{hl} ; \gamma, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p} \sim$
© (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.

| coce | coc |  | cock | coc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coccel | cocl | 1588 | cockle | cocl |
| croce | croc |  | crock(ery) | croc(ori) |
| cnocian | cnoc |  | knock | noc |
| brocen | bròòcen |  | broken | broucon |
| oxa | ox | 1592 | $0 x$ | ox |
| fox | fox |  | $f 0 x$ | fox |
| rōd | rod |  | rod | rod |
| soden | soden |  | sodden | sodn |
| gescōd | shod | 1596 | shod | shod |
| fódor | foder |  | fodder | fodor |
| god | god |  | god | god |
| cuid | cod |  | cod | cod |
| troden | troden | 1600 | trodden | trodn |
| bodian | bòod |  | bode | bóud |
| bodig | bodi |  | body | bodi |
| rotian | rot |  | rot | rot |
| hlot | lot | 1604 | lot | lot |
| protu | pròòt |  | throat | jróut |
| (ge)scot | shot |  | shot | shot |
| scotland | scotland |  | Scotland | scotlond |
| flotian | flò̀t | 1608 | float | flout |
| mot | mòòt |  | mote | móut |
| cot | cot |  | cot | cot |
| cnotta | cnot |  | knot | not |
| botm | botom | 1612 | bottom | botom |
| loppestre | lobster |  | lobster | lobstar |
| open | òòpen |  | open | óupon |
| hoppian | hop |  | hop | hop |
| hopa | hòop | 1616 | hope | hóup |
| sop | sop |  | sop | sop |
| atoppian | stop |  | 8top | stop |
| (āttor)coppa | cob(web) |  | $\operatorname{cob}(w e b)$ | cob(web) |
| cropp | crop | 1620 | crop |  |
| dropa | drop |  | drop | drop |
| topp | top |  | top | top |


$\overline{\mathbf{\omega}}$.

|  | old. | middle. | modern. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| scō | (shóó) | shoe | shuu |
| dō | (dóó) | 1624 | do |
| tō | tóo |  | too, to |


| hōr swōr flōr mōr | (w)hùòr swò̀r flór móór | 1628 | whore swore floor moor | hòor swò̀r flò̀r muәr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| stōl | stóól |  | stool | stuul |
| cōl | cóól | 1632 | cool | cuul |
| tōl | tóol |  | tool | tuul |
| -¢¢er | (óóðer) |  | other | əðər |
| sō¢ | sóóp |  | sooth | suup |
| *smōðe | smóó | 1636 | smooth | smuar |
| *(hē) dōð | dóóp |  | doth | d ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| tō' | tóóp |  | tooth | tuup |
| brōðor | (bróú ${ }^{\text {der }}$ ) |  | brother | broðər |
| gōs | góós | 1640 | goose | guus |

gōsling (under o)
bōsm bosom (bóózəm) buzəm
blōsma (under 0)

| hrōst mōste | róóst <br> must |  | roost must | ruust most |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rōwan | róu | 1644 | row | róu |
| hlōwan | lóu |  | low | lóu |
| flowan | flóu |  | flow | flóu. |
| grōwan | gróu |  | grow | gróu |
| blöwan | blón | 1648 | blow | blóu |
| hōf (pret.) | (hóóv) |  | hove | hóuv |
| hōf (subs.) | hóóf |  | hoof | huuf |
| behöfian | (behóóv) |  | behove | behuuv (óu). |
| grōf (subs.) | gróóv | 1652 | groove | gruav |
| glof | (glóóv) |  | glove | glav |

$\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{hr}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{hl} ; \gamma, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{hw}, \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ng}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p}$.
© (continued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.
söfte (under o)

| sōna | sóón | soon | suun |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| spōn N. ? | spoón | spoon | spuun |
| nōn | nóón 1656 | noon | nuun |
| mōna | móón | moon | muan |
| mōna ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | (móóneb) | moneth, month | mən\}. |
| mōnandæg | (móóndai) | Monday | məndi |
| gedōn | (dóón) 1660 | done | dən |
| bōn N. | bóón | boon | buun |


| gōma | gum |  | gum | gəm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| glōm | glóom |  | gloom | gluum |
| dom | doóm | 1664 | doom | duum |
| brōm | bróom |  | broom | bruum |
| blōma | blóom |  | bloom | bluum |


| slōg | sleu |  | slew | sluu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wōgian | wóó | 1668 | woo | wuu |
| genōg | enuuh |  | enough | enaf |
| drōg | dreu |  | drew | druu |
| bōg | buuh |  | bough | bau |
| plog N . | pluuh | 1672 | plough | plau |
| hōc | hóóc |  | hook | huc |
| hrōc | róóc |  | rook | ruc |
| lōcian | lóóc |  | look | luc |
| scōc | shóóc | 1676 | shook | shuc |
| Wōc | (awóóc) |  | awooke | әwóuc |
| cōc | cóóc |  | cook | cue |
| crōe N . | cróóc |  | crook | cruc |
| tōe | tóóc | 1680 | took | tuc |
| bōe | bóóc |  | book | buc |
| brōc | bróóc |  | brook | bruc |
| hōd | hóód |  | hood | hud |
| rōd | róod | 1684 | rood | rund |
| gessōd (under o) | rod |  | rod | rod |
| stōd | stóód |  | stood | stud |
| fōda | foód |  | food | fuud |
| födor (under 0) |  |  |  |  |
| flod mōd | flód móód | 1688 | flood mood | flod <br> muud |

$$
\mathrm{a}(æ \text { ca ei), i, é(co), è, ē, } \overline{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{ca}, \text { eō, u, o. }
$$

© (contimued).
OLD. MIDDLE. MODERN.

| mōdor | (móóðer) |  | mother | məðər |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gōd | góod |  | good | gud |
| blōd | blóod | 1692 | blood | bləd |
| brōd | bróód |  | brood | bruud |
| wōdnesdæg | wednesdai |  | Wednesday | we(d)nzdi |
| rôt N . | róít |  | root | ruut |
| fōt | fóót | 1696 | foot | fut |
| bōt | bóót |  | boot | buat |
| hwōpan | whooóp |  | whoop | huup |

Addenda.

| mearg | maru |  | marrow | mærou |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hæsel | haazel | 1700 | chalk | chooc |
| sceanc | shanc |  | shank | shrene |
| wæg(e)n | wagon |  | waggon | wægən |
|  | wain | 1704 | wain | wéin |
| dragen | draun |  | drawn | dròon |
| ? gagn | gain |  | gain | géin |
| sæcc | sac |  | sack | sæc |
| sleac | slac | 1708 | slack | slæc |
| wæcce | wach |  | watch | woch |
| gemaca | maat |  | mate | méit |
| eaxl | axl |  | axle | æxl |
| lator | later | 1712 | latter | lætor |
| gabb N. | gab |  | gab | gæb |
| tapor | taaper |  | taper | téipor |
| $\overline{\text { àr (metal) }}$ | ò̀r |  | ore | òòr |
| hālig dæg | ? hòolidaj | 1716 | holiday | holidi |
| rāw | rò̀u |  | row | róu |
| * cnāwlācan | cnòòulej |  | Finowledge(sbst.) | nolej |
| on ān | anon |  | anon | әnon |

$h ; r, h r, l, h l ; \gamma, s, w, h w, f ; n g, n, m ; e, d, t, b, p$.

Addesda (continued).

| LD | middes. |  | modern. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wrist | wrist | 1720 | wrist | rist |
| hiw | heu |  | hue (hew) | hyua |
| skipta N . | shift |  | shift | shift |
| wringan | wring |  | wring | ring |
| slipor | sliperi | 1724 | slippery | sliperi |
| hwīnan | whiin |  | whine | whain |
| cyrnel | cernel |  | kernel | cวənวl |
| sypan | sip |  | sip | sip |
| féðer | feèrer | 1728 | feather | feðor |
| becwéðan | becwè̀ ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | bequeathe | beewiir |
| wést | west |  | west | west |
| weocce | wic |  | wick | wic |
| ræēdels | ridl | 1732 | riddle | ridl |
| gemēted | met |  | met | met |
| stèrne | stern |  | stern | stəən |
|  | rest |  | rest | rest |
| wrèncan | wrench | 1736 | wrench | rench |
| wrēnna | wren |  | wren |  |
| twèntig | twenti |  | twenti | twenti |
| hēh $\mathrm{O}_{0}$ | heiht |  | height | hait |
| stēran | stéer | 1740 | ster | stior |
| cwēn | cwèèn |  | quean ' | cwiin |
| ? leās | lóós |  | loose | luus |
| preātian | prèèt |  | threat | pret |
| preōst | (preést) | 1744 | priest | priist |
| seōc |  |  | sick |  |
| pohte | pount |  | thought | jòòt |
| colt | colt |  | colt | cóult |
| föstor | foster | 1748 | foster | fostor |
| hrōf | róóf |  | roof | ruuf |
|  | ૪us |  | thus | ¢әs |
| húsping N. | hustingz |  | hustings | hastingz |
| suncen | sune | 1752 | sunk | səne |
|  |  |  | skium | seəm |

$$
\mathrm{a}(æ \text { ea ei), } \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{é}(e \mathrm{e}), \text { è, é, } \bar{æ}, \text { eā, eō, u, o. }
$$

[^12]
## ALPHABETICAL INDEX TO THE LISTS.

A (artic.) 415
(a)bode 446
(a) bove $1_{3} 8_{3}$
ache 1064
acorn 270
acre 269
adder 313
addice 295
adze 295
after 152
(a)gain 265
ail 1063
alder 89
alderman 91
ale 53
(a) light 459
all 54
alms 79
am 223
(a)mong 169
an (artic.) 415
and 207
angle (vb.) 155
ankle 173
anon (1719)
answer 205
ant 224
anvil 206
any 181
ape 335
apple 338
arch- 36
are 8
(a)rise 676
ark 35
arm 3I
(a)rose 394
arrow 23
arse 22
art (vb.) 47
as 108
ash (tree) 118
ashes 120
ask 119
aspen 134
ass 109
at 316
ate 317
aught 369
awe 1054
awl 135
(a)woke 1677
axe 292
axle (171 I)
aye 344

Back 28
bait 354
bake 288
bale 71
balk 87
ban 203
band 218
bane 202
bang 172
bare (adj.) 19
bare (pret.) 20
bark (subs.) 41
bark (vb.) 865
barley 967
barm 858
barn 972
barrow 861
bask 124
bath 104
bathe 105
be 1285
beacon 1261
bead 949
beam 1259
bean 1252
bear 838
beard 46
beat (inf.) 1272
beat (pret.) I 344
beckon 943
bed 1075
bee 1286
beech 1132
been 1331
beer 1294
beetle 1150
(be)fore 1488
beg 928
(be) gan 198
(be) gin 572
(be) have 138
(be)hest IoOI
(be)hove 1651
belch 88
(be)lieve 1107
bell 882
bellow (vb.) 89 r
bellows 993
belly 994
belt 998
bench 1026
bend 1043
(be)neath 906
bent 1050
(be)queathe (1729)
(be)reave 124
(be)reft ioil
berry 968
besom 911
best 1004
better 1084
(be)tween 1330
(be)twixt 630
(be) yond 925
bid 1341
bidden 937
bide 722
bier 1162
bight 733
bill 484
billow 758
bin 576
bind 588
birch 864
bird 474
birth 748
bishop 5 II
bit 650
bitch 626
bite 727
bitter 651
black 291
bladder 315
blade 314
(chill)blain 937
blast 133
bleach 1217
bleak 1216
bleat 1188
bled 951
bleed 1144
blend 1044
bless 909
blew 1322
blind 589
bliss 508 * bride 825
blithe $674 \quad$ bridge 795
blood 1692
bloom 1666
blossom 1548
blow (wind') 407
blow (wind ') 407 broad 447
blow (fower') 648 broke 290
boar 383 broken I591
board 1515 brood 1693
boat 453
bode 1601
body 1602
bold 97
bolster 1531
bolt 1539
bond 219
bone 424
book 1681
boon 1661
boor 1453
boot 1697
booth 1461
bore (pret.) 21
bore 1489
born(e) 1505
borough 1363
borrow 1510
bosom 1641
both 392
bottom 1612
bough 1671
bought 1485
bound (pret.) 217
bound (partic.) 1413
bow (vb.) 147 I
bow (subs.) 1577
bower 1452
bowl 1530
braid 938
brain 266, 936
brake 289
bramble 926
brand 220
brass 117
bread 1268
breadth 1220
break 941
breast 1305
breath II66
breathe 1167
bred (partic.) 950
breech 1133
breed 1143
brethren 907
brew 1321
bridle 723
bright 466
bring 555
brook (vb.) 1472
brook (subs.) 1682
broom 1665
broth 1542
brother 1639
brought 1486

[^13]brow 1448
brown 1468
buck 1432
build 761
bullock 1368
bundle 784
burden $73^{8}$
bumn 857
burst (infin.) 848
burst (partic.) 1496
bury 744
-bury 736
busk 1374
busy 765
but 1437
butter 1438
buy 794
by 661
Cake $28_{4}$
calf 78
call 68
callow 67
came 235
can 200
candle 216
care 16
cart 49
carve 849
cast I3I
castle 132
cat 333
chafer 148
chaff 147
chalk (1700)
chapman 1276
cheap 1275
cheek II3I
cheese II68
chest 916
chew I3I 5
chicken 799
chide 720
child 493
children 494
chill IIO4
(chill)blain 937
chin 573
choose 1304
chose 1235
chosen 1546
chough 1227
Christ 5 I8
christen 519
church 735
churl 846
cinder 581
clad 3II
clammy 429
claw 136
clay 1212
clean 1209
cleanse IO36
cleave 1327
clew 527
cliff 537
climb 602
cling 554
clip (cutt) 660
clip (embrace) Si2
cloth 390
clothe 391
cloud 1476
clout 1479
cloven 1557
clover 150
clung 1387
cluster 769
coal 1526
cob(weh) :619
cock I 587
(cock) chafer 148
cockle 1588
cod 1599
cold 95
colt (1747)
comb 240
come 1424
comely 788
cook 1678
cool 1632
corn 1503
cot 1610
cough I48i
could 1460
cow 1447
crab 334
cradle 310
craft I 54
cram 234
crane 201
crave 149
creed II 42
creep 1349
crept 1277
cress 908
crew 1316
crib 654
cringe 553
cripple II 155
crock(ery) 1589
crook 1679
crop 1620
cross 1547
crow 405
crowd 1475
crumb 1425
crutch 801
cunning 1399
cup 1443
curl 1355
curse I 359
Dale 69
dam 236
damp 241
dare 17
dark 863
darling 1292
daughter 1484
dawn 253
day 252
dead 1267
deaf 1251
deal II98
dear 1291
dearth 844
death 1234
deed ir83
deem III9
deep 1350
deer I 290
(de)file 8 I 9
delve 886
den 1034
depth 958
devil 1328
dew 1247
did 804
die 355
$\operatorname{dim} 601$
din 779
dint 786
dip 813,1156
dish 510
ditch 713
dive 1 IO9
do 1624
doe 365
dole 374
done 1660
doom 1664
door 1351
doth 1637
dough 433
dove 1382
down 1466
drag 254
drank 180
draw 255
drawn (1705)
dread 1184
dream 1257
dreary 1293
drench 1025
drew 1670
drink 561
drive 688
driven 538
drop 1621
drought
drove 414
drunk I390
dry 793
dull 1528
dumb 1426
dun 1400
durst 1495
dust 1378
dwarf 859
dwell 986
dyke 712

Each 1213
ear (vb.) 961
ear (subs.) 1229
earl 845
earn 27
earnest 853
earth 840
east 1236
Easter 1237
eat 952
eaves 1007
ebb 1085
edge 1055
eel 1163
eft(soons) 1010
egg 1056
eight 3
either 261
eke 1125
eldest 995
eleven 977, 1380
elf 75
ell 991
elm 888
else 988
embers 1051
emmet 224
empty 1053
end 1037
England 1015
English ror6
enough 1669
ere II94
erst 969
even (adj.) 917
even(ing) i 169
ever 1006
evil 771
ewe 1308
eye 1121
Fain 263
fair 256
fall 64
fallow 63
fang 167

| far 834 | floor 1629 | gild 760 | hallow 82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fare 14 | flow 1646 | girdle 975 | halm 80 |
| farthing S43 | flown 1578 | girt 976 | halt 98 |
| fast 128 | fly 1336 | give 536 | hammer 225 |
| fat 328 | foal 1525 | glad 309 | hand 208 |
| father 305 | foam 428 | glass 116 | handy 1038 |
| fathom 107 | fodder 1597 | gleam 1256 | hang 156 |
| fear I161 | foe 432 | glee 1284 | happy 336 |
| feather (1728) | fold 94 | gleed 1141 | hard 43 |
| fed 945 | folk 1534 | glide 719 | hare 9 |
| fee 1281 | follow 1532 | gloom 1663 | hark 862 |
| feed II38 | food 1687 | glove 1653 | harm 32 |
| feel 1103 | foot 1696 | gnat 332 | harp 51 |
| feet 1147 | for 1487 | gnaw 251 | harrow 971 |
| fell (vb.) 983 | ford 1514 | go 364 | hart 869 |
| fell ( $=$ skinn) $8_{77}$ | (for)lorn 1498 | goad 444 | harvest 26 |
| fellow 878 | former 1507 | goat 452 | has IIO |
| felt (partic.) 900 | forth 1491 | god 1598 | hat 319 |
| fen IO 3 I | forty 1313 | gold 1538 | hate 318 |
| fern 29 | foster (1748) | gone 422 | hath IOI |
| fetch 1069 | foul 1456 | good 1691 | have 137 |
| fetter 954 | found 1410 | goose 1640 | haven 139 |
| fever 92 I | fought 6 | gore 38 I | haw 242 |
| few 1246 | four 1312 | gosling 1549 | hawk 140 |
| fickle 621 | fourth 1295 | (gos)sip 653 | hay 1122 |
| fiddle 498 | fowl 1429 | got 33I | hazel (1701) |
| field 898 | fox 1593 | grass I15 | he 1089 |
| fiend 1332 | free 1282 | grave 146 | head 1262 |
| fifty 542 | freeze 1302 | gray 1274 | heal II96 |
| fight 829 | French 1023 | great 1271 | health 1199 |
| file 669 | fresh 913 | greedy 1182 | heap 1273 |
| fill 757 | Friday 607 | green 1113 | hear 1097 |
| film 485 | friend 1333 | greet II49 | heard 1100 |
| filth 759 | fro 362 | grew 1314 | hearken 867 , 1099 |
| fin 57 I | frog 1575 | grey 1174 | heart 870 |
| find 586 | from 231 | grim 600 | hearth $8_{41}$ |
| finger 552 | frost 1550 | grind 587 | heat 1221 |
| fire 8i8 | froth I540 | grip 659 | heathen 1200 |
| first 742 | frozen 1544 | gripe 731 | heave 1008 |
| fish 509 | full 1367 | groan 423 | heaven 918 |
| fist 768 | furrow 1354 | groom 1423 | heavy 1009 |
| five 686 | further 1357 | groove 1652 | hedge 1057 |
| flask 123 | furze 740 | grope 456 | heed II34 |
| flat 329 |  | ground (subs.) I4II | heel 1 ior |
| flax 294 | Gab (1713) | ground (parti.) 1412 | height (1739) |
| flay 248 | gain (1706) | grow 1647 | held 896 |
| flea 1225 | gall 66 | guest 130, 1003 | hell 978 |
| fledged 791 | gallows 83 | guild 491 | helm 889 |
| flee 1283 | game 233 | guilt 762 | help 902 |
| fleece 1303 | gang 170 | gum 1662 | hemp 182 |
| fleet 1343 | gannet 199 | gust 1377 | hen 1027 |
| flesh 1000 | gape 34 I | gut 1436 | her 468 |
| flew 1260 | gate 330 |  | (shep)herd 957 |
| flight 732 | gather 307 | Had 296 | here 1096 |
| flint 592 | gave 145 | hail (subs.) 257 | hew 1238 |
| fit 809 | gear 25 | hail (interj.) 348 | hid $\mathrm{So}_{3}$ |
| flitch 622 | geld 997 | hair 1157 | hide (subs.) 823 |
| float 1608 | get 648 | hale 372 | hide (vb.) 824 |
| flock 1585 | ghost 398 | half 76 | hie 605 |
| flood 1688 | gift 543 | hall 55 | high 1094. |

hill 753
hilt 495
him 594
hind 577
hindermost 578
hip (rose) 1345
hip (coxa) 144I
hire 817
his 502
hit 641
hithe 820
hither 631
hoar 376
hoard 1512
hoarse 393
hold 92
hole 1518
holiday (1716)
hollow 1519
holly 1520
home 425
honey 1391
-hood 440
hood 1683
hoof 1650
hook 1673
hop 1615
hope 1616
horn 1497
horse 1494
hose 1543
hot 449
hound 1403 .
house 1462
hove 1649
how 1444
hue (1721)
hundred 1404
hung 923
hunger $\mathrm{I}_{3} 84$
hunt 1415
husband $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{1 7} 72}$
hustings (1751)

## I 6 II

ice 675
(ice) berg 860
icicle 624
idle 714
if 535
ill 475,752
in 563
inch 774
inn 563
Ireland 662
iron 663
is 501
island 604
it 640
ivy 529

Keel 1298
keen 1114
keep 1154
ken 1033
kernel (1726)
kettle 1083
key 1175
kill 985
kin $77^{8}$
kind 782
king 773
kiss 764
kitchen 800
kith 763
knave 342
knead 947
knee 1318
kneel 1105
knew 1317
knife 687
knight 465
knit 8 Io
knock 1430, 1590
knoll 1527
knot I6II
know 406
knowledge (1718)
known 412
knuckle 1433
kye $8 \mathbf{r} 6$
Ladder 299
lade 297
lady 300
lain 933
lair 930
lamb 238
lame 227
land 209
lane 185
lank 175
lark 37
last (adj.) 125
last (vb.) 127
late 320
latter (1712)
laugh 1
laughter 4
law 244
lay (pret.) 243
lay (inf.) $\mathbf{r o 5} 8$
lead (vb.) 1219
lead (sulbs.) 1264
leaf 1249
leak 1066
lean 1208
leap $\mathbf{1 2 7 4}$
learn 854
least 126
leather 904
leave 1207
led IO72
lee I3II
leech 1177
leek 1129
leer 1289
left iol2
leg. 1059
lend 1028
length 1018
Lent 1046
lept 1346
less III
lest 112
let (pret.) 953
let 1076
lewd 1206
lice (plur.) 821
lick 613
lid 633
lie (jacere) 606
lie (sutbs.) 790
lie (mentiri) I335
lief 1325
life 68 I
lift 772
light 828
like 708
limb 596
lime 700
linden 580
linen 565
-ling 545
link 1020
lip 65
lisp 523
list 513
list (less) 767
lithe 671
little 805
live 530
liver $53{ }^{1}$
lo! 357
load 298
load(stone) $44^{2}$
loaf 413
loam 426
loan 417
loathe 388
lobster 1613
lock 1579
loft 1559
long 158
look 1675
lore 378
lord 384
lose 1301
loose (1742)
lot 1604
loud 1473
louse 1463
lout 1478
love 1379
low (adj.) 431
low (vb.) 1645
luck 796
lust 1376
-ly 612

Made 306
maid 268
main 264
make 283
mallow 74
malt 100
man 195
mane 196
many 197
mar 966
mare 965
mark 40
marrow (1699)
marsh 970
mast 129
mate ( 17 IO )
maw 250
may 249
me 1092
mead 946
meal (comn) 879
meal (food) 1165
mean (vb.) I2 10
mean (adj.) 1211
meat 1082
meed 1140
meek I334
meet 1148
melt 901
men ( $p \mathrm{ll}$ ) 1032
mere 964
merry 835
met (1733)
mice (pl.) 822
midge 792
midst 639
mie 706
might 464
mild 490
mile 670
milk 487, 894
mill 756
mind 78 I
mine 695
minster 780
mint (plant) 593
mint (moneta) 785
mirky 746
mirth 471,839

| mis- 505 | none 418 | put 1439 | om 1469 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| miss 506 | noon 1656 |  | roost 1642 |
| mist 515 | north 1492 | Quail 881 | root 1695 |
| mistletoe 517 | nose 1545 | quake 285 | rope 454 |
| moan 421 | not 370 | quean (1741) | $\operatorname{rot} 1603$ |
| mole 373 | nothing 389 | queen 1115 | rough 1288, 1470 |
| Monday 1659 | now 1446 | $\text { quell } 984$ | $\text { row }(v b .) 1644$ |
| monger 168 | nun 1398 | quench 1024 | row (subs.) (1717) |
| monk 1389 month 1658 | nut 1435 | $\text { quick } 625$ | rue 1309 run 564,852 |
| mood 1689 | Oak 435 |  | rust 1375 |
| moon 1657 | Oak 435 oar 375 | Rain 932 | ruth 1323 |
| moor 1630 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oar } 375 \\ & \text { oats } 448 \end{aligned}$ | raise 349 |  |
| more 3 So | oats 448 oath 385 | rake 271 | Sack (1707) |
| morning 1502 | oath 385 of 1551 | ram 226 | sad 301 |
| morrow 1509 | of 1551 <br> off 1552 | ran 183 | saddle 302 |
| most 397 |  | rang 157 | said 267 |
| mote 1609 | offer 1554 | rank 174 | sail 931 |
| moth I 541 | oft $155^{\circ}$ | ransack 184, 273 | sake 274 |
| mother 1690 | on 1570 | rash 121 | sallow 56 |
| mould 1536 | on 1570 | rather 102 | salt 99 |
| mount I417 | only 416 | raven 151 | salve 77 |
| mourn 1361 | only 416 | raw 1239 | same 228 |
| mouse 1465 | open 1614 | reach 1214 | sand 210 |
| mouth 1458 | or 409 $\text { ore }(1715)$ | read 1135, 1218 | sang 16 r |
| mow 404 | other 1634 | reap 729 | sank 177 |
| much 623, 798 | ought 368 | rear 1195 | sap 339 |
| murder 1493 | our 1449 | reck 1128 | sat 322 |
| must 1643 | our 1449 | reckon 1065 | Saturday 323 |
| my 695 | out 1477 | red 1263 | saw (pret.) 2 |
|  | oven 1553 | reed 1338 | saw (subs.) 245 |
|  | over 1555 | reek 1126 | say 1060 |
| Nail 259 | owe 430 | rein(deer) $35^{\circ}$ | scale 59 |
| naked 282 | owl 1455 | rend 1039 | Scotland 1607 |
| name 232 | ow 1534 | rent 1045 | $\text { sea } 1193$ |
| nap 340 | ox 1592 | rest (1735) | seal 883 |
| narrow 15 |  | rhyme 698 | seam 1253 |
| naught 369 | Pan 204 | rib 652 | sear 1230 |
| nave 144 | park 42 | rich 707 | seat 1222 |
| nay 346 | path 106 | rick 1127 | sedge 106r |
| near 1231 | pebble 343 | rid 1071 | see 1279 |
| neat 1270 | penny 1035 | ridden 632 | seed I 181 |
| neck 1070 | pepper 959 | riddle (1732) | seek I 130 |
| need 1139,1340 | pine 697 | ride 715 | seem III8 |
| needle 1185 | pit 8il | ridge 789 | seethe 1299 |
| neigh 1173 | pitch 627 | right 458 | seldom 897 |
| (neigh) bour 1454 | pith 500 | rim 595 | self 884 |
| ness 114 | plant 222 | rime 699 | sell 979 |
| nest 915 | play 929 | rind 579 | send 1040 |
| net 1080 | plight 467 | ring 544 | sent 1047 |
| nether 499 | plough 1672 | ripe 728 | set 1077 |
| nettle io8r | pluck 1433 | rise 676 | settle 955 |
| new 526 | pope 457 | road 44I | seven 919 |
| next 942 | port 1517 | roar 377 | sew 525, 1310 |
| nib 956, 1087 | pound 1414 | rod 1594 | shade 303 |
| nigh 1095 | prick 628 | rode 441 | shadow, 303 |
| night 463 | pride 826 | roe 356 | shaft 153 |
| nightingale 65 | priest (1744) | rood 1684 | shake 276 |
| nine 608 | proud 1480 | roof (1749) | shale 59 |
| no 363 | psalm 8ı | rook 1674 | shall 58 |

shame 230
shank (1702)
shape 337
share 10
sharp 52
shave 143
she 1280
sheaf 1250
shear 831
sheath 1201
shed 1265
sheen IIII
sheep II9I
sheer 664
sheet II 46
shelf 990
shell 981
shepherd 472,957
shield 488
shift (1722)
shilling 476
shin 566
shine 692
ship 657
-ship 658
shire 469
shirt 750
shoal I523
shod 1596
shoe 1623
shone 419
shook 1676
shoot $134^{2}$
shorn 1501
short 1516
shot (pret.) 1269
shot (subs.) 1606
should 1535
shoulder 1370
shove 138 8i
shovel 1556
show 1242
shower 1451
shrank 178
shred 1266
shrew 1243 shrift 541 shrine 693
shrink 558
shrive 683
shroud 1474
shan 1395
shut 807
shuttle So6
sick (1745)
side 716
sieve 532
sift 539
sigh 709
sight 460
silk 486, 892
sill 755
silly 980,1164
silver 885
sin 777
sing 547
singe 1017
sink 556
sip (1727)
sister 914
sit 642
six 629
s!:ill 477
skin 567
skirt 749
skum (1753)
sky 814
slack (1708)
slain 262
slaughter 5
slay 246
sleep 1 I89
sleeve 1108
slept 960
slew 1667
slide 717
slime 701
slink 557
slip 656
slippery (1724)
slit 643
sloe 358
slow 1241
slumber 1422
sly 1123
small 57
smear $\$_{30}$
smell 872
smelt $\$ 99$
smile 666
smirk 973
smite 724
smith 496
smitten 644
smock 1581
smoke 1582
smooth 1636
snail 258
snake 275
sneak 710
snow 403
so 359
soap 455
sock 1580
sodden 1595
soft 1560
sold 93
some 1419
son 1393
song 162
soon 1654
sooth 1635
sop 1617
sore 379
sorrow 1508
sought 1482
soul 408
sound (adj.) 1405
sour 1450
south 1457
sow (vb.) 402
sow (subs.) 1428
sown 410
spake 278
span 189
spare 12
spark 39
sparrow 24
spat 326
speak 939
spear 833
speech 1178
speed 1137
spell 874
spend 1041
spent 1048
spew 68o
spill 479
spin 568
spindle 582
spit SoS
spoke (pret.) 279
spoke (subs.) 438
spoken 1584
spoon 1655
sprang 164
spring 550
spun 1396
spurn 855
staff 141
stake 277
stalk 85
stall 60
stand 211
stank 179
star 832
stare II
stark 38
starve 851
staves 142
stead 1073
steak 352
steal 873
steam 1254
steed 1136
steel IIO2
steep II5I
steeple 1152
steer (1740)
stem 1014
stench 1021
step 1014
step 1088
stern (1734)
steward 679
stick 615
stiff 533
stile 704
still 478
sting 549
stink 559
stint 590
stir 734
stirrup 470, 705
stock 1583
stolen 1524
stone 420
stood 1686
stool 1631
stop 1618
stork 1511
storm 1506
strand 212
straw 1244
stream 1255
street 1186
strength 1019
stretch 1067
strew 1245
stricken 6I6
strife 672
strike 711
stroke 437
strong 163
stunt 1416
stye 703
such 617
suck 1471
summer 1420
sun 1394
sunder 1406
sung 1385
sunk (1752)
sup. 1442
swain 351
swallow (subs.) 72
swallow (vb.) Sgo
swam 229
swan 188
swarm 34
swarthy 48
swear 962
sweat 1223
sweep IIgo
sweet 1145
swell 87 I
swept I 347
swerve S50
swift 540
swim 597
swine 691
swing $54^{8}$
swollen 1522
sword 868, 1365
swore 1628
sworn 1500
swum 142 I
Tail 260
take 286
tale 70
tallow 84, 992
tame 237
taper (1714)
$\operatorname{tar} 837$
tart 50
taught 7
teach 1215
team 1258
tear (sutbs.) 1233
tear (vb.) 836
tease 1205
teem II 20
-teen I I I 7
teeth 1 IO6
tell 987
ten 924, III6
Thames 1052
than I86
thane 934
thank 176
that 321
thatch 272
thaw 400
the 827
thee 1090
theft 922
their 347
them IOI 3
then 187
there II $5^{8}$
these 504
thew 1240
they 345
thick 614
thief 1326
thigh 1287
thin 776
thine 690
thing 546
think 775
third 473
thirst 741
this 503
thistle 514
thither 634
thole(pin) 152 I
thong 160
thorn 1499
thorough I353
those 395
thou 1445
though 1228
thought (1746)
thousand 1464
thrall 1197
thread II79
threat (1743)
three 1278
thresh 912
thrill 754
thrive 682
throat 1605
throng I 59
through $135^{2}$
throw 401
thrown 4II
thumb 1418
thunder $\mathbf{1 3 9 2}$
Thursday 1358
thus (1750)
tide 721
tie II 24
tile 609
till 483
timber 603
time 702
$\operatorname{tin} 574$
tinder 783
to 1625
toad 445
toe 366
(to)gether 308
token 439
told 96
toll 1529
tongs I7I
tongue 1388
too 1625
took 1680
tool 1633
tooth 1638
top 1622
tore 18
torn 1504
tough 1626
town 1467
tread 948
tree 1319
trim 787
trod 312
trodden 1600
trough 1576
true 1320
trust 770
truth 1324
Tuesday 528
tun 1401
turf 1360
tusk 1373
twain 935
twelve 857
twenty (173S)
twig 610
twine 696
twinkle 562
twins 575
twit 649
two 367

## Udder 1473

ugly 1427
(un) couth 1459
under 1402
up 1440
us 1371
utter(ly) 1478
Vane 194
vat 327
vixen SO 2
Wade 304
wag 247
waggon (1703)
wain (1704)
wake 280
walk 56
wall 6r
wallow 73
wan 191
wand 213
wander 2 I 5
wane 192
want 22 I
ward 44
ware I3
warm 33
warn 28
was II3
wash 122
wasp 1005
watch (1709)
water 324
wave II70
wax 293
way 927
we 109I
weak 353
weal 876
wean 1029
weapon II92
wear 963
weary logS
weasel 9 Io
weather 944
weave 920
web 1086
wed 1074
wedge 1062
(wed)lock 436
Wednesday 1694
weed 1339
weeds I I8o
week 6I8
ween III 2
weep II 53
weevil 534
weigh Ir7I
weight II76
welkin 1533
well (adv.) 875
well (subs.) 982
Welsh 989
wen 1030
wench 1022
wend 1042
went IO49
wept I348
were II 59
west (1730)
wet 1078
wether 905
whale 62
what 325
wheat 1224
wheel I296
whelk 893
whelp 999
when 193
where 1160
whet IO79
whether IO3
whey 1172
which 620
while 668
whine (1725)
whisper 524
whistle 522
whit 462
white 726
whither 636
who 361
whole 371
whom 427
whoop 1698
whore 1627
whose 396
why 815
wick (173I)
wide 718
widow 635
width 638
wield 996
wierd 747
wife 685
wight 461
wild 489
wile 667
will 480
willow 481
win 569
wind (subs.) 583 wind (vb.) 584
window 585
wine 694
wing 55 I
wink 560
winnow 570
winter 59I
wire 665
wisdom 512
wise 677
wish 766
wit 645
witch 619
with 497
woad 443
woe 360
wolf I369
woman 598
womb 239
women 599 won (pret.) 190 won (partic.) 1397 wonder 1409 woo 1668 wood 1434
wool 1366
word I5I3
work 745, 862, I364
world 1490
worm 743, 1362
worse 739
wort 75 I
worth 842, 1356
wot 450
would 1537
wound (pret.) 214 wrought 1483
wound (partic.) I408 wrung 1386
wound (subs.) 1407
wrang 165
wrath 386
wreak 940 wreath 1202
wreck 281
wren (1737)
wrench ( 1736 )
wrest 1002
wretch 1068
wright 737
wring ( 1723 )
wrist (1720)
write 725
writhe 673
written 646
wrong 166
wrote 451
wroth 387

- (count)

Yard (court) 45
yard (measure) 974
yarn 30
ye 1093
yea 1226
year 1232
yearn 856
yeast 516
yell 482
yellow S80
yelp 903
yes 507
yester(day) 521
yet 647
yew 1307
yield 492
yoke 1586
yolk 895
yore 382
you 1306
young 1329
youth I 300, 1337

## SUPPLEMENTARY LISTS OF IRREGULARITIES.

## Middle Period.

In the following words $a$ and ea have become $e$ instead of the regular a: gè̀̀r (gear), èèrn (earn), fern, bè̀rrl (beard) ; elf,
 §en, when; emet, hemp; urec, pebl.

It is clear from these exceptional forms that the Old English $c e$ was quite lost after the Transition period; as we se̊e, it was either changed into $a$, or else mispronounced as $\grave{e}$, just as it would be in the mouth of a foreigner.

The lengthening before $r$ in gè̀er, èèrn and bèèrd has many parallels, and in the case of bèerd is confirmed by the Modern biiod. The present form oən, however, points rather to ern, with a short vowel. The lengthening in lè̀st, although anomalous, is supported by yè̀st from yest=gist, by the retention of $\grave{o} \dot{o}=\bar{a}$ in mòost, etc., and perhaps by criist (see note on 518 , below).
$a$ for $\grave{o}$ in non-preterites (p. 54): angl, hang, fang, gang, bang. ò for ": on, bond, from, womb, comb.
ei preserved: ei (eye), '̛ei (they), whei, grei, cei (key); veih (weigh), neih, neih(buur), eiht (eight), heiht; Seir; eiłer; rein(déér).

The Modern forms point mostly to ai. ai (eye) however comes not from $a i=e i$, but from ii. cii (key) is altogether anomalous; so also are the two pronunciations $i i \delta e r$ and aiðər (either), while the obsolete éi才ər is regular.
$i(y)$ has become $e, 1$ ) regularly after $y$-consonant: yel; yes, yè̀st, yesterdai; yet. 2) in other words : her, herd (shep(herd) ; nèer; $\begin{aligned} & \text { è̀̀̀ (these) ; è̀vill; flejd (fledged). }\end{aligned}$

In snèèc and rèèp (sneak, reap) a highly anomalous change of $i i$ into $\grave{e}$ seems to have taken place.
é, eo become $i$ : liht, fiht; mir\} (but meri), birch; chil, silver, silc, milc, fild; sister; ric, wic ; cripl, hip (=berry), dip (?).
è becomes $i$ : smirc, gird(l); sili, cil, wiild; linc; rid; nib.
$\dot{e}$ becomes $a, 1$ ) before $r$ : star, far, tar, darling (from deōrling), farðing, carv, starv, barm, dwarf, baru, darc, harc, hart. 2) in: swalu, brambl.
è becomes $a, 1$ ) before $r$ : mar, maar, barlei, marsh, haril, barn, yard. 2) in: talu (?) ; wasp; handi (?), aach.
é, co become $u$ : churl, burst, run, spurn, burn; hung.
$\bar{e}, e \bar{o}$ become $\ddot{i}$ : $\ddot{i}$ (from cāge), liii (from leōgan), slii,, flï, tii; hiih, biih, niih; diio (?).
é becomes èè before $r$ : hèèr, wèèri, hìèrcn, hèèr $d$.
In the case of the first two words there is sixteenth century authority for the éé-sound also.
$\bar{e}=e ́ e ́$ becomes $\grave{e} e, 1$ ) before $r$ in all words except the doubtful béér. 2) in: mèèl; brè̀̀欠; èèven (evening); brèèd, drè̀ed; blèèt; wèèpon.

Three of these, however, are made doubtful by the Modern bred, dred, wepon, which point rather to a shortening of the long vowel at an early period.
cō becomes èè : dè̀̀r, drè̀eri; brè̀est, clèèv (cleave).
There is Early Modern authority for déér as well as dèèr. brèest, again, is uncertain on account of the Modern brest.
eō becomes óó: lóóz, chóóz; shóót.
Compare chòòz from ceās (p. 35), and ðòòuh trom beãls (note to 1228, below).
eō becomes u(u): yuu; ruuh; yuu\}; yung. ${ }^{1}$
o bccomes $u$ : mur'der, clurst, burst (partic.) ; dul; amung, munger.
ò becomes u(u): yuu (you); tuuh (tough); yuub; yung.
The following remarks on the diphthongs are intended to supplement those on $\mathrm{pp} .52,53$, above.

Diphthongs are formed not only by $g(g h)$, but also by medial and final $h(=k h)$, but only with back vowels, the new element being always $u$ (never $i$ ), which I have already explained (note p. 80) as a mere secondary formation, due to the labialization of the following $h=k h$ : the $h$ is consequently not absorbed, as is the case with $g$.

The following are examples of genuine $h$-diphthongs, in which $h$ is original, not a later modification of $g$ (p. 79):

1) from ah: lauh, lauhter, slauhter, fautht, tauht. And perhaps sau from seah, although the omission of the $h$ makes it more probable that it arises from some confusion with the plural sauron.
2) from $\bar{a} h$ : $\grave{o} u h t$ (ought).
not points to nòouht=näht ; nauht, however, to a shortened naht.
3) from oh: souht, bouht, bouht.

For dauhter see note to 1484.
In the following words $g$ has been anomalously preserved, instead of being diphthongized : wag, wagon (but also wain), drag (but also drau), twig.

A few general remarks on Middle (or rather Early Modern) English orthography remain to be made.

It is, as we have seen, mainly traditional, but with certain purely phonetic modifications. The first divergence of sound and symbol was the retention of $e e$ and 00 to denote the new sounds $i i$ and $u u$, while original $i i$ and $u u$ themselves changed in the direction of $a i$ and $a u$. The introduction of $e a$ and $o a$ to denote the true $e e$ and $o 0$ sound was, on the other hand, a strictly phonetic innovation.
ee and oo were partly phonetic, partly historical signs-

[^14]they denoted the sounds $\ddot{i}$ and $u u$, and implied at the same time an earlicr éé and óó. But in a few cases it is interesting to observe that they were employed purely phonetically, against tradition. An example is afforded by the word written room, the Old English rüm. In the fourteenth century this word was spelt with the French ou=uu; but in the Early Modern period the regular rowm, corresponding with down, etc., was abandoned, probably because it would, like down, have suggested the regular diphthong óu or $u$, into which the other old uus changed, and the word was written phonetically room, without at all implying a Middle English roóm. Other examples are door and groom, in which oo may perhaps represent short $u$, which it almost certainly does in wool and uood. The use of single $o$ to denote short $u$ is a wellknown feature of Middle English. It occurs chiefly in combination with $v, u(=v), n$, and $m$, and has been explained (first, I believe, by Dr. J. A. H. Murray) as a purely graphic substitute for $u$ in combination with letters of similar formation, to avoid confusion. But such a spelling as wod would have suggested an $\grave{o}$-sound, as in god. To avoid all possibility of this pronunciation, the $o$ was therefore doubled. This spelling is only inaccurate as regards the quantity; it is, therefore, difficult to see why it was not adopted in the words written love, come, etc., which ought by their spelling to indicate the pronunciations lóór, cooóm, corresponding to Middle English lòòv, còom!

Similar fluctuation between the phonetic and historical principle is shown in many words written with the digraph $i e . i e$ is in itself nothing but a substitute for $i i$, which from purely graphic reasons was never doubled, as being liable to confusion with $u$. The sound of $i i$ was, of course, in most cases expressed by ce. There were, however, a few words which preserved their Middle English ii-sound throughout the Early Modern period (and up to the present day) as well. Such a word as fild, for instance, if written in the fourteenth century spelling fild, would have been read, on the analogy of wild, child, etc., as féild, or foild, while to have written feeld would have been a violation of the etymological prin-
ciple. Both history and sound were saved by the adoption of $i e$. The following list of $i e$-words will show that, although ie was sometimes used finally to denote the diphthongized sound, it invariably denoted the simple ii medially: hie, lie, die, tie; wierd; yield, shield, wield, field; priest; believe, sieve; lief, thief; fiend, friend.

In sieve we have an instance of ie used to denote a short vowel (compare wool, etc.); possibly the ie was employed simply to prevent the combination siue, which would have been graphically ambiguous.

## Modern Period.

The general rule which governs the retention and modification of $a$ before sibilants seems to be that it is retained before breath consonants, but changed to $c e$ before voice consonants. Thus we find $c a z, h(c z$, hev contrasting with $a(a) s$, gras, asc, last, staf, after. The change to $c e$ takes place, however, before sh, although voiceless : cesh, reesh. Also in cespen. ${ }^{1}$ In the same way $a$ followed by $n$ and a voice consonant becomes $c e$, as in cend, hcend, cenvil; but if the consonant which comes after the $n$ is voiceless, there is no change, as in ansor, plant, ant. These laws do not apply to $a$ when followed by the other nasals, in which cases it is always changed: scenc, drenc; demp.
$i i$ has been preserved in the following words: mii : shiizr, wïəd; shiilld, wiild, filld, yiild; wïrəl, wïic.

Of these words the first only has $\bar{i}$ in O.E.; all the others are Middle E. lengthenings of $i$, corresponding sometimes to original $i$, sometimes to $\grave{e}$ or $\dot{e}$. It is worthy of note that all of them are written with $i$, except shiiior, wiiurl, and wiik, which are written shire, weevil, week. The last two spellings with $e$, which go back as far as the fourteenth century, seem to indicate some confusion with ée, although we would rather expect the broad $\grave{e}$, as in snè̀ec for sniic. It is, however,

[^15]possible that these ees may be simply Early Modern phonetic spellings, like room=rum.
èè has become éi (instead of ii) : yéi (yea) ; bréic ; greit. ${ }^{1}$
$u$ has been preserved, 1) after $w$ : wuman, wul, wulf, wuund, wud (not in wondor). 2) in other cases: ful, bul(oc); grum.
uu has been preserved (sometimes with shortening) : buur (boor) ; дncuub; cud (could) ; ruum (room) ; bruc (brook).
óó has been preserved: hóuv; auóuc.
óó has become ə: əðer, məðer, dəb, brəðər; glav; mon\}, mandi, dan; flad, blad.

For $\partial \mathrm{rn}$ and shavl see notes to 1553 and 1556.
The series of changes is clearly óo, $u u, u, \partial$; the second and third belonging to the Early Modern, the last to the Transition period. The anomalous spelling other, etc., instead of oother, was probably meant to indicate the shortness of the $u=o ́ o ́$. To infer from it a Niddle E. ò or $\ell$ er would be as unreasonable as in the case of love, come, etc., where the $u$ was certainly never lengthened or lowered to òo.

Under the head of consonant influence the loss of the initial element of the diphthong iuu or yuu ought to have been noticed in its place. It takes place after $r$ and $l$, but not after stops, nasals, and sibilants : ruu, gruu, cruu; fluu, cluu; also in chuu (lyuud is an exception), yıu; hyıu; byıu; fyuu; пуиu; dушu; styuu; spyuu.

The development of the diphthong óu out of ol in the combination olc ought also to have been noticed; it occurs in two words: yóuc (yolk), fóuc (folk).

Also the change of $a$ into $\dot{o}$ before $l t$, in holt, solt, molt.

## NOTES TO THE WORD LISTS.

No. 3. eiht. A solitary exception to the general change of aht into auht. There is Early Mod. evidence for aiht as well as eiht.

[^16]6. fauht. Salesbury writes fauht, and the spelling fought seems merely due to confusion with the partic. fouhten from O.E. gefohter.
15. näru, etc. These words are not derived direct from the nom. nearu, but from the oblique cases, nearuce becoming nearu, whence naru, by weakening of the final $v$. caru, on the other hand, which has care in the oblique cases, naturally lengthens its rowel-caar.
25. gè̀er from gearua is only an apparent exception to the rule just stated, the long vowel being probably due to the $r$. The loss of the $w$ is, however, anomalous.
58. shicel, for shoòl. An isolated exception to the development of au before $l$.
68. ceallian. This word occurs in the poem of Byrhtnod; it may therefore possibly be English, although Norse influence in so late a work is quite possible.
71. baal. Exceptionally taken from the nom. bealu, not from the oblique bealu- (see note to 15 , above).
81. psalm. The $p$ is, of course, purely pedantic; the word may, however, be French.
84. talg. The vowel is doubtful, and I have given the word again under è (992).

89, 91. alder, alderman. The exceptional retention of the $a$ may be due to the liquid in the second syllable: compare the short $i$ in wunder, etc., as contrasted with wuund (p. 47).
132. castel. This word, although of French origin, was in familiar use in English many years before the Conquest.
140. hauc, from haroc through havec, hau(e)c. The converse change has taken place in wauv (1170); the series was probably w $\bar{e} g$, waav, waur.
150. clòver. The only parallel is lò̀d from hladan (298). 168, 169. monger, among. The $u$-sound, for which there is Early Niddle authority, as well as for 0 , is anomalous.
181. eni. The Early form (or one of them) was ani with short $a$ (as Gill expressly states); the present form eni may therefore be explained as an irregular variation of the normal ieni.
182. hemp seems to point to an O.E. hæenep (cp. 187).

187, 193. then, when. These clearly arise from the Late O.E. ऊienne and wheme with abnormal modification of a before nasals (p. 26).
229. sucem for suom. $m$ seems to bar the retention of $a$ for $c e$ in the same way in the word dcemp (p. 150).

246, 248. slai, flai, instead of slau, fluu. The subs. slège may have helped the former irregularity.
253. daun. dag(e)nian ought to give dain, but the analogy of the regular Middle E. dances from dagas helped.
270. acorn. The $o$ is probably inorganic, the result of association with corn.
298. lòod. cp. clòòver (150).
303. shaad for sceadw-. cp. baal, 71.
324. vater. The Modern wòter, with its long vowel, is anomalous.
331. got, inorganic, from the analogy of the partic. *begoten.
343. pebl, from prepol or prebol (?).
344. ai. The modern form is a solitary case of retention of the diphthong.
350. rein. The older spelling raindeer should have been given.
352. The Middle stèec and its change into the Modern stéic are both anomalous.
353. weak may possibly come from the O.E. $u \bar{a} c$, through थ $\bar{C} c$.
355. dii, from $\operatorname{dey}(j a)$; cp. $i i$ for $e i$ from eäge (1121).
357. lā. If the Modern lòò (written law) really corresponds to the O.E. lă, we have a second instance (besides bròod) of the retention of òo. treysta (770) should have been referred to here.
372. haal. A solitary and dubious instance of the retention of O.E. $\bar{a}$.
389. nothing. The Modern $a$ is probably due to the analogy of $u ə n$ (415) and $n \partial n$.
396. whòòz, read whóóz. The Modern uu is better evidence than the spelling whose.
400. bau, points seemingly to an O.E. băwan.
415. won. The most probable explanation is that $w^{2}$ is
simply the Early Modern óo with its labial and guttural elements pronounced successively instead of simultaneously (p. 14).
418. $n ə n$. Not a case of $\grave{o} o ̀$ becoming a through $u u$ and $u$, but simply due to the analogy of $u \partial n$.
429. clami. The O.E. $\bar{a}$ in this word must have been shortened at a very early period, else we should have had clomi. 440. -hóól. A solitary instance of òò becoming óó in Middle English (except after $u$ ).
447. bròod. Retention of Middle English oò from à.
491. gild. Exceptional retention of short i. cp. gild (from gyldan) and byld (760, 761).
518. criist. The $c h$ is, of course, no evidence; but the word may be French. Compare, however, lè̀st (126) and yè̀st (520), with the same lengthening before st.
528. teuzdai. The spelling ue indicates the later simplification $y y$.
534. woiuil. It is uncertain whether the spelling ee indicates a Middle English vééril or is purely phonetic.
604. island. The $s$ is purely etymological and erroneous.
707. rich. May be French.

760, 761. gild, byld. Exceptional retention of the short vowels. There is, however, Early Middle authority for byyld as well.
796. luck. The word lukka in Icelandic is said to be of late introduction, otherwise it would fit in very well. I have formed lyeci from the Danish lylke.
847. bresh may be a modification of bresh, as cni seems to be of ani (181).
860. iceberg. Probably foreign (Dutch?).
868. swurd; or from $u$ (1365).
870. lièert and hart are both independent modifications of hèrt.
881. cwail. Compare hair (1157) from hēr. The history of these two spellings requires investigation: it is possible that the $a i$ is merely a comparatively late representation of the sound $\grave{e}$, introduced after the simplification of the diphthong ai (p. 65).
934. jaan for bain. Here, again, the spelling may be late. The Modern pein would correspond to either paan or pain.
956. nebb. The vowel is more probably $\grave{e}$ (1087).
1005. wasp points rather to wesp than wèsp; both forms may, however, have existed.
1017. uceng (551) should come in here.
1036. clenz. The spelling ea certainly points to clèenz, but the Modern form is against it, and it is possible that the ea may be a purely etymological reminiscence.
1038. handi may be merely a late derivative of hand.
1052. temz. The spelling is evidently a pedantic adaptation of the Latin $T(k)$ amesis.
1054. au. This form (instead of $a i$ ) is very anomalous. The most probable explanation is that ège was made into age by the same confusion between the two vowels as in uèsp (1005), and that crge then became age, which was irregularly diphthongized into au(e).
1057. hej points rather to hècg than hège, which would give hai.

1058, 1060. lai, sai. These forms (instead of lej, sej) point rather to some such inflection as the imperative lège, sège.
1064. aach. Another case of confusion between $\grave{e}$ and $\mathscr{c}$ ècc, cece, ace, anch.
1105. cnēla. The Icelandic expression is knéfalla, but lincele is found in Danish.
1135. read. I have given the word again under $\grave{e} \grave{e}$ (1218), as it is quite uncertain whether it had $\bar{e}$ or $\bar{e}$ in O.E.: the assumed derivation from rōdjan favours the former, the MSS. usage the latter.
1157. hair. cp. cwail (881).
1171. weih, etc. Anomalous retention of $g h$ in the form of $h$.
1228. خòòuh. The stages were probably ðcaah, ðaah, ðòoh ðòòu.
1239. rau. Apparently from an intermediate lreăw; ср. paz (400).

1241, 1242. slòou, shòou. The same dropping of the first element of O.E. eaa, as in the previous word. All these forms are important, as showing that the second element of the diphthong had the accent and was long.
1244. strau. cp. 1239.
1276. chapman. Points to a shortened ea, which naturally passed into $a$.
1292. darling. From shortened eo-deōr-, deor-, der-, dar-ling.
1290. four $\}$. Probably formed directly from the Middle English four itself.
1306. yuu. Here the first element of the diphthong is consonantized, and the final $w$ thrown off, as in tréé, cnéé, etc.
1333. friend. The Modern frend points to a very early shortened form, which probably co-existed with the older fréénd.

1353, 1363. thorough, borough. The Modern a points to puruh and buruh, and it is possible that the $o$ is a mere graphic substitute for $u$.
1370. shóulder for shaulder. The most probable explanation is that shuulder became shóulder in the Early Modern period, and the óu became óóu before $l l$, and so was confounded with the óó $l$ in floou, etc.
1380. eleven. Agrees rather with the other form endleofon.
1460. cuuld. The $l$ is, of course, due to the analogy of wutd and shuuld.
1470. ruuh may possibly come from lreōh (1288).
1484. dauhter. The anomalous au may be due to Norse influence, as Danish has datter (Icelandic dóttir): I do not know, however, that the Danish form is of any antiquity.
1519. holu. The final $h$ of holh seems to have been first vocalized (and labialized), and then merged into $u$, which, as in naru, etc., was weakened into $u$.
1521. swóuln, etc. The development of ou in the combinations ol, old, is Early Modern, and should have been mentioned (p. 61). The phoneticians make the o long, writing tooul ( $=$ toll), etc. Its preservation in the present English is, therefore, quite regular, as in flóu from Middle E. flóóu, etc.
1530. bóul. Here, again, the sixteenth century authorities write booul. The spelling bowl is, of course, phonetic and unhistorical.
1533. welcin. cp. wednesdai (1694).
1540. frob, etc. The quantity of o before $b, s$, and $f$ is very uncertain in the present English, but the longs seem to be getting the upper hand.
1553. oven. The Modern orn points rather to óoren than the regular òrren.
1556. shovel. The Modern sharl, again, points to an earlier shucl, which may be a shortening of shuurel=shóorel, as was suggested in the case of oven. Or the form shuvel may be due to the analogy of the verb shuv=scüfan.

1667, 1670. sleu, dreu. The most probable explanation is that slóóg first became slóou, and then this was confused with the numerous preterites in coów (greōw, cneōw, etc.), and followed the same change into eu.
1694. wednesday. cp. welein (1533).

## ON THE PERIODS OF ENGLISH.

One of the most troublesome questions of English philology is that of the designation of its various stages. I have throughout this paper adopted the threefold division of Old, Middle, and Modern : it will, therefore, be necessary to say a few words in its justification.

The first question is, shall we retain the name "AngloSaxon" for the earliest period of our language, or discard it entirely? The great majority of English scholars are decidedly hostile to the word. They argue that it is a barbarous half-Latin compound, which, although justifiable as applied to a political confederation of Angles and Saxons, is entirely misleading when applied to the language spoken by these tribes, implying, as it does, that the English language before the Conquest was an actual mixture of the Anglian and Saxon dialects. The reverse was of course the case, and we consequently have to distinguish between the Anglian dialect
of Anglo-Saxon and the Saxon dialect of Anglo-Saxon. ${ }^{1}$ The most serious objection, however, to the word AngloSaxon is that it conceals the unbroken development of our language, and thrusts the oldest period of our language outside the pale of our sympathies. Hence, to a great extent, the slowness with which the study of our language makes its way among the great mass of educated people in Englandif people can be called educated who are ignorant of the history of their own language.

These arguments have lately been vigorously attacked by a leading English philologist—Professor March. In his able essay ${ }^{2}$ he brings out the distinctive features of the two extreme periods very forcibly, and has so far done good service. At the same time, he has greatly exaggerated the difference between the two periods. Thus, in phonology, he says that Anglo-Saxon had sounds now lost in English, such as French $u$, German $c h$, and initial $w l, w r$, and that $\bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{u}$ have become diphthongs. Now any one who has read this paper with any attention will see that this part of the argument is worth very little, for all these sounds were preserved unchanged in the sixteenth century, which belongs unmistakably to the Modern period.

The well-known statement that Johnson's Dictionary contains 29,000 Romance words out of 43,500 is a great exaggeration. A large proportion of these 29,000 are words which are never used in ordinary speech or writing, very many of them are quite unknown to the majority of educated people, and not a few of them never existed in the language at all. When we speak of the proportion of Romance elements in English, we mean the English of every-day life, not of dictionaries and technical works, ${ }^{3}$ and of the two ex-

[^17]tromes, the estimate of Turner is certainly fairer than that of Thommerel.

The real distinction between the two stages lies, of course, in the comparatively uninflectional character of the present language and its analytical reconstruction. But the old inflections are not all lost; we still have our genitive, our plurals in $s$ and $e n$, and in our verbs the Teutonic strong preterite is still common. And it must be borne in mind that even the Oldest English inflections are beginning to break up. There is no $s$ or $r$ in the nominative singular, consequently no distinction between nominative and accusative in many words, no distinction whatever of gender in the plural of adjectives, or of person in the plural of verbs. The imperfect case terminations are already eked out by prepositions-
 German.

And if we take the intermediate stages into consideration, we find it simply impossible to draw a definite line. Professor March acknowledges this, but takes refuge in a distinction between colloquial and literary speech, which last, he says, has much more definite periods. Professor March surely forgets that for scientific purposes artificial literary speech is worth nothing compared with that of every-day life, with its unconscious, unsophisticated development. It is, besides, very questionable whether there ever was an artificial literary prose language in England in early times.

While differing from Professor March on these points, I fully agree with him in protesting against the loose way in which "Old English" is made to designate any period from Alfred to Chaucer. It is quite clear that the inflectional stage of our language must have a distinctive name, and therefore that Old English must be reserved for it alone.

[^18]The difficulty is with the later stages. The period I call Middle English is now often called "Early English," while those who retain "Anglo-Saxon" call the intermediate periods "Semi-Saxon" or "OId English," while others make various arbitrary distinctions between "Early," " Old," and "Middle" English. It does not seem to be generally acknowledged that each of these terms really implies a definite correlative, that if we call one period "Early," we are bound to have a "Late" one, and that "Middle " implies a beginning and an end-to talk therefore of one period as "Early," as opposed to a "Middle" one, is entirely arbitrary.

Such divisions err also in being too minute. When we consider how one period merges into another, and how the language changed with much greater rapidity in the North than in the South, we see that it is necessary to start with a few broad divisions, not with impracticably minute ones.

I propose, therefore, to start with the three main divisions of Old, Middle, and Modern, based mainly on the inflectional characteristics of each stage. Old English is the period of full inflections (nama, gifan, caru), Middle English of levelled inflections (naame, giten, caare), and Modern English of lost inflections (naam, giv, caar). We have besides two periods of transition, one in which nama and name exist side by side, and another in which final $e$ is beginning to drop. The latter is of very little importance, the former, commonly called SemiSaxon (a legitimate abbreviation of Semi-Anglo-Saxon), is characterized by many far-reaching changes. I propose, therefore to call the first the Transition period par excellence, distinguishing the two, when necessary, as first and second Transition, the more important one being generally called simply Transition or Transition-English.

Whenever minute divisions are wanted, Early and Late can be used-Early Old, Late Middle, Early Modern, etc. Still minuter distinctions can be made by employing Earlier, Earliest, etc., till we fall back on the century or decade.

These divisions could also be applied to the different dialectnames. Thus Old Anglian would be equivalent to " Anglian
dialect of Old English," Modern Saxon would designate the Dorsetshire dialect, etc.

As regards the Northern dialects of the Middle period, they ought strictly to be classed as Modern, as they soon lost the final $e$ entirely. But as they have all the other characteristics of the Middle period, it seems most convenient to take the dominant speech of Chaucer and Gower as our criterion.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS.

First of all I have a few words to say on the relation of the present essay to Mr. Ellis's great work.

As regards my obligations to Mr. Ellis, I can only say, once for all, that without his investigations this essay would never have been written. It is essentially based on his results, of which, in some places, it is little more than a summary; while I have throughout drawn largely on the enormous mass of material stored up in the "Early English Pronunciation."

In going over the same ground as Mr. Ellis, it is but natural that I should occasionally arrive at conclusions different from his, as, for instance, in the important question of the two ees and oos in Middle English, and in that of the preservation of short $y$ in the Early Modern period.

But I have not been satisfied with merely summarizing and criticizing Mr. Ellis's viers, but have also endeavoured to carry his method a step further, by combining his results with the deductions of the historical school inaugurated by Rask, and perfected by Grimm and his followers in Germany. Mr. Ellis's great achievement was to determine generally the phonetic values of the Roman alphabet in England at the different periods, and to establish the all-important principle that the Middle Age scribes wrote not by eye, but by ear, and consequently that their varying orthographic usage is a genuine criterion of their pronunciation. It has, therefore, been possible for me in the present essay to turn my attention more exclusively to the sounds themselves, and the wider
generalizations obtainable from an examination of the various changes, which generalizations can again be applied to the elucidation and confirmation of the individual changes themselves. Many of the general principles stated at the beginning of the essay are, I believe, new and original ; such, for instance, as the threefold divisions of sound-changes into organic, inorganic, and imitative, the sketch of the relations between sound and symbol (general alphabetics), the determination of the laws which govern the changes of short and long vowels in the Teutonic languages, etc.

I have also added to our stock of phonetic material, both by the observations on the pronunciation of Modern English and the living. Teutonic languages, and also by the full lists of Old English words with their Middle and Modern equivalents, which afford a sound basis both for testing the views I have developed, and for carrying out further investigation.

It need hardly be said that the present essay is but a meagre sketch of what would be a really adequate history of English sounds. An investigation of every dialect and period, even if only on the meagre and imperfect scale here attempted, would fill many volumes. And yet till this is done, we cannot say that the foundations of a scientific English phonology are eren laid. And it is only on such investigations that a satisfactory investigation of inflection and syntax can be based.

It was, therefore, absolutely necessary for me to limit my programme as much as possible. Hence the omission of any reference to our dialects, and the comparative neglect of the Middle period. Most of my results are obtained from a direct comparison with Old and Modern English : they are, therefore, to a certain extent, only tentative. In one point they are specially defective, namely as regards the deductions drawn from our present traditional orthography. Although this orthography is, on the whole, a very faithful representation of the pronunciation of the time when it settled into its present fixity, yet there are many of its details which urgently require a more minute examination. In short, we want a thorough investigation of the orthography of the sixteenth
and seventeenth centuries, based on an examination not only of printed works, but also of manuscripts of all kinds. Such an investigation would not fail to yield valuable results.

Of the very considerable labour entailed in the present work, a large portion was expended on the lists. These I at first intended merely to consist of a certain number of examples of each change, but it proved so difficult to draw any definite line of exclusion that I determined to make them as full as possible, excluding only obsolete and doubtful words. There are a large number of words which, although of undoubted Teutonic origin, cannot be assigned to any Old English parent. Again, many Old English words given in the dictionaries without any reference, merely on the authority of Lye and Somner, are of very dubious existence. Many of them I believes to be gueses, formed by analogy from purely Modern words, while others are clearly taken from Transition texts. These I have often omitted, especially when they did not seem to offer any new points of interest. I am fully conscious of the inconsistencies and errors I have fallen into in preparing these lists, but I believe they are inevitable in a first attempt of this kind. It would have been easy to give my work a false appearance of fullness and finish, by suppressing the lists altogether; but I preferred to give them out, imperfect as they are, and rely on the indulgence of those who are alone competent to judge my workthose, namely, who have been engaged in similar initiatory investigations.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The system called Glossotype, illustrated at p. 16 of Mr. Ellis's Early Englisis Prounnciation, may be considered as now cancelled, and superseded by Glossic.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ More clearly heard when used as a negative, in response to a question, than when used as in the phrase 'no man.' Example: Do you like that? Answernóu.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have ventured to substitute "narrow" for Mr. Bell's "primary," as being both shorter and more expressive.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numbers within parentheses indicate the less distinctive vowels, whieh admit of being brought under different heads: 26 , for instance, may be regarded either as a very open $y$ or a close $x$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mr}$. H. Nicol, however, suggests that the narrowing of long vowels may be caused by the effort required to sustain a uniform sound - hence long vowels are either narrowed or diphthongized.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ The not unfrequent change of $t h$ into $f$ is no doubt purcly imitative (fruw for bruu).

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ King Alfred's West-Saxon Version of Gregory's Pastoral Care. Introd. p. xxiii.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ See my paper on Danish Pronunciation (Trans. Phil. Soc. 1S73-4, p. 101).

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dialect of the Southern Countics of Seotland, p. 106.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. H. Nieol has just called my attention to the fact (whick I had overlooked) that the change does not take place when the $a$ is followed by a back consonant: weg, wex, etc.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ These do not lay claim to any fullness of detail: they are merely intended to serve as a stop-gap till it is possible to treat the subject more at leugth.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $u$ in dóouth, fóou( $l$ ), ete., was probably a mere secondary formation, gencrated by the ghw, the stages being oogh, ooghw, ooughw, and then oouh or simply oou.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Seems to come from cuenc with a short vowel = Gothic ketnó.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Numbers in parentheses refer to words in the Addenda.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ I have repeated most of these words again under $\overline{0}$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note, however, that aspen is a dissyllable, with a liquid in the second syllable: but we have after, not after.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the preservation of $\grave{e} \dot{e}$ before $r$ in bèer, etc., see p. 68 .

[^17]:    1 If any period of our language is to be called "Anglo-Saxon," let it be the present one-as far, at least, as the literary language is concerned, which is really a mixture of Saxon and Anglian forms.
    ${ }^{2}$ Is there an Anglo-Saxon Language? Transactions of the American Philological Association, 1872.
    ${ }_{3}$ On such one-sided grounds as these it would be easy to prove that Modern German is quite as mixed as English is. Observe the proportion of foreign and native words in the following passages, taken at random from a work published this year:
    "Wieniawski, der Paganinispicler par excellence, zeigt. sich da, wo er mit

[^18]:    Schwierigkeiten und Effecten à la Paganini spielt, in seinem eigentlichen Elemente; seine Compositionen sind daher für exclusive Yirtuosen nicht ohne Interesse. Dieselben wollen mit vollkommenster technischer Freiheit, übermüthiger Laune und Feuer gespielt sein, vor allen die Variationen Opus 11 -echte musikalische Mix. pickles."
    "Ein effectvolles Virtuosenstück in Paganini’scher Manier.",
    "Das kurze Thema ist mit poetischer simplicität zu spielen."
    Compare these specimens with the Lord's Prayer, or a page of Swift or Defoe.

[^19]:    [*** Note also the tendency to lower un before $r$, as shown in the almost universal $y \dot{o} \dot{o}(r)$ for $y u u r$ (possessive of $y u u$ ). In the vulgar pronunciation this is carried out in all words, so that the combination uur is entirely lost. Thus we have pò̀a for puur, shò̀s for shuur, etc.]

